

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTER MODELLING

Mathematical and Computer Modelling 47 (2008) 271-277

www.elsevier.com/locate/mcm

Neighborhoods of certain subclasses of analytic functions of complex order with negative coefficients

B. Srutha Keerthi^a, A. Gangadharan^a, H.M. Srivastava^{b,*}

^a Department of Applied Mathematics, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Sriperumbudur, Pennalur 602105, Tamilnadu, India ^b Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4, Canada

Received 26 April 2006; received in revised form 10 April 2007; accepted 11 April 2007

Abstract

By making use of the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions, the authors prove several inclusion relations associated with the (n, δ) -neighborhoods of certain subclasses of analytic functions of complex order, which are introduced here by means of the Ruscheweyh derivatives.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Analytic functions; Ruscheweyh derivatives; Starlike functions; Convex functions; (n, δ) -neighborhoods; Inclusion relations

1. Introduction and definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}(n)$ denote the class of functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \ge 0; k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, \dots, n\}; n \in \mathbb{N}; \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk

 $\mathbb{U} := \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \}.$

Following the earlier investigations by Goodman [1] and Ruscheweyh [2], we define the (n, δ) -neighborhood of a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ by (see also [3–5] and [6])

$$N_{n,\delta}(f) := \left\{ g : g \in \mathcal{A}(n), g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\}.$$

$$(1.2)$$

In particular, for the *identify* function

$$e(z) = z, \tag{1.3}$$

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 250 472 5692; fax: +1 250 721 8962.

E-mail addresses: laya@svce.ac.in (B.S. Keerthi), ganga@svce.ac.in (A. Gangadharan), harimsri@math.uvic.ca (H.M. Srivastava).

^{0895-7177/\$ -} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2007.04.004

we immediately have

$$N_{n,\delta}(e) := \left\{ g : g \in \mathcal{A}(n), g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |b_k| \leq \delta \right\}.$$
(1.4)

The above concept of (n, δ) -neighborhoods was extended and applied recently to families of analytically multivalent functions by Altintaş et al. [7], and to families of meromorphically multivalent functions by Liu and Srivastava ([8] and [9]) (see also the more recent works [10] and [11]). The main object of the present paper is to investigate the (n, δ) -neighborhoods of several subclasses of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ of *normalized* analytic functions in \mathbb{U} with negative and missing coefficients, which are introduced here by making use of the Ruscheweyh derivative operator defined by (1.11) or (1.12) below.

First of all, we say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is *starlike of complex order* γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$); that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\star}(\gamma)$, if it also satisfies the following inequality:

$$\Re\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right]\right)>0 \quad (z\in\mathbb{U};\,\gamma\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}).$$
(1.5)

Furthermore, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be *convex of complex order* γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$); that is, $f \in \mathcal{C}_n(\gamma)$ if it also satisfies the following inequality:

$$\Re\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right]\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$
(1.6)

The classes $S_n^{\star}(\gamma)$ and $C_n(\gamma)$ stem essentially from the classes of starlike and convex functions of complex order, which were considered earlier by Nasr and Aouf [12] and Wiatrowski [13], respectively (see also [14–16] and [17]).

Let $S_n(\gamma, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ denote the subclass of the function class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions f(z) which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha \mu z^3 f'''(z) + (2\alpha \mu + \alpha - \mu) z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha \mu z^2 f''(z) + (\alpha - \mu) z f'(z) + (1 - \alpha + \mu) f(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 \le \mu \le \alpha; 0 < \beta \le 1).$$
(1.7)

Suppose also that $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ denotes the subclass of the function class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions f(z) which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\left|\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\alpha \mu z^2 f'''(z) + (2\alpha \mu + \alpha - \mu) z f''(z) + f'(z) - 1 \right) \right| < \beta$$

($z \in \mathbb{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 \leq \mu \leq \alpha; 0 < \beta \leq 1$). (1.8)

The classes $S_n(\gamma, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ were studied recently by Orhan and Kamali [17].

Next, for the functions $f_i(z)$ (j = 1, 2) given by

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (j = 1, 2),$$
(1.9)

we denote by $(f_1 \star f_2)(z)$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, defined by

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(z) \coloneqq z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k \rightleftharpoons (f_2 \star f_1)(z).$$
(1.10)

Thus the Ruscheweyh derivative operator

$$D^{\lambda}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \quad \left(\mathcal{A} \coloneqq \mathcal{A}(1)\right)$$

is defined by

$$D^{\lambda}f(z) \coloneqq \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} \star f(z) \quad (\lambda > -1; f \in \mathcal{A})$$

$$(1.11)$$

or, equivalently, by

$$D^{\lambda}f(z) := z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} {\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}} a_k z^k \quad (\lambda > -1; f \in \mathcal{A})$$
(1.12)

for a function $f \in A$ of the form (1.1). Here, and in what follows, we make use of the following standard notation for a binomial coefficient:

$$\binom{\kappa}{n} \coloneqq \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)\cdots(\kappa-n+1)}{n!} \quad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C}; \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \coloneqq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$
(1.13)

In particular, we have

$$D^{n}f(z) = \frac{z\left(z^{n-1}f(z)\right)^{(n)}}{n!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}).$$
(1.14)

Finally, in terms of the Ruscheweyh derivative operator D^{λ} ($\lambda > -1$) defined by (1.11) or (1.12) above, let $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ denote the subclass of the function class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions f(z) which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha \mu z^3 \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)^{\prime\prime\prime} + (2\alpha \mu + \alpha - \mu) z^2 \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)^{\prime\prime} + z \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)^{\prime}}{\alpha \mu z^2 \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)^{\prime\prime} + (\alpha - \mu) z \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)^{\prime} + (1 - \alpha + \mu) D^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \lambda > -1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; 0 \leq \mu \leq \alpha).$$

$$(1.15)$$

Also, let $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ denote the subclass of the function class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions f(z) which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\left|\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\alpha \mu z^2 \left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime\prime} + (2\alpha \mu + \alpha - \mu)z \left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime} + \left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime} - 1\right)\right| < \beta$$

$$\left(z \in \mathbb{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \lambda > -1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; 0 \leq \mu \leq \alpha\right).$$
(1.16)

Various *further* subclasses of the function class $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ with

$$\gamma = 1$$
 and $\alpha = \mu = 0$

were studied in many earlier works (*cf.*, *e.g.*, [18] and [19]; see also the references cited in each of these earlier works). Clearly, in these cases of (for example) the class $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$, we have the following relationships:

$$\mathcal{S}_{n}(\gamma, 0, 0, 0, 1) \subset \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\star}(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{n}(\gamma, 0, 1, 0, 1) \subset \mathcal{C}_{n}(\gamma) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$
(1.17)

2. Inclusion relations involving the (n, δ) -neighborhood $N_{n,\delta}(e)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving the (n, δ) -neighborhood $N_{n,\delta}(e)$, we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then f is in the class $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \eta(k) a_k \leq \beta |\gamma|,$$
(2.1)

where

$$\begin{split} \eta &= \eta(k) \coloneqq \left(\alpha \mu k^3 + (\alpha - \mu - 2\alpha \mu + \alpha \mu \beta |\gamma|) k^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. (\alpha \mu - 2\alpha - 2\mu + 1 + (\alpha - \mu - \alpha \mu) \beta |\gamma|) k + (1 - \alpha + \mu) (\beta |\gamma| - 1) \right). \end{split}$$

Proof. We first suppose that $f \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$. Then, by appealing to the condition (1.15), we readily obtain

$$\Re\left(\frac{\alpha\mu z^{3}\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime\prime}+(2\alpha\mu+\alpha-\mu)z^{2}\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime}+z\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime}}{\alpha\mu z^{2}\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime}+(\alpha-\mu)z\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime}+(1-\alpha+\mu)D^{\lambda}f(z)}-1\right)>-\beta|\gamma|\quad(z\in\mathbb{U})$$
(2.2)

or, equivalently,

$$\Re\left(\frac{-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} {\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}} \left[\alpha \mu k^{3} + (\alpha - \mu - 2\alpha \mu)k^{2} + (\alpha \mu - 2\alpha + 2\mu + 1)k - (1 - \alpha + \mu)\right] a_{k} z^{k}}{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} {\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}} \left[\alpha \mu k^{2} + (\alpha - \mu - \alpha \mu)k + (1 - \alpha + \mu)\right] a_{k} z^{k}}\right)$$

> $-\beta |\gamma| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$ (2.3)

where we have made use of (1.12) and the definition (1.1). We now choose values of z on the real axis and let $z \rightarrow 1-$ through *real* values. Then the inequality (2.3) immediately yields the desired condition (2.1).

Conversely, by applying the hypothesis (2.1) and letting |z| = 1, we find that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\alpha\mu z^{3}\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime\prime}+(2\alpha\mu+\alpha-\mu)z^{2}\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime\prime}+z\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime}-1}{\alpha\mu z^{2}\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime\prime}+(\alpha-\mu)z\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)^{\prime}+(1-\alpha+\mu)D^{\lambda}f(z)}-1 \\ &= \left|\frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}\left[\alpha\mu k^{3}+(\alpha-\mu-2\alpha\mu)k^{2}+(\alpha\mu-2\alpha+2\mu+1)k-(1-\alpha+\mu)\right]a_{k}z^{k}}{1-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}\left[\alpha\mu k^{2}+(\alpha-\mu-\alpha\mu)k+(1-\alpha+\mu)\right]a_{k}z^{k}}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{\beta|r|\left[1-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}\left[\alpha\mu k^{2}+(\alpha-\mu-\alpha\mu)k+(1-\alpha+\mu)\right]a_{k}\right]}{1-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}\left[\alpha\mu k^{2}+(\alpha-\mu-\alpha\mu)k+(1-\alpha+\mu)\right]a_{k}} \\ &\leq \beta|\gamma|. \end{split}$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus principle, we have

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta),$$

which evidently completes the proof of Lemma 1. \Box

Similarly, we can prove the following result.

Lemma 2. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then f is in the class $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ if only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \left[\alpha \mu k^3 + (\alpha-\mu-\alpha\mu)k^2 + (1-\alpha+\mu)k \right] a_k \leq \beta |\gamma|.$$
(2.5)

(2.4)

Remark 1. A special case of Lemma 1 when

n = 1, $\mu = \alpha = 0,$ $\gamma = 1$ and $\beta = 1 - c$ $(0 \le c < 1)$

was given by Ahuja [20]. Furthermore, in Lemma 1 with

$$n = 1, \quad \mu = \alpha = 0, \quad \gamma = 1 \text{ and } \beta = 1 - c \quad (0 \le c < 1)$$

if we set

 $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$,

we obtain the relatively more familiar results of Silverman [21].

Our first main result is given by Theorem 1 below.

Theorem 1. If

$$\delta := \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\rho},\tag{2.6}$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma,\lambda,\alpha,\mu,\beta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e),\tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\rho := \left[\alpha \mu (n+1)^3 + (\alpha \mu \beta |\gamma| + \alpha - \mu - 2\alpha \mu)(n+1)^2 + ((\alpha - \mu - \alpha \mu)\beta |\gamma| + 1 - 2\alpha + 2\mu + \alpha \mu)(n+1) + (1 - \alpha + \mu)(\beta |\gamma| - 1) \right].$$
(2.8)

Proof. For a function $f \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ of the form (1.1) and for ρ defined already by (2.8), Lemma 1 immediately yields

$$\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\rho\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}a_k\leq\beta|\gamma|,$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\beta |\gamma|}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\rho}.$$
(2.9)

On the other hand, we also find from (2.1) that

$$\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\tau\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}ka_k\leq\beta|\gamma|,$$

where

$$\tau = \left[\alpha \mu (n+1)^2 + (\alpha \mu \beta |\gamma| + \alpha - \mu - 2\alpha \mu)(n+1) + ((\alpha - \mu - \alpha \mu)\beta |\gamma| + 1 - 2\alpha + 2\mu + \alpha \mu) + \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha + \mu)(\beta |\gamma| - 1)}{n+1} \right) \right],$$
(2.10)

that is, that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|(n+1)}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\rho} \coloneqq \delta,$$
(2.11)

which, in view of the definition (1.4), proves Theorem 1. \Box

Similarly, by applying Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1, we can prove Theorem 2 below.

Theorem 2. If

$$\delta := \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n} \left[\alpha\mu(n+1)^2 + (\alpha - \mu - \alpha\mu)(n+1) + (1 - \alpha + \mu)\right]},\tag{2.12}$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e).$$

3. Neighborhood properties for the function classes $S_n^{(b)}(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(b)}(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$

In this section, we determine the neighborhood for each of the function classes

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{(b)}(\gamma,\lambda,\alpha,\mu,\beta)$$
 and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(b)}(\gamma,\lambda,\alpha,\mu,\beta)$,

which we define here as follows.

Definition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_n^{(b)}(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ such that the following inequality holds true:

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1\right| < 1 - b \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \le b < 1).$$
(3.1)

Definition 2. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_n^{(b)}(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ such that the inequality (3.1) holds true.

Theorem 3. If $g \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ and

$$b = 1 - \frac{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\delta\rho}{(n+1)\left[\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\rho - \beta|\gamma|\right]},$$
(3.2)

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{S}_n^{(b)}(\gamma,\lambda,\alpha,\mu,\beta), \tag{3.3}$$

where ρ is given already by (2.8).

Proof. Assuming that $f \in N_{n,\delta}(g)$, we find from the definition (1.2) that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \delta, \tag{3.4}$$

which readily implies the following coefficient inequality:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(3.5)

Since $g \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$, we have [*cf.* Eq. (2.9)].

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k = \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\rho},\tag{3.6}$$

so that

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1\right| < \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k}$$
$$\leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \cdot \frac{\binom{\lambda+n}{n} \delta\rho}{\left[\binom{\lambda+n}{n} \rho - \beta|\gamma|\right]} =: 1 - b,$$
(3.7)

provided that b is given precisely by (3.2). Thus, by Definition 1, we conclude that

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_n^{(b)}(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$$

for *b* given by (3.2). This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3. \Box

The proof of Theorem 4 below is much akin to that of Theorem 3, and so the details involved are being omitted here.

Theorem 4. If $g \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \beta)$ and

$$b = 1 - \frac{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\delta\left[\alpha\mu(n+1)^3 + (\alpha - \mu - \alpha\mu)(n+1)^2 + (1 - \alpha + \mu)(n+1)\right]}{(n+1)\left[\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\left[\alpha\mu(n+1)^3 + (\alpha - \mu - \alpha\mu)(n+1)^2 + (1 - \alpha + \mu)(n+1)\right] - \beta|\gamma|\right]},$$
(3.8)

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{R}_n^{(b)}(\gamma,\lambda,\alpha,\mu,\beta).$$
(3.9)

Remark 2. A special case of Theorem 3 when $\alpha = \mu = 0$ was proven recently by Murugusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [16, p. 6, Theorem 3].

Acknowledgements

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the *Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada* under Grant OGP0007353.

References

- [1] A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957) 598-601.
- [2] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981) 521-527.
- [3] O.P. Ahuja, M. Nunokawa, Neighborhoods of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, Math. Japon. 51 (2003) 487-492.
- [4] O. Altintaş, S. Owa, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 19 (1996) 797-800.
- [5] O. Altintaş, Ö. Özkan, H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Lett. 13 (3) (2000) 63–67.
- [6] H. Silverman, Neighborhoods of classes of analytic functions, Far East J. Math. Sci. 3 (1995) 165–169.
- [7] O. Altintaş, Ö. Özkan, H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, Comput. Math. Appl. 47 (2004) 1667–1672.
- [8] J.-L. Liu, H.M. Srivastava, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a generalized hypergeometric function, Math. Comput. Modelling 39 (2004) 21–34.
- [9] J.-L. Liu, H.M. Srivastava, Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a certain linear operator, Math. Comput. Modelling 39 (2004) 35–44.
- [10] R.K. Raina, H.M. Srivastava, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of some analytic and multivalent functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (1) (2006) 1–6 (Article 5, electronic).
- [11] H.M. Srivastava, J. Patel, Some subclasses of multivalent functions involving a certain linear operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 310 (2005) 209-228.
- [12] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, J. Natur. Sci. Math. 25 (1985) 1–12.
- [13] P. Wiatrowski, On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions, Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Łódz Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod. (Ser. 2) 39 (1970) 75–85.
- [14] O. Altintaş, Ö. Özkan, H.M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 46 (2001) 207–218.
- [15] O. Altintaş, H.M. Srivastava, Some majorization problems associated with *p*-valently starlike and convex functions of complex order, East Asian Math. J. 17 (2001) 175–183.
- [16] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions of complex order, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5 (2) (2004) 1–8 (Article 24, electronic).
- [17] H. Orhan, M. Kamali, Starlike, convex and close-to convex functions of complex order, Appl. Math. Comput. 135 (2003) 251–262.
- [18] P.L. Duren, Univalent Functions, in: A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
- [19] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, 1992.
- [20] O.P. Ahuja, Hadamard products of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivaties, in: H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, 1992, pp. 13–28.
- [21] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975) 109-116.