

**4-Azido-2-chloro-6-methylquinoline**

**S. Natarajan,<sup>a</sup> K. Rajesh,<sup>b</sup> V. Vijayakumar,<sup>b</sup> J. Suresh<sup>c</sup> and P. L. Nilantha Lakshman<sup>d\*</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625 021, India, <sup>b</sup>Organic Chemistry Division, School of Science and Humanities, VIT University, Vellore 632 014, India, <sup>c</sup>Department of Physics, The Madura College, Madurai 625 011, India, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya 81100, Sri Lanka  
Correspondence e-mail: nilanthalakshman@yahoo.co.uk


Received 24 February 2009; accepted 25 February 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293\text{ K}$ ; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004\text{ \AA}$ ;  $R$  factor = 0.057;  $wR$  factor = 0.180; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.7.

In the title compound,  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_7\text{ClN}_4$ , the quinoline ring system is planar [maximum deviation 0.0035 (10)  $\text{\AA}$ ]. The crystal structure is stabilized by van der Waals and  $\pi-\pi$  stacking interactions [centroid–centroid distance 3.6456 (17)  $\text{\AA}$ ].

**Related literature**

For quinoline derivatives as anti-tuberculosis agents, see: Jain *et al.* (2005).

**Experimental***Crystal data*

$\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_7\text{ClN}_4$	$\gamma = 76.532 (7)^\circ$
$M_r = 218.65$	$V = 497.57 (7)\text{ \AA}^3$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$Z = 2$
$a = 6.9517 (4)\text{ \AA}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$b = 7.6078 (6)\text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.35\text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 10.0191 (9)\text{ \AA}$	$T = 293\text{ K}$
$\alpha = 75.694 (7)^\circ$	$0.19 \times 0.17 \times 0.14\text{ mm}$
$\beta = 82.147 (8)^\circ$	

*Data collection*

Nonius MACH-3 diffractometer	1206 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan (North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.019$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.935$ , $T_{\text{max}} = 0.952$	2 standard reflections
2209 measured reflections	frequency: 60 min
1743 independent reflections	intensity decay: none

*Refinement*

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$	137 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.180$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.08$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.36\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
1743 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.35\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1996); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

SN thanks the DST for the FIST programme.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: AT2729).

**References**

- Enraf–Nonius (1994). *CAD-4 EXPRESS*. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1996). *XCAD4*. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Jain, R., Singh, P. P., Jain, M., Sachdeva, S., Misra, V., Kaul, C. L., Kaur, S., Vaitilingam, B., Nayyar, A. & Bhaskar, P. P. (2005). Indian Patent Appl. IN 2002DE00628.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst. A* **24**, 351–359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, o671 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809007041]

## 4-Azido-2-chloro-6-methylquinoline

**S. Natarajan, K. Rajesh, V. Vijayakumar, J. Suresh and P. L. Nilantha Lakshman**

### S1. Comment

Quinoline derivatives are a class of important materials as anti-tuberculosis agents (Jain *et al.*, 2005). In the title molecule (Fig. 1), all non-H atoms of the molecule, except atoms C11, C10, N2, N3 and N4 are coplanar within 0.0035 (10) Å. Due to 4-azida substitution within the pyridine ring: C2=C3 bond is longer and the C3—C4 bond is shorter than standard values for C=C (1.334 Å) and Csp<sup>2</sup>—Csp<sup>2</sup> (1.455 Å) bond lengths respectively. The dihedral angle between the C3/N2—N4 and C2/C1/N1/C5 rings is 6.16 (11)°.


There is a weak  $\pi\cdots\pi$  interaction observed between the centres of N1/C1—C5 rings related through the symmetry operator  $-x$ ,  $1-y$ ,  $1-z$ , with centroids separation of 3.6456 (17) Å.

### S2. Experimental

A mixture of 2,4-dichloroquinoline (2.12 g, 10 mmol) and sodium azide (0.650 g, 10 mmol) in DMF (20 ml) was refluxed for 2 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After confirming that the reaction got completed, the reaction mixture was cooled and poured on to the crushed ice with stirring. The solid settled was filtered to dryness and purified over a column of silica gel (60–120 mesh; 50 g) eluting with Petroleum Ether–ethyl acetate (4.5:1.5) to give 4-azido-2-chloro- 6-methylquinoline. The product was re-crystallized from 100% chloroform [mp: 429–430 K, yield: 20%].

### S3. Refinement

The H atoms were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride on their carrier atoms with C—H = 0.93–0.96 Å and with U<sub>iso</sub> = 1.2U<sub>eq</sub>(C) for CH and U<sub>iso</sub> = 1.5U<sub>eq</sub>(C) for CH<sub>3</sub> groups.

**Figure 1**

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.

#### 4-Azido-2-chloro-6-methylquinoline

##### *Crystal data*

$C_{10}H_7ClN_4$   
 $M_r = 218.65$   
Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$   
Hall symbol: -P 1  
 $a = 6.9517 (4) \text{ \AA}$   
 $b = 7.6078 (6) \text{ \AA}$   
 $c = 10.0191 (9) \text{ \AA}$   
 $\alpha = 75.694 (7)^\circ$   
 $\beta = 82.147 (8)^\circ$   
 $\gamma = 76.532 (7)^\circ$   
 $V = 497.57 (7) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 2$   
 $F(000) = 224$   
 $D_x = 1.459 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$   
Cell parameters from 25 reflections  
 $\theta = 2\text{--}25^\circ$   
 $\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$   
 $T = 293 \text{ K}$   
Block, colourless  
 $0.19 \times 0.17 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$

##### *Data collection*

Nonius MACH-3  
diffractometer  
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube  
Graphite monochromator  
 $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scans  
Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan  
(North *et al.*, 1968)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.935$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.952$   
2209 measured reflections

1743 independent reflections  
1206 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.019$   
 $\theta_{\max} = 25.0^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.1^\circ$   
 $h = -1 \rightarrow 8$   
 $k = -8 \rightarrow 9$   
 $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$   
2 standard reflections every 60 min  
intensity decay: none

*Refinement*Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.180$$

$$S = 1.08$$

1743 reflections

137 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1143P)^2 + 0.0878P]$$

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.1775 (5)	0.7084 (4)	0.2969 (3)	0.0365 (8)
C2	0.2459 (4)	0.5180 (3)	0.3035 (3)	0.0334 (7)
H2	0.2656	0.4702	0.2246	0.040*
C3	0.2820 (4)	0.4060 (3)	0.4303 (3)	0.0294 (7)
C4	0.2518 (4)	0.4825 (4)	0.5495 (3)	0.0291 (7)
C5	0.1836 (4)	0.6769 (4)	0.5274 (3)	0.0328 (7)
C6	0.1508 (5)	0.7579 (4)	0.6436 (3)	0.0432 (8)
H6	0.1047	0.8853	0.6320	0.052*
C7	0.1857 (5)	0.6525 (4)	0.7709 (3)	0.0448 (9)
H7	0.1636	0.7094	0.8454	0.054*
C8	0.2548 (5)	0.4583 (5)	0.7950 (3)	0.0407 (8)
C9	0.2849 (4)	0.3774 (4)	0.6839 (3)	0.0354 (7)
H9	0.3283	0.2495	0.6978	0.043*
C10	0.2945 (6)	0.3461 (6)	0.9386 (3)	0.0581 (10)
H10A	0.1764	0.3662	0.9996	0.087*
H10B	0.3994	0.3836	0.9706	0.087*
H10C	0.3331	0.2169	0.9371	0.087*
C11	0.13068 (16)	0.85050 (11)	0.13412 (9)	0.0604 (4)
N1	0.1475 (4)	0.7888 (3)	0.4003 (3)	0.0395 (7)
N2	0.3501 (4)	0.2108 (3)	0.4540 (3)	0.0396 (7)
N3	0.3912 (4)	0.1499 (3)	0.3461 (3)	0.0418 (7)
N4	0.4337 (5)	0.0798 (4)	0.2571 (3)	0.0614 (10)

Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0458 (19)	0.0243 (14)	0.0406 (16)	-0.0080 (13)	-0.0078 (14)	-0.0063 (12)
C2	0.0435 (19)	0.0236 (15)	0.0374 (16)	-0.0062 (13)	-0.0066 (14)	-0.0138 (12)
C3	0.0317 (16)	0.0202 (13)	0.0404 (16)	-0.0029 (12)	-0.0044 (13)	-0.0160 (12)
C4	0.0304 (16)	0.0214 (14)	0.0388 (16)	-0.0021 (11)	-0.0035 (12)	-0.0158 (12)
C5	0.0359 (18)	0.0227 (14)	0.0432 (16)	-0.0032 (12)	-0.0034 (13)	-0.0165 (12)
C6	0.055 (2)	0.0272 (16)	0.053 (2)	-0.0039 (14)	-0.0025 (16)	-0.0249 (14)
C7	0.056 (2)	0.0417 (18)	0.0452 (19)	-0.0095 (16)	0.0017 (16)	-0.0291 (15)
C8	0.044 (2)	0.0449 (18)	0.0385 (17)	-0.0112 (15)	-0.0041 (14)	-0.0171 (14)
C9	0.0400 (19)	0.0255 (14)	0.0426 (17)	-0.0018 (13)	-0.0064 (14)	-0.0139 (13)
C10	0.075 (3)	0.062 (2)	0.041 (2)	-0.013 (2)	-0.0078 (18)	-0.0161 (17)
Cl1	0.0950 (9)	0.0351 (5)	0.0482 (6)	-0.0092 (5)	-0.0201 (5)	-0.0002 (4)
N1	0.0535 (18)	0.0188 (12)	0.0460 (15)	-0.0010 (11)	-0.0058 (12)	-0.0121 (11)
N2	0.0572 (18)	0.0208 (12)	0.0413 (14)	0.0034 (12)	-0.0084 (12)	-0.0164 (11)
N3	0.0582 (19)	0.0204 (12)	0.0487 (16)	-0.0013 (12)	-0.0104 (13)	-0.0146 (12)
N4	0.105 (3)	0.0314 (14)	0.0503 (17)	0.0002 (16)	-0.0140 (17)	-0.0239 (13)

Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

C1—N1	1.298 (4)	C6—H6	0.9300
C1—C2	1.403 (4)	C7—C8	1.413 (4)
C1—Cl1	1.745 (3)	C7—H7	0.9300
C2—C3	1.362 (4)	C8—C9	1.371 (4)
C2—H2	0.9300	C8—C10	1.505 (5)
C3—N2	1.419 (3)	C9—H9	0.9300
C3—C4	1.424 (3)	C10—H10A	0.9600
C4—C9	1.404 (4)	C10—H10B	0.9600
C4—C5	1.415 (4)	C10—H10C	0.9600
C5—N1	1.364 (4)	N2—N3	1.251 (3)
C5—C6	1.416 (4)	N3—N4	1.121 (3)
C6—C7	1.348 (4)		
N1—C1—C2	126.1 (3)	C6—C7—C8	122.2 (3)
N1—C1—Cl1	117.0 (2)	C6—C7—H7	118.9
C2—C1—Cl1	116.9 (2)	C8—C7—H7	118.9
C3—C2—C1	117.2 (2)	C9—C8—C7	117.8 (3)
C3—C2—H2	121.4	C9—C8—C10	121.7 (3)
C1—C2—H2	121.4	C7—C8—C10	120.5 (3)
C2—C3—N2	124.0 (2)	C8—C9—C4	121.8 (3)
C2—C3—C4	120.3 (2)	C8—C9—H9	119.1
N2—C3—C4	115.7 (2)	C4—C9—H9	119.1
C9—C4—C5	119.6 (2)	C8—C10—H10A	109.5
C9—C4—C3	124.1 (2)	C8—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—C4—C3	116.3 (3)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
N1—C5—C4	123.2 (2)	C8—C10—H10C	109.5
N1—C5—C6	118.8 (2)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5

C4—C5—C6	117.9 (3)	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
C7—C6—C5	120.7 (3)	C1—N1—C5	116.7 (2)
C7—C6—H6	119.6	N3—N2—C3	114.1 (2)
C5—C6—H6	119.6	N4—N3—N2	173.6 (3)
N1—C1—C2—C3	0.9 (5)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-0.4 (5)
C11—C1—C2—C3	-179.7 (2)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-0.5 (5)
C1—C2—C3—N2	179.5 (3)	C6—C7—C8—C10	179.2 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.4 (4)	C7—C8—C9—C4	1.0 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C9	179.8 (3)	C10—C8—C9—C4	-178.6 (3)
N2—C3—C4—C9	-0.1 (4)	C5—C4—C9—C8	-0.7 (4)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.0 (4)	C3—C4—C9—C8	179.5 (3)
N2—C3—C4—C5	-179.9 (3)	C2—C1—N1—C5	-0.9 (5)
C9—C4—C5—N1	-179.9 (3)	C11—C1—N1—C5	179.7 (2)
C3—C4—C5—N1	0.0 (4)	C4—C5—N1—C1	0.4 (5)
C9—C4—C5—C6	-0.1 (4)	C6—C5—N1—C1	-179.3 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	179.7 (3)	C2—C3—N2—N3	5.8 (4)
N1—C5—C6—C7	-179.6 (3)	C4—C3—N2—N3	-174.3 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C7	0.7 (5)	C3—N2—N3—N4	176 (3)