ORIGINAL PAPER



Biochemical and phylogenetic networks-I: hypertrees and corona products

R. Sundara Rajan¹ · K. Jagadeesh Kumar¹ · A. Arul Shantrinal¹ · T. M. Rajalaxmi² · Indra Rajasingh³ · Krishnan Balasubramanian⁴

Published online: 6 February 2021 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Abstract

We have obtained graph-theoretically based topological indices for the characterization of certain graph theoretical networks of biochemical interest. We have derived certain distance, degree and eccentricity based topological indices for various k-level hypertrees and corona product of hypertrees. We have also pointed out errors in a previous study. The validity of our results is supported by computer codes for the respective indices. Several biochemical applications are pointed out.

Keywords Mathematical modeling · Biochemical networks · Eccentricity-based topological indices · Topological indices of hypertrees · Corona product of graphs

☑ Indra Rajasingh indrarajasingh@yahoo.com

R. Sundara Rajan vprsundar@gmail.com

K. Jagadeesh Kumar jagadeeshgraphs@gmail.com

A. Arul Shantrinal shandrinashan@gmail.com

T. M. Rajalaxmi laxmi.raji18@gmail.com

Krishnan Balasubramanian baluk@asu.edu

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Hindustan Institute of Technology and Science, Chennai 603 103, India
- ² Department of Mathematics, Sri Sivasubramaniya Nadar College of Engineering, Chennai 603 110, India
- ³ School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai 600 127, India
- ⁴ School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-1604, USA

🙆 Springer

Mathematical Modeling is a predominant decision tool that can be efficacious to evaluate the transmission of a disease and apply the necessary control measures [1-3]. Analysis of changes in transmission rates helps to identify the effectiveness of the control measures and promote alternate interventions [4]. Phenomenological models were presented in [5], to predict the dynamics of COVID-19. Artificial intelligence (AI) techniques play an important role in finding high-quality prognostic models for the analysis of infectious diseases [6]. Through Social Internet of Things (SIoT) Wang et al. [7] obtained risk-awareness for suspected COVID-19 cases using a graph embedding technique.

Graph theory deals with the mathematical study and analysis of networks. These networks play a vital role in the environment and public health, and as a result ecological and epidemiological researchers have now drawn their attention to network analysis [8]. In biomedical research, graphs can capture the underlying connectivity relations among biological entities such as genes, DNA and proteins [9–12]. Topological analysis of large-scale protein interaction networks can provide insights into redundancies which can in turn result in predictions of protein functions [13]. Moreover, the control strategies for infectious diseases often relies on graph theoretical networks [14]. It has been shown that the early diagnosis of neurological disorders can be made possible through the detection of abnormal patterns of neural synchronization in specific brain regions [15].

Trees are connected graphs that do not contain a cycle. The vertices of a rooted tree could represent a variety of biological entities such as DNA sequences or various species and the edges could represent their mutations or inter connections with other species. Such rooted trees of biological interest are called phylogenetic trees or evolutionary trees. A hypertree is an interconnection topology which is a combination of the binary tree and hypercube concept. Hypertrees are applicable in a scenario where there are interactions among certain vertices at a given level of the binary trees, and hence one can model such interactions through the use of hypertrees. Machine learning and AI techniques have shown that when contact tracing is fully utilized, one can mitigate the eruption of the pandemic by breaking the current chain of proliferation of the corona virus, and thus helping to reduce the rate of recent epidemics [16].

Topological indices of a chemical compound are molecular descriptors. Several topological indices have been defined and utilised in QSPR/QSAR studies to understand the relationship between molecular structure and potential physicochemical properties [16–19]. Stimulated by such varied applications of biological networks, we have in this paper obtained a number of topological indices of these networks and we have also verified the expressions using computer codes. In this process we have also identified several logical flaws found in Gao et al. [20], in the computation of certain topological indices for some of the trees and we have provided corrected expressions.

2 Mathematical preliminaries and techniques

The distance d(u,v) between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of the shortest path between them. If $l \ge 1$, then the set $\{1, ..., l\}$ will be denoted by [l]. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, the eccentricity of v is defined as $\eta(v) = \max\{d(u, v) | u \in V(G)\}$.

Ghorbani and Khaki [18] introduced the eccentric version of geometric-arithmetic index as fourth geometric-arithmetic eccentricity index which is stated as

$$GA_4(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{\eta(s)\eta(t)}}{\eta(s) + \eta(t)}.$$

Further, the fourth Zagreb index, the fourth multiplicative Zagreb index, the sixth Zagreb index, the sixth multiplicative Zagreb index, and the fourth and sixth Zagreb polynomial index [19, 20] are defined as follows:

$$Zg_4(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} (\eta(s) + \eta(t));$$

$$\Pi_4^*(G) = \prod_{st \in E(G)} \left(\eta(s) + \eta(t)\right)$$

$$Zg_6(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} \eta(s)\eta(t);$$

$$\Pi_6^*(G) = \prod_{st \in E(G)} \eta(s)\eta(t);$$

$$Zg_4(G, x) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} x^{\eta(s) + \eta(t)}$$

$$Zg_6(G, x) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} x^{\eta(s)\eta(t)}$$

In addition, the fifth multiplicative atom bond connectivity index [20] is defined by

$$ABC_5\Pi(G) = \prod_{st \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{\eta(s) + \eta(t) - 2}{\eta(s)\eta(t)}}.$$

3 Error corrections of previous techniques and results

A hypertree HT(l) [21] is a complete binary tree T_l (a binary tree with l levels where level $a, 0 \le a \le l$ contains 2^a vertices) and the vertices of T_l are labeled as follows: The root node has label 1 and is said to be at level 0. The children of the vertex x are labeled with 2x and 2x + 1. Additional edges in a hypertree are horizontal, where two vertices in the same level $a, 1 \le a \le l$, are joined by an edge if their label difference is 2^{a-1} , see Fig. 1.

Gao et al. [20] have obtained various topological indices defined above in the form of Theorem 1 for the hypertree, HT(l) with *l*-levels. As their results are erroneous as shown here, we provide the corrected results for various topological indices reported by Gao et al. [20].

Consider the hypertree HT (3) in Fig. 1 as an example to derive the correct expressions for HT.

By manual calculation of the eccentricities for the various vertices in Fig. 1 we obtain for HT(3):

$$\begin{split} \eta(1) &= \eta(2) = \eta(3) = 3; \\ \eta(4) &= \eta(5) = \eta(6) = \eta(7) = 4; \\ \eta(8) &= \eta(9) = \eta(10) = \eta(11) = \eta(12) = \eta(13) = \eta(14) = \eta(15) = 5. \end{split}$$

Hence for HT(3) with 21 edges upon substation of the eccentricity values, we obtain:

$$Zg_4(HT(3)) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} (\eta(s) + \eta(t)) = 174$$
(1)

and likewise,

$$\Pi_4^*(HT(3)) = \prod_{st \in E(G)} (\eta(s) + \eta(t)) = 14,287,819,685,207,040,000$$
(2)

However, the application of the derived formulae in Ref [20] yield:

$$Zg_4(HT(3)) = 6(3) + (9(3) - 2)(2^3 - 2)(3 - 1) = 318$$
(3)



Fig. 1 Hypertree HT(3) of dimension 3

$$\Pi_{4}^{*}(HT(3)) = 6(3) \prod_{a=1}^{3-1} \prod_{q=3}^{2(3)-2} 2^{2a}(4q+2)(2q+2)$$

= $18 \prod_{a=1}^{2} 2^{2a}[(4(3)+2)(2(3)+2)x(4(4)+2)(2(4)+2)] = 23,224,320$
(4)

The expression obtained manually in Eq. (1) is in disagreement with Eq. (3) obtained by the application of the expression derived for $Zg_4(HT(l))$ by Gao et al. [20]. Similarly, Eqs. (2) and (4) imply that the expression obtained in Ref [20] for $\Pi_4^*(HT(l))$ is also not correct. More comparisons are given in Table 1 for all of the indices for the hypertrees. We have also used TopoChemie-2020 [22], a suite of Fortran'95 codes to compute all of the topological indices considered here to validate the results. The results obtained from TopoChemie-2020 are shown in Table 1 for comparison with the results obtained from present work and those from Ref [20].

Index	Dimension l	TopoChemie-2020	Expressions 3.1	Ref [20]
GA ₄	<i>l</i> =3	20.9094371944424	20.9094371944	38.80261570333
	l=4	44.89469801209972	44.8946980121	128.627718048
	l=5	92.86872657930127	92.8687265793	362.33639556583
	l=6	188.8256892929506	188.82568929295	931.8294769851
Zg ₄	<i>l</i> =3	174	174	318
	l=4	536	536	1452
	l=5	1458	1458	5190
	l=6	3692	3692	16156
Π_4^*	<i>l</i> =3	1.428781968520704E+19	1.42878197E19	23,224,320
	l=4	1.466305239836825E+48	1.46630524E48	1,700,391,813,120
	l=5	5.3596664852790345E+110	5.35966647E110	8.8801262E17
	l=6	1.804271192336212E+243	1.80427119E243	2.90256516E24
Zg ₆	<i>l</i> =3	367	367	657
	l=4	1626	1626	4164
	l=5	5807	5807	18,975
	l=6	18,270	18,270	71,658
Π_6^*	<i>l</i> =3	3.869835264E+25	3.86983526E25	663552000
	l=4	1.4063624927605826E+69	1.40636249E69	1747955220480000
	l=5	2.2518377818798394E+165	2.25183779E165	5.84569028E22
	l=6	+Inf	9.4064503907E78	1.91216364E31
ABC₅Π	<i>l</i> =3	0.000029641975308641964	0.00002964198	67.73123356314
	l=4	4.480235140735929E-13	4.48023568E-13	1472.8872145
	<i>l</i> =5	7.198858689316069E-31	7.19885445E-31	38051.1201487
	1=6	6 535691253709339E - 70	6.53459736E - 70	19596337 8715

Table 1 Results obtained for hypertree HT(l), with the computer code compared with the results from the expressions derived here and those from Ref [20]

The corrected result for HT(l) is as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Corrected Theorem 1 of [20]) Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree network with $l \geq 3$. Then we have the following results:

$$\begin{split} GA_4(HT(l)) &= 3 + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a \left(\frac{4\sqrt{(l+a-1)(l+a)}}{2(l+a)-1} + 1 \right); \\ Zg_4(HT(l)) &= 2^{l+1}(6l-7) - 6l + 16; \\ \Pi_4^*(HT(l)) &= 8l^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a)-1)^{2a+1} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a))^{2a}; \\ Zg_6(HT(l)) &= 2^{l+1} (6l^2 - 14l + 11) - 3l^2 + 16l - 22; \\ \Pi_6^*(HT(l)) &= l^6 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} ((l+a-1)^2 + l+a-1)^{2a+1} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (l+a)^{2a+1}; \\ Zg_4(HT(l),x) &= 3x^{2l} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^{a+1}x^{2(l+a)-1} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^ax^{2(l+a)}; \\ Zg_6(HT(l),x) &= 3x^{l^2} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^{a+1}x^{(l+a-1)(l+a)} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^ax^{(l+a)^2}; \\ ABC_5\Pi(HT(l)) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{2l-2}}{l}\right)^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{2(l+a-1)}{(l+a-1)(l+a)}\right)^{2^a} \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{2(l+a-1)}{(l+a)^2}\right)^{2^{a-1}}. \end{split}$$

Proof First, we partition the edge set of HT(l) as follows:

- $E_{ll} = \{e = st \in E(HT(l)) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l\}$ and $n_{ll} = |E_{ll}| = 3;$ $E_{(l+a-1)(l+a)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(l)) | \eta(s) = l + a 1 \text{ and } \eta(t) = l + a\}$ and $n_{(l+a-1)(l+a)} = |E_{(l+a-1)(l+a)}| = 2(2^a)$, where $a \in [l-1]$;
- $E_{(l+a)(l+a)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(l)) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l + a\}$ and $n_{(l+a)(l+a)} = |E_{(l+a)(l+a)}| = 2^a$, where $a \in [l-1]$.

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} GA_4(HT(l)) &= 3 + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2 \times 2^a \left(\frac{2\sqrt{(l+a-1)(l+a)}}{2(l+a)-1} \right) + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a \\ &= 3 + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a \left(\frac{4\sqrt{(l+a-1)(l+a)}}{2(l+a)-1} + 1 \right); \\ Zg_4(HT(l)) &= 3 \times 2l + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (6(l+a)-2) \\ &= 2^{l+1} (6l-7) - 6l + 16; \\ \Pi_4^*(HT(l)) &= (2l)^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a)-1)^{2^{a+1}} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a))^{2^a} \\ &= 8l^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a)-1)^{2a+1} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a))^{2^a} \\ Zg_6(HT(l)) &= 3 \times l^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (3(l+a-1)^2 + 4(l+a-1) + 1) \\ &= 2^{l+1} (6l^2 - 14l + 11) - 3l^2 + 16l - 22. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding along the same lines, we prove the remaining equations.

4 Certain distance and degree based topological indices

In this section, we compute certain distance and degree based topological indices of hypertrees that have not been obtained before. We begin with the following definitions.

Definition 4.1 The first Zagreb index $M_1(G)$ was introduced by Gutman [23] and it is defined as

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} d_i^2$$

where $d_i = d_G(v_i)$ is denoted by the degree of vertex v_i for i=1,2,...,n such that $d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \cdots \ge d_n$.

Definition 4.2 The second Zagreb index $M_2(G)$ [23] of graph G is defined as

$$M_2(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} d_i d_j$$

Definition 4.3 Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a graph with n = |V(G)| vertices and m = |E(G)| edges. The edge connecting the vertices *i* and *j* is denoted by *ij*. Then Atom-bond Connectivity Index (ABC) [24] is defined as

$$ABC(G) = \sum_{ij \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}}$$

Definition 4.4 The Padmakar-Ivan Index [25] is given by

$$PI(G) = \sum_{e=(uv)\in E(G)} [n_u(e) + n_v(e)]$$

where $n_u(e)$ denotes the number of vertices lying closer to the vertex *u* than the vertex *v* and $n_v(e)$ denotes the number of vertices lying closer to the vertex *v* than the vertex *u*.

Definition 4.5 The Szeged Index [26] is defined as

$$Sz(G) = \sum_{e=(uv)\in E(G)} [n_u(e) \times n_v(e)]$$

Definition 4.6 The Schultz index [27] is defined as

$$S(G) = 1/2 \sum_{(u,v) \in V(G) \times V(G)} [d(u) + d(v)]d(u,v)$$

where d(u,v) is the number of edges in a minimum path connecting the vertices u and v in G, d(u) represents the degree of the vertex u.

Definition 4.7 The Gutman index [28] denoted by Gut(G) is defined as

$$Gut(G) = 1/2 \sum_{(u,v) \in V(G) \times V(G)} [d(u) \times d(v)] d(u,v)$$

4.1 Hypertree

Theorem 4.1.1 Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree network, $l \ge 2$. Then the atom bond connectivity index $ABC(HT(l)) = 1.97921882532 \times 2^{l} - 1.64764861611$.

Proof First, we partition the edge set of HT(l) as follows:

$$E_{24} = \{e = uv \in E(HT(l)) | d(u) = 2 \text{ and } d(v) = 4\} \text{ and } |E_{24}| = 2.$$

$$E_{22} = \{e = uv \in E(HT(l)) | d(u) = d(v) = 2\} \text{ and } |E_{22}| = 2^{l-1}.$$

$$E_{42} = \{e = uv \in E(HT(l)) | d(u) = 4 \text{ and } d(v) = 2\} \text{ and } |E_{42}| = 2^{l}.$$

 $E_{44} = \{e = uv \in E(HT(l)) | d(u) = 4 \text{ and } d(v) = 4\} \text{ and } |E_{44}| = 2^{l+1} - 2^{l-1} - 5.$ By the definition, we have

$$ABC(HT(l)) = \sum_{e \in E_{24}} \sqrt{\frac{d(u) + d(v) - 2}{d(u)d(v)}} + \sum_{e \in E_{22}} \sqrt{\frac{d(u) + d(v) - 2}{d(u)d(v)}} + \sum_{e \in E_{42}} \sqrt{\frac{d(u) + d(v) - 2}{d(u)d(v)}} + \sum_{e \in E_{44}} \sqrt{\frac{d(u) + d(v) - 2}{d(u)d(v)}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} + 2^{l-1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} + 2^l\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} + (2^{l+1} - 2^{l-1} - 5)\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} = 1.97921882532 \times 2^l - 1.64764861611.$$

Theorem 4.1.2 Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree network, $l \ge 2$. Then the first Zagreb index

$$M_1(HT(l)) = 20 \times 2^l - 28.$$

Proof First, we partition the vertex set of HT(l) as follows: $P_1 = \{v | d(v) = 2\}$ and $|P_1| = 2^l + 1$. $P_2 = \{v | d(v) = 4\}$ and $|P_2| = 2^{l+1} - 2^l - 2$.

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{split} M_1(HT(l)) &= \sum_{v \in P_1} (d(v))^2 + \sum_{v \in P_2} (d(v))^2 \\ &= (2^l + 1) (2^2) + (2^{l+1} - 2^l - 2) (4^2) = 20 \times 2^l - 28. \end{split}$$

Theorem 4.1.3 Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree network, $l \ge 2$. Then the second Zagreb index $M_2(HT(l)) = 34 \times 2^l - 64$.

The proof runs analogous to that of Theorem 4.1.1.

Theorem 4.1.4 Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree with $l \ge 2$. Then the szeged index

$$S_{Z}(HT(l)) = 2^{3l} - 27 \times 2^{2l} + 12l \times 2^{l} + 21 \times 2^{l} + 8l \times 2^{2l} + 5.$$

Proof Let $B_e = \{e | e \in E(T_l)\}$ and $H_e = \{e | e \text{ is horizontal}\}$ be the partition of the edge set of HT(l) as edges of the associated binary tree T_l and horizontal edges respectively. Let $e = (uv) \in B_e$ where u and v are in level i and i+1 respectively, $1 \le i \le l-1$. Then by the symmetric structure of the hypertree, we have $n(v) = 2^{l-i+1} - 2$. For example, the hypertree HT(4) with n(v) = 14 is shown in Fig. 2. Note that the number of edges between level i and i+1, $1 \le i \le l-1$ is



Fig. 2 The hypertree HT(4) with n(v) = 14

 2^{i+1} . Further, $n(u) = |V(HT(l))| - n(v) = 2^{l+1} - 2^{l-i+1} + 1$. It is easy to verify that n(u) = 1 and $n(v) = 2^l - 1$, when $(uv) \in B_e$, *u* is in level 0 and *v* is in level 1.

For any level $i, 1 \le i \le l$, if $e = (uv) \in H_e$, then $n(u) = n(v) = 2^l - 1$. Hence

$$Sz(HT(l)) = 2(1)(2^{l} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (n(u)n(v))2^{i+1} + (2^{l} - 1)(n(u)n(v))$$

= 2(1)(2^l - 1) + $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} 2^{i+1}(2^{l+1} - 2^{l-i+1} + 1)(2^{l-i+1} - 2) + (2^{l} - 1)(2^{l} - 1)^{2}$
= 2^{3l} - 27 × 2^{2l} + 12l × 2^l + 21 × 2^l + 8l × 2^{2l} + 5.

Theorem 4.1.5 Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree with $l \ge 2$. Then the PI index

$$PI(HT(l)) = 6 \times \left(2^l - 1\right)^2.$$

Proof Let $B_e = \{e | e \in E(T_l)\}$ and $H_e = \{e | e \text{ is horizontal}\}$ be the partition of the edge set of HT(l) as binary tree edges and horizontal edges respectively. Let $e = (uv) \in V_e$ where u and v are in level i and i+1 respectively, $1 \le i \le l-1$. Then by the symmetric structure of the hypertree, we have $n(v) = 2^{l-i+1} - 2$. For example, the hypertree HT(4) with n(v) = 14 is shown in Fig. 2. Note that the number of edges between level i and i+1, $1 \le i \le l-1$ is 2^{i+1} . Further, $n(u) = |V(HT(l))| - n(v) = 2^{l+1} - 2^{l-i+1} + 1$. It is easy to verify that n(u) = 1 and $n(v) = 2^l - 1$, when $(uv) \in B_e$, u is in level 0 and v is in level 1.

For any level $i, 1 \le i \le l$, if $e = (uv) \in H_e$, then $n(u) = n(v) = 2^l - 2$. Hence

$$PI(HT(l)) = 2(1 + (2^{l} - 1)) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (n(u) + n(v))(2^{i+1}) + (2^{l} - 1)(n(u) + n(v))$$

= $2^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (2^{l+1})[(2^{l+1} - 2^{l-i+1} + 1) + (2^{l-i+1} - 2)]$
+ $(2^{l} - 1)((2^{l} - 1) + (2^{l} - 1)) = 6 \times (2^{l} - 1)^{2}.$

Theorem 4.1.6 Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree network, $l \ge 2$. Then Schultz index

$$S(HT(l)) = 38l \times 2^{l} - 70 \times 2^{2l} + 50 \times 2^{l} + 24l \times 2^{2l} + 20$$

Proof Since HT(l) is bi-regular with degree 2 and degree 4 vertices, we partition the vertices (ordered pairs) of HT(l) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{22} &= \{(x, y)/d(x) = d(y) = 2\} \text{ and } |V_{22}| = 2^{i} + (2^{i} - 1)(2^{i-1}), \\ V_{24} &= \{(x, y)/d(x) = 2 \text{ and } d(y) = 4\} \text{ and } |V_{24}| = (2^{i} + 1)(2^{i} - 2), \text{ and } \\ V_{44} &= \{(x, y)/d(x) = d(y) = 4\} \text{ and } |V_{44}| = (2^{i} - 2)(2^{i} - 3)/2. \text{ Hence,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(HT(l)) &= \sum_{(u,v) \in V_{22}} (d(u) + d(v))d(u, v) + \sum_{(u,v) \in V_{24}} (d(u) + d(v))d(u, v) \\ &+ \sum_{(u,v) \in V_{44}} (d(u) + d(v))d(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

$$= l \times 2^{l+2} + 2^{l+1} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} 2^{i-1}(4i+1) \right) + 1 \right] \\ &+ (2+4) \left[\sum_{i=2}^{l-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (l-i+2j)2^{l+j-1} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (l-i+1)2^{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} i \times 2^{i} \right] \\ &+ (4+4) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left[\sum_{i=2}^{l-1-k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (l-i+2j-k)2^{l+j-k-1} \right) \right] \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1-k} (l-i-k)2^{l-k} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1-k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (l-i+2j-k+1)2^{l+j-k-1} \right) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1-k} (l-i-k+1)2^{l-k} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} 2^{j-1} \times 2j + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} 2^{j-1} \times (2j+1) + 1 \right] 2^{i-1} \right] \\ &= 38l \times 2^{l} - 70 \times 2^{2l} + 50 \times 2^{l} + 24l \times 2^{2l} + 20. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1.7 Let HT(l) be the *l*-level hypertree network, $l \ge 2$. Then Gutman index

$$Gut(HT(l)) = 60l \times 2^{l} - 111 \times 2^{2l} + 80 \times 2^{l} + 36l \times 2^{2l} + 32.$$

Proof Since HT(l) is bi-regular with degrees 2 and 4, we partition the vertices (ordered pairs) of HT(l) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{22} &= \{(x, y)/d(x) = d(y) = 2\} \text{ and } |V_{22}| = 2^{l} + (2^{l} - 1)(2^{l-1}), \\ V_{24} &= \{(x, y)/d(x) = 2 \text{ and } d(y) = 4\} \text{ and } |V_{24}| = (2^{l} + 1)(2^{l} - 2), \text{ and } \\ V_{44} &= \{(x, y)/d(x) = d(y) = 4\} \text{ and } |V_{44}| = (2^{l} - 2)(2^{l} - 3)/2. \text{ Hence,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gut}(HT(l)) &= \sum_{(u,v) \in V_{22}} (d(u) \times d(v))d(u,v) + \sum_{(u,v) \in V_{24}} (d(u) \times d(v))d(u,v) \\ &+ \sum_{(u,v) \in V_{44}} (d(u) \times d(v))d(u,v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= l \times 2^{l+2} + 2^{l+1} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} 2^{i-1}(4i+1) \right) + 1 \right] \\ &+ (2 \times 4) \left[\sum_{i=2}^{l-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (l-i+2j)2^{l+j-1} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (l-i+1)2^{l} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} i \times 2^{i} \right] \\ &+ \left(4 \times 4 \right) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{l-1-k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i-1} (l-i+2j-k)2^{l+j-k-1} \right) \right] \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1-k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (l-i+2j-k+1)2^{l+j-k-1} \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left[\sum_{i=2}^{l-1-k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (l-i+2j-k+1)2^{l+j-k-1} \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{l-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1-k} \left((l-i-k+1)2^{l-k} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{j-1} \times 2j + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{j-1} \times (2j+1) + 1 \right] 2^{i-1} \right] \\ &= 60l \times 2^{l} - 111 \times 2^{2l} + 80 \times 2^{l} + 36l \times 2^{2l} + 32. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1.8 The results obtained from TopoChemie-2020 are shown in Table 2 for comparison with the results obtained from Theorems 4.1.1–4.1.7.

5 Corona product of hypertree and a path

In this section, we consider the topological indices of hypertrees generated through corona products that have not been considered before.

Definition 5.1 [29] The corona product $G_1 \odot G_2$ of two graphs G_1 with n_1 vertices and m_1 edges and G_2 with n_2 vertices and m_2 edges is defined as the graph obtained

Index	Dimension <i>l</i>	TopoChemie-2020	Expressions 4.1.1-4.1.7
ABC(HT(l))	<i>l</i> =3	14.186101986482232	14.18610198648
	l=4	30.019852589070307	30.01985258907
	l=5	61.687353794246455	61.68735379425
	l=6	125.02235620459938	125.0223562046
$M_1(HT(l))$	<i>l</i> =3	132	132
	l=4	292	292
	l=5	612	612
	l=6	1252	1252
$M_2(HT(l))$	l=3	208	208
	l=4	480	480
	l=5	1024	1024
	l=6	2112	2112
Sz(HT(l))	l=3	781	781
	l=4	6485	6485
	l=5	48677	48677
	l=6	354117	354117
PI(HT(l))	l=3	294	294
	l=4	1350	1350
	l=5	5766	5766
	l=6	23814	23814
S(HT(l))	<i>l</i> =3	1460	1460
	l=4	9908	9908
	l=5	58900	58900
	l=6	320916	320916
GUT(HT(l))	<i>l</i> =3	1920	1920
	l=4	13600	13600
	<i>l</i> =5	82848	82848
	<i>l</i> =6	458272	458272

Table 2 Results obtained for hypertree HT(l), with the computer code compared with the results from the expressions in Theorems 4.1.1–4.1.7

by taking one copy of G_1 and n_1 copies of G_2 , and then joining the *i*th vertex of G_1 with an edge to every vertex in the *i*th copy of G_2 .

It follows from the definition of the corona that $G_1 \odot G_2$ has $n_1 + n_1 n_2$ vertices and $m_1 + n_1 m_2 + n_1 n_2$ edges. It is easy to see that $G_1 \odot G_2$ is not in general isomorphic to $G_2 \odot G_1$. For illustration, the corona product of hypertree *HT*(3) and path P_3 is given in Fig. 3.

Theorem 5.2 Let $HT(l) \odot P_r$, $l, r \ge 2$ be the corona product of hypertree and a path network on r vertices. Then we have the following:



Fig. 3 The corona product $HT(3) \odot P_3$ of hypertree HT(3) and path P_3

$$\begin{split} GA_4 \Big(HT(l) \odot P_r \Big) &= (2+r) \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^{a+1} \frac{\sqrt{(l+a)(l+a+1)}}{(2l+2a+1)} + r 2^{l+1} \frac{\sqrt{4l^2+2l}}{4l+1} \\ &+ 2r \frac{\sqrt{(l+1)(l+2)}}{2l+3} + (r-1) \Big(2^{l+1}-2 \Big) + 2^l + r; \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Zg_4 \Big(HT(l) \odot P_r \Big) &= 6(l+1) + (r+2) \Big(2^l (4l-3) - 4l+2 \Big) + 2^l (r) (4l+1) + r(2l+3) \\ &- (2r-2) \Big(2l - 4l2^l \Big) + 2^{l+1} (2l-1) - 4l + 2(r-1)(l+2); \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Pi_4^* \big(HT(l) \odot P_r \big) &= (2l+2)^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a)+1)^{2^a(2+r)} \times (4l+1)^{r2^l} \times (2l+3)^r \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^l (2(l+a)+2)^{2^a(r-1)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a)+2)^{2^a} \times (2l+4)^{r-1}; \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &Zg_6 \Big(HT(l) \odot P_r \Big) \\ &= 3(l+1)^2 + (r+2) \Big(2^l + 2.2^l \Big(2l^2 - 3l + 2 \Big) - 2l^2 - 4 \Big) + 2^l r \Big(4l^2 + 2l \Big) \\ &+ r(l+1)(l+2) + (r-1)(4 \times 2^l - 2l^2 + 8 \times 2^l l^2 - 4) \\ &+ 2^l \Big(4l^2 - 4l + 3 \Big) - 2l^2 - 4 + (r-1)(l+2)^2; \end{split}$$

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

$$\Pi_{6}^{*} \left(HT(l) \odot P_{r} \right) = (l+1)^{6} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left((l+a)^{2} + l+a \right)^{2^{a}(2+r)} \times \left(4l^{2} + 2l \right)^{r2^{l}} \times \left(l^{2} + 3l + 2 \right)^{r} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l} (l+a+1)^{2^{a+1}(r-1)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (l+a+1)^{2^{a+1}} \times (l+2)^{2(r-1)};$$

$$Zg_4(HT(l) \odot P_r, x) = 3x^{2(l+1)} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (2+r)x^{2(l+a)+1} + r2^l x^{4l+1} + rx^{2l+3} + (r-1)\sum_{a=1}^{l} 2^a x^{2(l+a+1)} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a x^{2(l+a+1)} + (r-1)x^{2(l+2)};$$

$$Zg_6(HT(l) \odot P_r, x) = 3x^{(l+1)^2} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (2+r) x^{(l+a)(l+a+1)} + r2^l x^{4l^2+2l} + rx^{(l+1)(l+2)} + (r-1) \sum_{a=1}^{l} 2^a x^{(l+a+1)^2} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a x^{(l+a+1)^2} + (r-1) x^{(l+2)^2};$$

$$\begin{split} ABC_{5}\Pi\left(HT(l) \odot P_{r}\right) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{2l}}{l+1}\right)^{3} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{2(l+a)-1}{(l+a)^{2}+l+a}\right)^{2^{a-1}(2+r)} \times \left(\frac{4l-1}{4l^{2}+2l}\right)^{2^{l-1}r} \\ &\times \left(\sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{l^{2}+3l+2}}\right)^{r} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+a)}}{l+a+1}\right)^{2^{a}(r-1)} \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+a)}}{l+a+1}\right)^{2^{a}} \times \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+1)}}{l+2}\right)^{r-1}. \end{split}$$

Proof We prove the result based on the structure analysis and edge partition method. By analyzing the structure $HT(l) \odot P_r$ its edge set $E(HT(l) \odot P_r)$ can be divided into seven partitions based on the eccentricities of associated vertices:

- $E_{(l+1)(l+1)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(l) \odot P_r) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l+1\}$ and $n_{(l+1)(l+1)} = \left| E_{(l+1)(l+1)} \right| = 3;$
- $E_{(l+a)(l+a+1)} = \left\{ e = st \in E(HT(l) \odot P_r) | \eta(s) = l + a \text{ and } \eta(t) = l + a + 1 \right\}$ and $n_{(l+a)(l+a+1)} = \left| E_{(l+a)(l+a+1)} \right| = 2^a (2 + r)$, where $a \in [l-1]$; $E_{2l(2l+1)} = \left\{ e = st \in E(HT(l) \odot P_r) | \eta(s) = 2l \text{ and } \eta(t) = 2l + 1 \right\}$
- and $n_{2l(2l+1)} = \left| E_{2l(2l+1)} \right| = 2^l r;$
- $E_{(l+1)(l+2)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(l) \odot P_r) | \eta(s) = l+1 \text{ and } \eta(t) = l+2\}$ and $n_{(l+1)(l+2)} = \left| E_{(l+1)(l+2)} \right| = r;$

- $E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = \left\{ e = st \in E(HT(l) \odot P_r) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l+a+1 \right\}$ and $n_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = \left| E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} \right| = 2^a(r-1)$, where $a \in [l]$;
- $E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = \left\{ e = st \in E(HT(l) \odot P_r) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l+a+1 \right\}$ and $n_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = \left| E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} \right| = 2^a$, where $a \in [l-1]$;
- $E_{(l+2)(l+2)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(l) \odot P_r) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l+2\}$ and $n_{(l+2)(l+2)} = |E_{(l+2)(l+2)}| = r-1.$

the edge colors green, blue. sky vellow. Let blue, black. red and brown in Fig. 3 represent the edge partitions $E_{44}, E_{(l+a)(l+a+1)}, E_{6(6+1)}, E_{4(4+1)}, E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)}, E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)}$, and $E_{(4+1)(4+1)}$ respectively. tively. That is, the edge partition of $HT(3) \odot P_3$ is given as follows:

•
$$E_{44} = \{e = st \in E(HT(3) \odot P_3) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = 4\} \text{ and } n_{44} = |E_{44}| = 3;$$

- $E_{(l+a)(l+a+1)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(3) \odot P_3) | \eta(s) = l + a \text{ and } \eta(t) = l + a + 1\}$ and $n_{(l+a)(l+a+1)} = 2^a(2+3) = 5(2^a)$, where $a \in [2]$;
- $E_{6(6+1)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(3) \odot P_3) | \eta(s) = 6 \text{ and } \eta(t) = 6 + 1\}$ and $n_{6(6+1)} = 2^3 x \ 3 = 24;$
- $E_{4(4+1)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(3) \odot P_3) | \eta(s) = 4 \text{ and } \eta(t) = 4 + 1\} \text{ and } n_{4(4+1)} = 3;$
- $E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(3) \odot P_3) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l+a+1\}$ and $n_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = 2^a(r-1) = 2(2^a)$, where $a \in [3]$;
- $E_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(3) \odot P_3) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = l+a+1\}$ and $n_{(l+a+1)(l+a+1)} = 2^a$, where $a \in [2]$;

•
$$E_{(4+1)(4+1)} = \{e = st \in E(HT(3) \odot P_3) | \eta(s) = \eta(t) = 4+1\} \text{ and } n_{(4+1)(4+1)} = 2.$$

From the definitions of eccentricity-based topological indices, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & GA_4 \left(HT(l) \odot P_r \right) \\ &= 3 + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (2+r) \frac{2\sqrt{(l+a)(l+a+1)}}{2(l+a)+1} + r \times 2^l \times \frac{2\sqrt{2l(2l+1)}}{4l+1} \\ &+ r \frac{2\sqrt{(l+1)(l+2)}}{2l+3} + \sum_{a=1}^{l} 2^a (r-1) + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a + (r-1) \\ &= (2+r) \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^{a+1} \frac{\sqrt{(l+a)(l+a+1)}}{(2l+2a+1)} + r 2^{l+1} \frac{\sqrt{4l^2+2l}}{4l+1} + 2r \frac{\sqrt{(l+1)(l+2)}}{2l+3} \\ &+ (r-1)(2^{l+1}-2) + 2^l + r; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & Zg_4 \Big(HT(l) \odot P_r \Big) \\ &= 3 \times (2l+2) + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (2+r)(2l+2a+1) + r \times 2^l (4l+1) + r(2l+3) \\ &+ (r-1) \sum_{a=1}^l 2^a (2l+2a+2) + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (2l+2a+2) + 2(r-1)(l+2) \\ &= 6(l+1) + (r+2) (2^l (4l-3) - 4l+2) + 2^l (r)(4l+1) + r(2l+3) \\ &- (2r-2)(2l-4l2^l) + 2^{(l+1)}(2l-1) - 4l + 2(r-1)(l+2); \\ \Pi_4^* \Big(HT(l) \odot P_r \Big) &= (2l+2)^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a) + 1)^{2^a(2+r)} \times (4l+1)^{r\times 2^l} \times (2l+3)^r \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^l (2(l+a) + 2)^{2^a(r-1)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a) + 2)^{2^a} (2l+4)^{r-1} \\ &= (2l+2)^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a) + 1)^{2^a(2+r)} \times (4l+1)^{r/2^l} \times (2l+3)^r \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^l (2(l+a) + 2)^{2^a(r-1)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (2(l+a) + 2)^{2^a} \times (2l+4)^{r-1}; \\ Zg_6 \Big(HT(l) \odot P_r \Big) &= 3(l+1)^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (2+r)(l+a)(l+a+1) + r \times 2^l \times 2l(2l+1) + r \\ &\times (l+1) \times (l+2) + (r-1) \sum_{a=1}^l 2^a (l+a+1)^2 \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (l+a+1)^2 + (r-1)(l+2)^2 \\ &= 3(l+1)^2 + (r+2)(2l+2 \times 2^l(2l^2 - 3l+2) - 2l^2 - 4) \\ &+ 2^l (4l^2 - 4l+3) - 2l^2 - 4 + (r-1)(l+2)^2; \\ \Pi_6^* \Big(HT(l) \odot P_r \Big) &= (l+1)^6 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} ((l+a)^2 + l+a)^{2^{a}(2r)} \\ &\times ((2l)^2 + 2l)^{r\times 2^l} \times ((l+1)^2 + (l+1))^r \\ &\times ((2l)^2 + 2l)^{r\times 2^l} \times ((l+1)^2 + (l+1))^r \\ &\times ((2l)^2 + 2l)^{r\times 2^l} \times ((l+1)^2 + (l+1))^r \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^l (l+a+1)^{2^{a+1}(r-1)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (l+a+1)^{2^{a+1}} \times (l+2)^{2(r-1)} \\ &= (l+1)^6 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} ((l+a)^2 + l+a)^{2^{a}(2r)} \times (4l^2 + 2l)^{r/2} \times (l^2 + 3l+2)^r \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^l (l+a+1)^{2^{a+1}(r-1)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} (l+a+1)^{2^{a+1}} \times (l+2)^{2(r-1)}; \end{split}$$

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

$$Zg_4(HT(l) \odot P_r, x)$$

$$= 3x^{2(l+1)} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a (2+r) x^{2(l+a)+1} + r 2^l x^{4l+1} + r x^{2l+3} + (r-1) \sum_{a=1}^{l} 2^a x^{2(l+a+1)}$$

$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^a x^{2(l+a+1)} + (r-1) x^{2(l+2)};$$

$$Zg_{6}(HT(l) \odot P_{r}, x)$$

$$= 3x^{(l+1)^{2}} + \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^{a}(2+r)x^{(l+a)(l+a+1)} + r2^{l}x^{4l^{2}+2l} + rx^{(l+1)(l+2)} + (r-1)\sum_{a=1}^{l} 2^{a}x^{(l+a+1)^{2}}$$

$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} 2^{a}x^{(l+a+1)^{2}} + (r-1)x^{(l+2)^{2}};$$

$$ABC_5\Pi\big(HT(l)\odot P_r\big)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(l+1)-2}{(l+1)^2}}\right)^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{2(l+a)-1}{(l+a)^2+l+a}\right)^{2^{a-1}(2+r)} \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{4l-1}{2l(2l+1)}}\right)^{r\binom{2^l}{2}} \\ &\times \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(l+1)-1}{(l+1)(l+2)}}\right)^r \times \prod_{a=1}^l \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+a)}}{l+a+1}\right)^{2^a(r-1)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+a)}}{l+a+1}\right)^{2^a} \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(l+1)}{(l+2)^2}}\right)^{(r-1)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{2l}}{l+1}\right)^3 \times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{2(l+a)-1}{(l+a)^2+l+a}\right)^{2^{a-1}(2+r)} \left(\frac{4l-1}{4l^2+2l}\right)^{2^{l-1}r} \\ &\times \left(\sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{l^2+3l+2}}\right)^r \times \prod_{a=1}^l \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+a)}}{l+a+1}\right)^{2^a(r-1)} \\ &\times \prod_{a=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+a)}}{l+a+1}\right)^{2^a} \times \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(l+1)}}{l+2}\right)^{r-1}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 5.3 Let $HT(k) \odot P_n, k, n \ge 2$ be the corona product of hypertree and a path network on *n* vertices. Then the atom bond connectivity index

$$ABC(HT(k) \odot P_n) = \frac{2^{k-1}\sqrt{2(n+1)}}{n+2} + (2+2^k)\sqrt{\frac{2}{n+4}} + ((2^{k-1}-1) + (2^k-4))\frac{\sqrt{2n+6}}{n+4} + (2^k+1)\sqrt{2} + (n-2)(2^k+1)\sqrt{\frac{n+3}{3(n+2)}} + (2^k-2)\sqrt{2} + (n-2)(2^k-2)\sqrt{\frac{n+5}{3(n+4)}} + \sqrt{2}(2^{k+1}-1) + \frac{2(2^{k+1}-1)(n-3)}{3}$$

Proof First, we partition the edge set of $HT(k) \odot P_n$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} E_{(n+2)(n+2)} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = d(v) = n+2 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)(n+2)} \right| = 2^{k-1}. \\ E_{(n+2)(n+4)} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+2 \text{ and } d(v) = n+4 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)(n+4)} \right| = 2+2^k. \\ E_{(n+4)(n+4)} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = d(v) = n+4 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+4)(n+4)} \right| = (2^{k-1} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} 2^{i+1} \\ E_{(n+2)2} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+2 \text{ and } d(v) = 2 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)2} \right| = 2(2^k + 1) \\ E_{(n+2)3} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+2 \text{ and } d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)3} \right| = (n-2)(2^k + 1) \\ E_{(n+4)2} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+4 \text{ and } d(v) = 2 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+4)2} \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{i+1} \\ E_{(n+4)3} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+4 \text{ and } d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+4)3} \right| = (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{i} \\ E_{23} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = 2 \text{ and } d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+4)3} \right| = (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{i} \\ E_{23} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = 2 \text{ and } d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{23} \right| = 2^{k+2} - 2 \\ E_{33} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{33} \right| = (n-3)(2^{k+1} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{split} ABC\big(HT(k) \odot P_n\big) &= 2^{k-1} \sqrt{\frac{(n+2)+(n+2)-2}{(n+2)^2}} + (2+2^k) \sqrt{\frac{(n+2)+(n+4)-2}{(n+2).(n+4)}} \\ &+ \left(\left(2^{k-1}-1\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} 2^{i+1} \right) \sqrt{\frac{(n+4)+(n+4)-2}{(n+4)^2}} + 2\left(2^k+1\right) \sqrt{\frac{(n+2)+2-2}{(n+2).2}} \\ &+ (n-2)(2^k+1) \sqrt{\frac{(n+2)+3-2}{(n+2).3}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{i+1} \sqrt{\frac{(n+4)+2-2}{(n+4).2}} \\ &+ (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^i \sqrt{\frac{(n+4)+3-2}{(n+4).3}} + (2^{k+2}-2) \sqrt{\frac{2+3-2}{2.3}} \\ &+ (n-3)(2^{k+1}-1) \sqrt{\frac{3+3-2}{3.3}} \\ &= \frac{2^{k-1} \sqrt{2(n+1)}}{n+2} + (2+2^k) \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+4}} + \left((2^{k-1}-1) + (2^k-4)\right) \frac{\sqrt{2n+6}}{n+4} \\ &+ (2^k+1) \sqrt{2} + (n-2)(2^k+1) \sqrt{\frac{n+3}{3(n+2)}} + (2^k-2) \sqrt{2} \\ &+ (n-2)(2^k-2) \sqrt{\frac{n+5}{3(n+4)}} + \sqrt{2}(2^{k+1}-1) + \frac{2(2^{k+1}-1)(n-3)}{3}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 5.4 Let $HT(k) \odot P_n, k, n \ge 2$ be the corona product of hypertree and a path network on n vertices. Then the first Zagreb index

$$M_1(HT(k) \odot P_n) = 30n2^k - 21n - n^2 + 2n^22^k - 18.$$

Proof First, we partition the vertex set of $HT(k) \odot P_n$ as follows:

$$P_{1} = \{v|d(v) = (n+2)\} and |P_{1}| = 2^{k} + 1$$

$$P_{2} = \{v|d(v) = (n+4)\} and |P_{2}| = 2^{k} - 2$$

$$P_{3} = \{v|d(v) = 2\} and |P_{3}| = 4 \times 2^{k} - 2$$

$$P_{4} = \{v|d(v) = 3\} and |P_{4}| = (n-2)(2^{k+1} - 1)$$

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{split} M_1\big(HT(k) \odot P_n\big) &= (2^k+1)(n+2)^2 + \big(2^k-2\big)(n+4)^2 + \big(4\times 2^k-2\big)2^2 + (n-2)(2^{k+1}-1)3^2 \\ &= 30n2^k - 21n - n^2 + 2n^22^k - 18. \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Theorem 5.5 Let $HT(k) \odot P_n, k, n \ge 2$ be the corona product of hypertree and a path network on n vertices. Then the second Zagreb index

$$M_2(HT(k) \odot P_n) = 52n \times 2^k - 53n - 8 \times 2^k - 6n^2 + 9n^2 2^k - 37.$$

Proof First, we partition the edge set of $HT(k) \odot P_n$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} E_{(n+2)(n+2)} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = d(v) = n+2 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)(n+2)} \right| = 2^{k-1}. \\ E_{(n+2)(n+4)} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+2 \text{ and } d(v) = n+4 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)(n+4)} \right| = 2+2^k. \\ E_{(n+4)(n+4)} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = d(v) = n+4 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+4)(n+4)} \right| = (2^{k-1} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} 2^{i+1} \\ E_{(n+2)2} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+2 \text{ and } d(v) = 2 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)2} \right| = 2(2^k + 1) \\ E_{(n+2)3} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+2 \text{ and } d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+2)3} \right| = (n-2)(2^k + 1) \\ E_{(n+4)2} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+4 \text{ and } d(v) = 2 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+4)2} \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{i+1} \\ E_{(n+4)3} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = n+4 \text{ and } d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{(n+4)3} \right| = (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^i \\ E_{23} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = 2 \text{ and } d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{23} \right| = 2^{k+2} - 2 \\ E_{33} &= \left\{ e = uv \in E(HT(k) \odot P_n) | d(u) = d(v) = 3 \right\} and \left| E_{33} \right| = (n-3)(2^{k+1} - 1) \end{split}$$

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{split} M_2 \Big(HT(k) \odot P_n \Big) &= 2^{k-1} [(n+2)(n+2)] + (2+2^k) [(n+2)(n+4)] + [(2^{k-1}-1) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} 2^{i+1}](n+4)^2 + 2(2^k+1) [(n+2)2] + (n-2)(2^k+1) \\ &\times [(n+2)\cdot3] + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{i+1} [(n+4)2] + (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^i [(n+4)3] \\ &+ 6(2^{k+2}-2) + 9(n-3)(2^{k+1}-1) \\ &= 52n \times 2^k - 53n - 8 \times 2^k - 6n^2 + 9n^22^k - 37. \end{split}$$

1582

5159

$I_n(k) \otimes I_n$ with the computer code compared with the results from the expressions in Theorems 5.2–5.5					
Index	Dimension k	TopoChemie-2020	Expressions 5.2–5.5		
$ABC(HT(k) \odot P_n)$	k = 3, n = 3	62.8938552	62.8933		
	k = 4, n = 4	168.79986743214627	168.8003		
$M_1(HT(k) \odot P_n)$	k = 3, n = 3	774	774		
	k = 4, n = 4	2314	2314		

1582

5159

Table 3 Results obtained for the corona product of hypertree and a path network with *n* vertices $HT(k) \odot P_n$ with the computer code compared with the results from the expressions in Theorems 5.2–5.5

Remark 5.6 The results obtained from TopoChemie-2020 are shown in Table 3 for comparison with the results obtained from Theorems 5.2–5.5.

6 Chemical applications and conclusion

k = 3, n = 3

k = 4, n = 4

The recursive hypertree networks considered in this study could have several applications to chemical problems such as recursive molecular networks that occur in chemical applications, for example, dendrimers [30]. The OSAR studies of dendrimers could then be benefited by the various topological indices derived in this work for hypertrees. Furthermore, recent extension of topological indices to relativistic topological indices considered in [31] could have significant ramifications in the prediction of relativistic chemical properties of complex network of molecular and material systems when heavier atoms such as Pt, Pd and other heavy elements are present [32–35]. For such systems relativistic effects are quite important [32–35]. It is anticipated that when the expressions derived here for the topological indices of hypertrees are generalized to encompass such relativistic corrections [32–35] then the generalized relativistic expressions could have important applications in the prediction of topological properties of dendrimeric metal-organic networks containing very heavy atoms. Moreover, graph products such as the corona products and other products have been recently considered for the computation of Mostar indices of nanomaterials [36], and thus we expect more research along these lines employing graph products in the future.

Biological processes are well interpreted by reliable models for interaction networks. The structure of social networks has to be studied along with the spread of infectious diseases like corona virus [8]. In this paper we have considered certain biochemical networks such as k-level hypertrees and corona product of hypertrees and several topological indices have been obtained for the same.

Acknowledgements The work of R. Sundara Rajan is supported by Project No. ECR/2016/1993, Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India. Further, we thank G. Kirithiga Nandini, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Hindustan Institute of Technology and Science, India, for her fruitful suggestions. The authors sincerely thank the reviewers for their comments and suggestions which significantly improved the quality of this paper. Authors wish to thank Prof M. Arockiaraj for his help in checking the expressions.

 $M_2(HT(k) \odot P_n)$

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- B. Ivorra, A.M. Ramos, D. Ngom, Be-CoDiS: a mathematical model to predict the risk of human diseases spread between countries. Validation and application to the 2014 ebola virus disease epidemic. Bull. Math. Biol. 77(9), 1668–1704 (2015)
- O. Diekmann, H. Heesterbeek, T. Britton, in Understanding Infectious Disease Dynamics. Princeton Series in Theoretical and Computational Biology, Princeton University Press, ISBN 978-1-4008-4562-0 (2013) http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.cttq9530
- C. Bowman, A. Gumel, P.V. Driessche, J. Wu, H. Zhu, A mathematical model for assessing control strategies against West Nile virus. Bull. Math. Biol. 67(5), 1107–1133 (2005)
- A.J. Kucharski, T.W. Russell, C. Diamond, Y. Liu, J. Edmunds, S. Funk, Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. Lancet Infect. Dis. (2020). https ://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30144-4
- K. Roosa, Y. Lee, R. Luo, A. Kirpich, R. Rothenberg, J. Hyman, Real-time forecasts of the COVID-19 epidemic in china from February 5th to February 24th. Infect. Dis. Modell. 5, 256–263 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.02.002
- Z.S. Wong, J. Zhou, Q. Zhang, Artificial intelligence for infectious disease big data analytics. Infect. Dis. Health 24(1), 44–48 (2019)
- B. Wang, Y. Sun, T. Q. Duong, L. D. Nguyen, L. Hanzo, in Risk-Aware Identification of Highly Suspected COVID-19 Cases in Social IoT: A Joint Graph Theory and Reinforcement Learning Approach, IEEE Access (2020)
- O. Mason, M. Verwoerd, Graph Theory and Networks in Biology. IET Syst. Biol. 1(2), 89–119 (2007)
- M. Koutrouli, E. Karatzas, D. Paez-Espino, G.A. Pavlopoulos, A guide to conquer the biological network era using graph theory. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. (2020). https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe .2020.00034
- L. Danon, A.P. Ford, T. House, C.P. Jewell, M. Keeling, G.O. Roberts, Networks and the epidemiology of infectious disease. Interdiscip. Perspect Infect. Dis. 10, 1–28 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/284909
- 11. R. Thomas, C.J. Portier, Gene expression networks. Methods Mol. Biol. **930**, 165–178 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-059-5_7
- G.A. Pavlopoulos, P.I. Kontou, A. Pavlopoulou, C. Bouyioukos, E. Markou, P.G. Bagos, Bipartite graphs in systems biology and medicine: a survey of methods and applications. GigaScience 7, 1–31 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giy014
- 13. M. Samanta, S. Liang, Predicting protein functions from redundancies in large-scale protein interaction networks. PNAS **100**(22), 12579–12583 (2003)
- 14. S. Eubank, Modelling disease outbreaks in realistic urban social networks. Nature **429**, 180–184 (2004)
- A. Schnitzler, J. Gross, Normal and pathological oscillatory communication in the brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 285–295 (2005)
- S. Lalmuanawma, J. Hussain, L. Chhakchhuak, Applications of machine learning and artificial intelligence for Covid-19 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic: a review. Chaos Solitons Fractals (2020). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110059
- M. Mirzargar, A.R. Ashrafi, Some distance-based topological indices of a non-commuting graph. HACET J Math. Stat. 41(4), 515–526 (2012)
- M. Ghorbani, A. Khaki, A note on the fourth version of geometric-arithmetic index. Optoelectron. Adv. Mat. 4(12), 2212–2215 (2010)
- M.R. Farahani, R.M.R. Kanna, Fourth zagreb index of circumcoronene series of benzenoid. Leonardo El. J. Pract. Technol. 27, 155–161 (2015)

- W. Gao, H. Wua, M.K. Siddiqui, A.Q. Baig, Study of biological networks using graph theory. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 25(6), 1212–1219 (2018)
- J.R. Goodman, C.H. Sequin, A multiprocessor interconnection topology. IEEE T. Comput. 30(12), 923–933 (1981)
- 22. K. Balasubramanian, TopoChemie-2020, Fortran'95 codes for the computation of topological indices
- 23. I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals: total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons. Chem. Phys. Lett. **17**, 535–538 (1972)
- K.C. Das, I. Gutman, B. Furtula, On atom-bond connectivity index. Chem. Phys. Lett. 511(4–6), 452–454 (2011)
- 25. P.V. Khadikar, On a novel structural descriptor PI. Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett. 23, 113-118 (2000)
- I. Gutman, A formula for the Wiener number of trees and its extension to graphs containing cycles. Graph Theory Notes N.Y. 27, 9–15 (1994)
- H.P. Schultz, Topological organic chemistry: graph theory and topological indices of alkanes. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 29(3), 227–228 (1989)
- I. Gutman, Selected properties of the Schultz molecular topological index. J. Chem. Inform. Comput. Sci. 34, 1087–1089 (1994)
- S. Nada, A. Elrokh, E.A. Elsakhawi, D.E. Sabra, The corona between cycles and paths. J. Egypt. Math. Soc. (JOEMS) 25, 111–118 (2017)
- K. Balasubramanian, Nested wreath groups and their applications to phylogeny in biology and Cayley trees in chemistry and physics. J. Math. Chem. 55(1), 195–222 (2017)
- M. Arockiaraj, S.R.J. Kavitha, S. Mushtaq, K. Balasubramanian, Relativistic topological molecular descriptors of metal trihalides. J. Mol. Struct 128368 (2020)
- 32. K. Balasubramanian, *Relativistic Effects in Chemistry: Part A Theory & Techniques* (Wiley, New York, 1997), p. 301
- K. Balasubramanian, P.Y. Feng, Potential-energy surfaces for Pt₂+H and Pt+H interactions. J. Chem. Phys. 92, 541–550 (1990)
- 34. K. Balasubramanian, Ten Low-lying electronic states of Pd₃. J. Chem. Phys **91**, 307–313 (1989)
- 35. D. Majumdar, K. Balasubramanian, H. Nitsche, A comparative theoretical study of bonding in $UO_2^{++}, UO_2^+, UO_2, UO_2^-, OUCO, O_2U(CO)_2$ and UO_2CO_3 . Chem. Phys. Lett. **361**, 143–151 (2002)
- M. İmran, S. Akhter, Ž. Iqbal, Edge Mostar index of chemical structures and nanostructures using graph operations. Int. J. Quant. Chem. 120, e26259 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.26259

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.