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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of skew-cyclic codes using a skew polynomial ring over $R=\mathbb{Z}_{4}+u \mathbb{Z}_{4} ; u^{2}=1$, with an automorphism $\theta$ and a derivation $\delta_{\theta}$. We generalize the notion of cyclic codes to skew-cyclic codes with derivation, and call such codes as $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes. Some properties of skew polynomial ring $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ are presented. A $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code is proved to be a left $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$-submodule of $\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}$. The form of a parity-check matrix of a free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes of even length $n$ is presented. These codes are further generalized to double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes over $R$. We have obtained some new good codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ via Gray images and residue codes of these codes. The new codes obtained have been reported and added to the database of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes [2].


## 1. Introduction

Cyclic codes form an important family of algebraic codes among all families of codes. The structure of cyclic codes is well defined over fields. Due to their rich algebraic properties these codes are easy to study and implement. Cyclic codes were introduced by Prange [19] in 1957 and have been studied extensively since then. The study of these codes over rings was initiated by the works of Blake [5, 6] and Spiegel [22, 23]. Codes over rings have generated a lot of interest after a breakthrough paper by Hammons et al. [15] in 1994. Recently, many extension rings of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ have been considered by researchers to construct codes [24, 25]. In most of these studies, cyclic codes have been studied in commutative settings.

In 2007, Boucher and Ulmer [9] gave a new direction to the study of cyclic codes by defining a generalization thereof in the non-commutative setting of skew polynomial rings. These codes are known as skew-cyclic codes. They have been further generalized in many ways [8, 10, 11]. In recent years many researchers have shown interest in this direction [4, 21, 16, 14], and many new results on codes over different rings in the setting of skew polynomial rings have been obtained. However, almost all this work has been done in the setting of skew-polynomial rings with automorphism only. In [12], Boucher et al. have studied linear codes using skew-polynomial rings with automorphism and derivation. In this paper, we have considered a class of skew-cyclic codes in the setting of the skew polynomial ring

[^0]$R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$, where $R=\mathbb{Z}_{4}+u \mathbb{Z}_{4} ; u^{2}=1, \theta$ is an automorphism of $R$, and $\delta_{\theta}$ is a derivation of $R$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries and basics are presented. The structural properties of skew polynomial ring $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ are also discussed in this section. In Section $3, \delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes are studied. Their torsion codes and residue codes are also studied. We have given a table of some good linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ obtained from them. In Section 4 , the duals of $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes of even length over $R$. In Section 5 , we have generalized $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes to double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes and obtained some good codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ from this class also.

## 2. Preliminaries



Figure 1.

In this section, we present some basic definitions and results that are necessary to understand the further results.

We fix the notation $R=\mathbb{Z}_{4}+u \mathbb{Z}_{4}, u^{2}=1$. We note that $R \cong \frac{Z_{4}[u]}{\left\langle u^{2}-1\right\rangle}$. An element $a+u b \in R$ is a unit if and only if exactly one of $a$ and $b$ is a unit. Therefore the units of $R$ are

$$
1,3, u, 3 u, u+2,2 u+3,2 u+1,3 u+2 .
$$

In a finite ring, an element is either a unit or a zero divisor, and hence the non-units of $R$ are

$$
0,3 u+3,2 u+2, u+1,2,3 u+1,2 u, u+3
$$

There are total 7 ideals of $R$ (including the zero ideal), and they form a lattice with inclusion operation whose lattice diagram is shown in Figure 1.

In Figure 1, we have $\langle 0\rangle=\{0\},\langle 2 u\rangle=\{0,2 u, 2,2+2 u\},\langle 1+u\rangle=\{0,1+u, 2+$ $2 u, 3+3 u\},\langle 3+u\rangle=\{0, u+3,2 u+2,3 u+2\},\langle 2+2 u\rangle=\{0,2 u+2\},\langle 2 u, 1+u\rangle=$ $\{3 u+3,0,2 u+2, u+1,2,3 u+1,2 u, u+3\},\langle 1\rangle=R$. Thus $R$ is a local-ring with the unique maximal ideal $\langle 2 u, 1+u\rangle$. To know more about the ring $R$, we refer to [18, 20].

Define a map $\theta: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$
\theta(a+u b)=a+(u+2) b
$$

One can easily verify that $\theta$ is an automorphism of $R$. Moreover, since $\theta^{2}(x)=x$ for all $x \in R$, the order of $\theta$ is 2 .

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a finite ring and $\Theta$ be an automorphism of $\mathbf{R}$. Then a $\operatorname{map} \Delta_{\Theta}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to be a derivation on $\mathbf{R}$ if

$$
\Delta_{\Theta}(x+y)=\Delta_{\Theta}(x)+\Delta_{\Theta}(y)
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{\Theta}(x y)=\Delta_{\Theta}(x) y+\Theta(x) \Delta_{\Theta}(y)
$$

We define a map $\delta_{\theta}: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$
\delta_{\theta}(a+u b)=(1+u)(\theta(a+u b)-(a+u b)) .
$$

That is, $\delta_{\theta}(a+u b)=(1+u)(a+u b+2 b-a-u b)=2 b+2 u b$.
Theorem 2.2. The map $\delta_{\theta}$ is a derivation on $R$.
Proof. Let $x, y \in R$. Then by definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\theta}(x+y) & =(1+u)(\theta(x+y)-(x+y)) \\
& =(1+u)(\theta(x)-x)+(1+u)(\theta(y)-y) \\
& =\delta_{\theta}(x)+\delta_{\theta}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\theta}(x y) & =(1+u)(\theta(x y)-x y) \\
& =(1+u) \theta(x) \theta(y)-(1+u) x y \\
& =(1+u) \theta(x) \theta(y)-(1+u) x y+(1+u) \theta(x) y-(1+u) \theta(x) y \\
& =(1+u) \theta(x)(\theta(y)-y)-(1+u)(x-\theta(x)) y \\
& =\theta(x)(1+u)(\theta(y)-y)+(1+u)(\theta(x)-x) y \\
& =\delta_{\theta}(x) y+\theta(x) \delta_{\theta}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\delta_{\theta}$ satisfies the properties of a derivation, $\delta_{\theta}$ is therefore a derivation on $R$.
The following table gives images of elements of $R$ under $\delta_{\theta}$.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $u$ | $2 u$ | $3 u$ | $1+u$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta_{\theta}(x)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2+2 u$ | 0 | $2+2 u$ | $2+2 u$ |
| $x$ | $1+2 u$ | $1+3 u$ | $2+u$ | $2+2 u$ | $2+3 u$ | $3+u$ | $3+2 u$ | $3+3 u$ |
| $\delta_{\theta}(x)$ | 0 | $2+2 u$ | $2+2 u$ | 0 | $2+2 u$ | $2+2 u$ | 0 | $2+2 u$ |

Remark 1. We note that for $n \geq 2$, we have $\delta_{\theta}{ }^{n}(x)=0$ for all $x \in R$.
2.1. Gray map. On $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$, the Lee weight $\left(w_{L}\right)$ is defined as $w_{L}(0)=0, w_{L}(1)=$ $1, w_{L}(2)=2, w_{L}(3)=1$. The Lee weight $w_{L}(u)$ of a vector $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{2}$ is then defined as the rational sum of the Lee weights of its coordinates. Define a map $\phi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4}{ }^{2}$, known as Gray map, such that

$$
\phi(a+u b)=(b, a+b)
$$

For any $x \in R$, we define the Gray weight $w_{G}(x)$ of $x$ as $w_{G}(x)=w_{L}(\phi(x))$. The Gray weights of the elements of $R$ are as follows:

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $u$ | $2 u$ | $3 u$ | $1+u$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $w_{G}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| $x$ | $1+2 u$ | $1+3 u$ | $2+u$ | $2+2 u$ | $2+3 u$ | $3+u$ | $3+2 u$ | $3+3 u$ |
| $w_{G}(x)$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |

The map $\phi$ is extended componentwise to $\Phi: R^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4}{ }^{2 n}$, and we define the Gray weight of $x \in R^{n}$ as the rational sum of Gray weights of its coordinates.

Now onward, we write the parameters of a linear code $C$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ as $\left(n, 4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}, d_{L}\right)$, and say that the type of the code is $4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}$, where $d_{L}$ denotes the minimum Lee distance of $C$.

Theorem 2.3. (Lee Distance Bound [13]) If $C$ is a linear code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ with parameters $\left(n, 4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}, d_{L}\right)$, then $d_{L} \leq 2 n-2 k_{1}-k_{2}+1$.

A linear code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ which satisfies the above bound with equality is called a Maximum Lee Distance Separable (MLDS) code.
2.2. Skew polynomial Ring $\mathbf{R}\left[x, \Theta, \Delta_{\Theta}\right]$. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring with automorphism $\Theta$ and derivation $\Delta_{\Theta}$. Then the skew polynomial ring $\mathbf{R}\left[x, \Theta, \Delta_{\Theta}\right]$ is the set of all polynomials over $\mathbf{R}$ with addition as the ordinary addition of polynomials and multiplication defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x a=\Theta(a) x+\Delta_{\Theta}(a) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$, which is then extended to all elements of $\mathbf{R}\left[x, \Theta, \Delta_{\Theta}\right]$ in the usual manner. The following example illustrates it.

Example 1. Let $f=x^{2}+a_{0} x+a_{1}$ and $g=x+b_{0}$ are in $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$. Then

$$
f+g=x^{2}+\left(a_{0}+1\right) x+a_{1}+b_{0}=g+f
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f g & =\left(x^{2}+a_{0} x+a_{1}\right)\left(x+b_{0}\right) \\
& =x^{2}\left(x+b_{0}\right)+a_{0} x\left(x+b_{0}\right)+a_{1}\left(x+b_{0}\right) \\
& =x^{3}+b_{0} x^{2}+a_{0} x^{2}+a_{0}\left(\theta\left(b_{0}\right) x+\delta_{\theta}\left(b_{0}\right)\right)+a_{1} x+a_{1} b_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

(By Corollary 1 on Page 6)
$=x^{3}+\left(b_{0}+a_{0}\right) x^{2}+\left(a_{0} \theta\left(b_{0}\right)+a_{1}\right) x+a_{0} \delta_{\theta}\left(b_{0}\right)+a_{1} b_{0}$,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g f & =\left(x+b_{0}\right)\left(x^{2}+a_{0} x+a_{1}\right) \\
& =x\left(x^{2}+a_{0} x+a_{1}\right)+b_{0}\left(x^{2}+a_{0} x+a_{1}\right) \\
& =x^{3}+\left(\theta\left(a_{0}\right) x+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) x+\left(\theta\left(a_{1}\right) x+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)+b_{0} x^{2}+b_{0} a_{0} x+b_{0} a_{1} \\
& =x^{3}+\left(\theta\left(a_{0}\right)+b_{0}\right) x^{2}+\left(\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{0}\right)+\theta\left(a_{1}\right)+b_{0} a_{0}\right) x+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{1}\right)+b_{0} a_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $f g \neq g f$. Thus $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ is a non-commutative ring.
Let $R^{\theta}=\{0,1,2,3,2 u, 1+2 u, 3+2 u, 2+2 u\}$. Then $R^{\theta}$ is a subring of $R$ fixed, elementwise, by $\theta$, i.e., $\theta(a)=a$ for all $a \in R^{\theta}$. Also $\delta_{\theta}(a)=0$ for all $a \in R^{\theta}$. Therefore we have $x a=a x$ for all $a \in R^{\theta}$.

Since $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ is not a unique factorization ring, we often have more factors of a polynomial in $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ than in $R[x]$ (shown in Example 5 below). Therefore
we have more possibility of finding good codes over $R$ in this setting, and a search for good codes among these codes looks more promising than a random search for codes over $R$.

Definition 2.4. An element $f(x)$ in $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ is said to be a central element of $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ if $f(x) a(x)=a(x) f(x)$ for all $a(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $a \in R$. Then $\theta(a)-a \neq \delta_{\theta}(b)$ for any $b \in R$ unless $a, b$ both are fixed by $\theta$.

Proof. Let $\theta(a)-a=\delta_{\theta}(b)$ for some arbitrary fixed values of $a$ and $b$. The only possible values of $\delta_{\theta}(b)$ are 0 and $2 u+2$. If $\delta_{\theta}(b)=0$, then $a$ and $b$ both are fixed by $\theta$ and we are done. Suppose $\delta_{\theta}(b)=2 u+2$. But $\theta(a)-a$ does not contain $u$, we get a contradiction. Hence the result.

If we consider the skew polynomial ring over $R$ with automorphism only, i.e., $R[x, \theta]$, then the center of $R[x, \theta]$ is $R^{\theta}\left[x^{2}\right][17]$. However, in the present case, i.e., in $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6. A polynomial $f(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ is a central element if and only if $f(x) \in R^{\theta}[x]$ such that the coefficients of all odd powers of $x$ belong to the set $S=\{0,2,2 u, 2+2 u\}$.

Proof. We prove the result for a polynomial of odd degree. It can be proved similarly for polynomials of even degree. Let $f(x)=f_{0}+f_{1} x+\cdots+f_{k} x^{k} \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ be a polynomial of odd degree. Suppose $f(x)$ is a central element. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =x f(x)-f(x) x \\
& =\delta_{\theta}\left(f_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\theta\left(f_{i}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(f_{i+1}\right)\right) x^{i+1}+\theta\left(f_{k}\right) x^{k+1}-\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_{i} x^{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients of all terms to zero we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\theta}\left(f_{0}\right) & =0  \tag{2}\\
\left(\theta\left(f_{i}\right)-f_{i}+\delta_{\theta}\left(f_{i+1}\right)\right) & =0 \text { for } i=0,1,2, \cdots, k-1  \tag{3}\\
\theta\left(f_{k}\right)-f_{k} & =0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

From Equations (3), (4), (5) and Lemma 2.5, we have all $f_{i}$ 's fixed by $\theta, i=$ $0,1, \cdots, k$.

Again since $f(x)$ is a central element, we have $f(x) a=a f(x)$ for all $a \in R$. Choose $a \in R$, which is not fixed by $\theta$, i.e., $\theta(a) \neq a$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =a f(x)-f(x) a \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k} a f_{i} x^{i}-\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\left(f_{2 j} a+f_{2 j+1} \delta_{\theta}(a)\right) x^{2 j}-\sum_{l=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f_{2 l+1} \theta(a) x^{2 l+1} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\left(a f_{2 j}-f_{2 j} a-f_{2 j+1} \delta_{\theta}(a)\right) x^{2 j}+\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\left(a f_{2 l+1}-f_{2 l+1} \theta(a)\right) x^{2 l+1} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\left(f_{2 j+1} \delta_{\theta}(a)\right) x^{2 j}-\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} f_{2 l+1}(a-\theta(a)) x^{2 l+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $f_{2 l+1}(a-\theta(a))=0$ and $f_{2 j+1}\left(\delta_{\theta}(a)\right)=0$ for all $j, l=0,1,2, \cdots \frac{k-1}{2}$. Since all $f_{i}$ are fixed, the coefficients $f_{2 l+1}$ which satisfy the above conditions are precisely the elements of $S$. Combining both the cases we get the required result.

Conversely, suppose $f(x)$ satisfies the given conditions. Then to show $f(x) a(x)=$ $a(x) f(x)$ for all $a(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$, it is sufficient to show that $\left(a_{i} x^{i}\right)\left(f_{j} x^{j}\right)=$ $\left(f_{j} x^{j}\right)\left(a_{i} x^{i}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \operatorname{deg} a(x)$ and $0 \leq j \leq \operatorname{deg} f(x)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{i} x^{i}\right)\left(f_{j} x^{j}\right)=a_{i} f_{j} x^{i+j}, \text { as all } f_{i} \text { are fixed by } \theta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\left(f_{j} x^{j}\right)\left(a_{i} x^{i}\right)= \begin{cases}f_{j} a_{i} x^{i+j}, & \text { if } j \text { is even }  \tag{6}\\ f_{j}\left(\theta\left(a_{i}\right) x+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{i}\right)\right) x^{i+j-1}, & \text { if } j \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

If $j$ is odd and $f_{j} \in S$, then $f_{j} \delta_{\theta}(a)=0$ and $f_{j} \theta(a)=f_{j} a$ for all $a \in R$, and so (6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{j} x^{j}\right)\left(a_{i} x^{i}\right)=f_{j}\left(\theta\left(a_{i}\right) x+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{i}\right)\right) x^{i+j-1}=f_{j} a_{i} x^{i+j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by $(5),(6),(7)$, we have the required result.
Lemma 2.7. For any element $a \in R$, $\delta_{\theta}(\theta(a))+\theta\left(\delta_{\theta}(a)\right)=0$. Also, $x^{2} a=$ $a x^{2} \forall a \in R$.

Proof. Let $a=a^{\prime}+u b^{\prime} \in R$. Then $\delta_{\theta}(\theta(a))=\delta_{\theta}\left(a^{\prime}+(u+2) b^{\prime}\right)=2 b^{\prime}+2 u b^{\prime}$, and $\theta\left(\delta_{\theta}(a)\right)=\theta\left(2 b^{\prime}+2 u b^{\prime}\right)=2 b^{\prime}+2 u b^{\prime}=-\left(2 b^{\prime}+2 u b^{\prime}\right)=-\delta_{\theta}(\theta(a))$, which proves the first part. Further, $x a=\theta(a) x+\delta_{\theta}(a)$. Multiplying both sides by $x$, we get $x^{2} a=x \theta(a) x+x \delta_{\theta}(a)=\left[\theta^{2}(a) x+\delta_{\theta}(\theta(a)] x+\theta\left(\delta_{\theta}(a)\right) x+\delta_{\theta}{ }^{2}(a)=\right.$ $a x^{2}+\left[\delta_{\theta}(\theta(a))+\theta\left(\delta_{\theta}(a)\right)\right] x+\delta_{\theta}{ }^{2}(a)=a x^{2}$, using the first part of this lemma and noting that $\delta_{\theta}{ }^{2}(a)=0$ for all $a \in R$.

Corollary 1. For any element $a \in R$,

$$
x^{n} a= \begin{cases}\left(\theta(a) x+\delta_{\theta}(a)\right) x^{n-1}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ a x^{n}, & \text { if } n \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

The ring $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ is not a left/right Euclidean ring, so division algorithm does not hold in it. But we can still apply division algorithm on some particular elements of $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$. This is given by the next result.

Theorem 2.8 (Right division algorithm). Let $f(x), g(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ be such that $g(x)$ has leading coefficient a unit. Then

$$
f(x)=q(x) g(x)+r(x)
$$

for some $q(x), r(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$, where $r(x)=0$ or $\operatorname{deg} r(x)<\operatorname{deg} g(x)$.
Proof. Let $f(x)=f_{0}+f_{1} x+f_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+f_{r} x^{r}$ and $g(x)=g_{0}+g_{1} x+g_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+g_{s} x^{s}$, where $g_{s}$ is a unit. If $r<s$, then $f(x)=0 \cdot g(x)+f(x)$ gives the required result. Suppose $r \geq s$. We define a polynomial $h(x)=f(x)-A(x) g(x)$, where

$$
A(x)= \begin{cases}f_{r} \theta\left(g_{s}^{-1}\right) x^{r-s}, & \text { if } r-s \text { is odd } \\ f_{r} g_{s}^{-1} x^{r-s}, & \text { if } r-s \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $h(x)$ is a polynomial of degree one less than the degree of $f(x)$. We prove the result by implementing induction on $\operatorname{deg} f(x)$. Assume that the result is true for every polynomial having degree less than $\operatorname{deg} f(x)$. Obviously result is true for $\operatorname{deg} f(x)=0$. So let $\operatorname{deg} f(x)>0$. Since $\operatorname{deg} h(x)<\operatorname{deg} f(x)$, there exist $q_{1}(x)$,
$r_{1}(x)$ such that $h(x)=q_{1}(x) g(x)+r_{1}(x)$, where $r_{1}(x)=0$ or $\operatorname{deg} r_{1}(x)<\operatorname{deg} g(x)$ and so $f(x)=q_{1}(x) g(x)+r_{1}(x)+A(x) g(x)=\left(q_{1}(x)+A(x)\right) g(x)+r_{1}(x)$. Thus we obtain $f(x)=q(x) g(x)+r(x)$, where $q(x)=q_{1}(x)+A(x)$ and $r(x)=r_{1}(x)$. Hence the result.

A left division algorithm can similarly be proved. In this paper, division always means a right division.

Example 2. Consider the polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ such that $f(x)=$ $(1+u) x^{2}+(2+2 u) x+u$ and $g(x)=u x+(1+u)$. Here $r=2, s=1, f_{2}=1+u, g_{1}=u$. Let $A(x)=f_{2} \theta\left(g_{1}^{-1}\right) x^{2-1}=(1+u)(u+2) x=(3 u+3) x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x) g(x) & =(3 u+3) x(u x+(1+u)) \\
& =(3 u+3)\left(\theta(u) x+\delta_{\theta}(u)\right) x+(3 u+3)\left(\theta(1+u) x+\delta_{\theta}(1+u)\right) \\
& =(3 u+3)((u+2) x+2+2 u) x+(3 u+3)((u+3) x+2+2 u) \\
& =(u+1) x^{2}+0 \cdot x+0 \cdot x+0 \\
& =(u+1) x^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $h(x)=f(x)-A(x) g(x)=(2+2 u) x+u$. Now repeating the above argument on $h(x)$, we get $h(x)=(2+2 u) g(x)+u$, and so $f(x)=h(x)+A(x) g(x)=$ $(2+2 u) g(x)+u+(3 u+3) x g(x)=((2+2 u)+(3 u+3) x) g(x)+u$. Therefore we have $f(x)=q(x) g(x)+r(x)$, where $q(x)=(2+2 u)+(3 u+3) x$ and $r(x)=u$.

## 3. $\delta_{\theta}$-CYCLIC CODES OVER $R$

In this section, we define a class of skew-cyclic codes over $R$ and call them $\delta_{\theta^{-}}$ cyclic codes over $R$.

A linear code of length $n$ over $R$ is a submodule of $R^{n}$. By identifying $R^{n}$ with $\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\langle f(x)\rangle}$, where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary polynomial of degree $n$ over $R$, we can associate a word $a=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ to the corresponding polynomial $a(x)=$ $a_{0}+a_{1} x+\ldots+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$. Moreover $\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\langle f(x)\rangle}$ is a left $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$-module with respect to the multiplication $r(x)(a(x)+\langle f(x)\rangle)=r(x) a(x)+\langle f(x)\rangle$.

Definition 3.1. A code $C$ of length $n$ over $R$ is said to be a $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code if it is a left $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$-submodule of $\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\langle f(x)\rangle}$, where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary polynomial of degree $n$ over $R$. In addition, if $f(x)$ is a central polynomial in $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$, we call $C$ a central $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code.

Definition 3.2 ( $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code). A code $C$ of length $n$ over $R$ is said to be $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code over $R$ if $C$ is a $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code and whenever $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in C$, we have $T_{\delta_{\theta}}(c)=\left(\theta\left(c_{n-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(c_{0}\right), \theta\left(c_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(c_{1}\right), \theta\left(c_{1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(c_{2}\right), \ldots, \theta\left(c_{n-2}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(c_{n-1}\right)\right) \in C$, where $T_{\delta_{\theta}}$ is the $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic shift operator.

Lemma 3.3. If $v(x)=v_{0}+v_{1} x+v_{2} x^{2}+\ldots+v_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in \frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}$ represents the word $v=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$ in $R^{n}$, then xv(x) represents the word $\left(\theta\left(v_{n-1}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{0}\right), \theta\left(v_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{1}\right), \theta\left(v_{1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{2}\right), \ldots, \theta\left(v_{n-2}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{n-1}\right)\right)$ in $R^{n}$.

Proof. We have

$$
x v(x)=x\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v_{i} x^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x\left(v_{i} x^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\theta\left(v_{i}\right) x+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{i}\right)\right) x^{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta\left(v_{i}\right) x^{i+1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\theta}\left(v_{i}\right) x^{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta\left(v_{i-1}\right) x^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\theta}\left(v_{i}\right) x^{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta\left(v_{i-1}\right) x^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{\theta}\left(v_{i}\right) x^{i}+\theta\left(v_{n-1}\right) x^{n}+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{0}\right) x^{0} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\theta\left(v_{i-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{i}\right)\right) x^{i}+\left(\theta\left(v_{n-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{0}\right)\right)\left(\text { as } x^{n}=1\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\theta\left(v_{i-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(v_{i}\right)\right) x^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the indices are computed modulo $n$. Hence the result.
Theorem 3.4. A code $C$ of length $n$ over $R$ is a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code if and only if $C$ is an $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$-submodule of $R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}=\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}$.

Proof. Suppose $C$ is a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then for any $c(x) \in C$, the $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic shift, $x c(x)$ also belongs to $C$ (by Lemma 3.3), and hence all $x^{i} c(x) \in C$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $a(x) c(x) \in C$ for all $a(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$. Hence the result. Converse is straightforward.

Corollary 2. If $C$ is a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of even length $n$, then $C$ is an ideal of $R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}=\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}$.

Proof. For even $n$, the ideal $\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle$ is a two sided ideal and so $R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$ is a ring. Hence the result.

Remark 2. A $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of an even length $n$ over $R$ is a central $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code. However, the converse is not true. This is shown by the following example.

Example 3. Let $C$ be a code of length 4 over $R$ generated by the right divisor $g(x)=(1+2 u) x^{2}-1$ of $f(x)=(2 u+1) x^{4}+(2 u+2) x^{2}+1=\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left((1+2 u) x^{2}-1\right)$. Since $f(x)$ is a central polynomial in $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right], C$ is a central $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code. We obtain, using $M A G M A$, that $(3 u+1,3 u+2,3 u+1, u) \in C$, but its $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic shift, i.e., $(3 u, u+1, u+2, u+1) \notin C$. Hence $C$ is not a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code over $R$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then we have the following results:

1. $C$ is simply a cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$, if $n$ is odd.
2. $C$ is a quasi-cyclic code of length $n$ and index 2 over $R$, if $n$ is even.

Proof. 1. Since $n$ is odd, we have $(n, 2)=1$. Therefore there exist two integers $a, b$ such that $n a+2 b=1$ and so $2 b=1-n a=1+n l$, where $l \equiv-a(\bmod n)$. Let $c(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ be a codeword. Now by Lemma 2.7, $x^{2 b} c(x)=x^{2 b}\left(c_{0}+c_{1} x+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}\right)=c_{0} x^{2 b}+c_{1} x^{2 b+1}+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{2 b+n-1}$. Therefore $x^{2 b} c(x)=c_{0} x^{1+n l}+c_{1} x^{1+n l+1}+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{(1+n l)+(n-1)}=c_{0} x+$ $c_{1} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{n-2} x^{n-1}+c_{n-1}$, which is a cyclic shift of $c(x)$. Hence the result.
2. For any codeword $c(x)$ in $C, x^{2} c(x) \in C$ and it represents the cyclic shift of $c$ by two positions (by Lemma 2.7). Also, in general, $C$ is not cyclic. So 2 is the smallest integer $t$ such that $x^{t} c(x) \in C$ for any $c(x) \in C$. Therefore $C$ is quasi-cyclic code of index 2.

Theorem 3.6. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$ such that $C$ contains a minimum degree polynomial $g(x)$ with its leading coefficient a unit. Then $C=$ $\langle g(x)\rangle$. Moreover $g(x) \mid\left(x^{n}-1\right)$ and the set $\left\{g(x), x g(x), \ldots, x^{n-\operatorname{deg} g(x)-1} g(x)\right\}$ forms a basis for $C$.

Proof. Since $C$ contains a minimum degree polynomial having its leading coefficient a unit, the proof follows from similar arguments as in the case of finite fields [21].

The converse of Theorem 3.6 is also true.
Theorem 3.7. Let $C$ be a free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then there exists a minimum degree polynomial $g(x)$ such that $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ and $g(x) \mid x^{n}-1$.

Proof. Straightforward.
Example 4. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length 6 over $R$ generated by the right divisor $g(x)=(u+2) x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 u$ of $x^{6}-1$. Then the set $\left\{g(x), x g(x), x^{2} g(x)\right\}=$ $\left\{(u+2) x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 u, u x^{4}+2 u x^{3}+(3 u+2) x+2 u+2,(u+2) x^{5}+2 x^{4}+3 u x^{2}\right\}$ forms a basis for $C$. Therefore $C$ has cardinality $16^{3}$.

Now we present a form of the generator matrix of a free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$.

Let $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$ generated by a right divisor $g(x)$ of $x^{n}-1$. Then the generator matrix of $C$ is an $(n-k) \times n$ matrix

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{c}
g(x) \\
x g(x) \\
x^{2} g(x) \\
\vdots \\
x^{n-k-1} g(x)
\end{array}\right]_{(n-k) \times n}
$$

where $g(x)=g_{0}+g_{1} x+g_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+g_{k} x^{k}$. More precisely, if $n-k$ is even, then $G=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
g_{0} & g_{1} & g_{2} & \ldots & g_{k} & 0 & \ldots \\
\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{0}\right) & \theta\left(g_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{1}\right) & \theta\left(g_{1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{2}\right) & \ldots & \theta\left(g_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{k}\right) & \theta\left(g_{k}\right) & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & g_{0} & \cdots & g_{k-3} & g_{k-2} & \cdots \\
& & \ldots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
\cdots & \ldots & \cdots & \delta_{\theta}\left(g_{0}\right) & \theta\left(g_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{1}\right) & \cdots & \theta\left(g_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{k}\right) \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots\left(g_{k}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and if $n-k$ is odd, then

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
g_{0} & g_{1} & g_{2} & \cdots & g_{k} & 0 & \cdots \\
\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{0}\right) & \theta\left(g_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{1}\right) & \theta\left(g_{1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{2}\right) & \cdots & \theta\left(g_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(g_{k}\right) & \theta\left(g_{k}\right) & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & g_{0} & \cdots & g_{k-3} & g_{k-2} & \cdots \\
0 & \ldots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
\cdots & \ldots & \cdots & 0 & g_{0} \cdots & g_{k-2} & g_{k-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For example, for the $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code $C$ given in Example 4 , the generator matrix of $C$ can be given as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
3 u & 0 & 2 & u+2 & 0 & 0 \\
2 u+2 & 3 u+2 & 0 & 2 u & u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 u & 0 & 2 & u+2
\end{array}\right]
$$

3.1. Residue and torsion codes. In this sub-section, we study the residue codes and torsion codes associated with linear codes over $R$.

Definition 3.8. Let $C$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Res}(C)=\left\{x: x+u y \in C \text { for some } y \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}{ }^{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Tor}(C)=\{x: u x \in C\}
$$

are called the residue code and the torsion code, respectively, of $C$.
$\operatorname{Res}(C), \operatorname{Tor}(C)$ are linear codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.
Theorem 3.9. Let $C$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$.

1. If $x+u y \in C$, then $x, y \in \operatorname{Res}(C)$, and hence $\operatorname{Res}(C)=\{y \mid x+u y \in$ $C$ for some $\left.x \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}{ }^{n}\right\}$.
2. $\operatorname{Tor}(C) \subseteq C$, hence $\min \left\{d_{L}(\operatorname{Tor}(C))\right\} \geq \min \left\{d_{G}(C)\right\}$.

Proof. For first part, since $x+u y \in C$, we have $u x+y \in C$ as $u^{2}=1$. This gives $y \in \operatorname{Res}(C)$. Also $x+u y \in C$ implies $x \in \operatorname{Res}(C)$. The proof of the second part is straightforward.
Example 5. Let $f(x)=x^{8}-1$. Then two different factorizations of $f(x)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{8}-1 & =\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{6}+x^{4}+x^{2}+1\right) \\
& =\left((3 u+2) x^{2}+2 u x+u+2\right)\left((3 u+2) x^{6}+2 u x^{5}+(3 u+2) x^{4}+(3 u+2) x^{2}+2 u x+3 u+2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider two distinct factors of degree 6 of $x^{8}-1$ as $f_{1}=x^{6}+x^{4}+x^{2}+1, f_{2}=$ $(3 u+2) x^{6}+2 u x^{5}+(3 u+2) x^{4}+(3 u+2) x^{2}+2 u x+3 u+2$. Then we have $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes $C_{1}=\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle$ and $C_{2}=\left\langle f_{2}\right\rangle$ of length 8 over $R$. Also the spanning set for $C_{i}$ is $\left\{f_{i}, x f_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. Moreover, $C_{2}$ exists due to the factors $f_{2}$, which exists in $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ only. Now $\Phi\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi\left(C_{2}\right)$ are linear codes of length 16 over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ having parameters $\left(16,4^{4}, 4\right),\left(16,4^{4}, 8\right)$, respectively. Also $\operatorname{Res}\left(C_{1}\right)$ has the parameters $\left(8,4^{2}, 4\right)$ and $\operatorname{Res}\left(C_{2}\right)$ has the parameters $\left(8,4^{2}, 8\right)^{*}$, which is a good linear code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ [2].

Example 6. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length 9 over $R$ generated by $g(x)=$ $3 x^{8}+2 u x^{7}+(u+1) x^{6}+(2 u+2) x^{5}+2 u x^{4}+(u+2) x^{3}+2 x^{2}+(u+2) x+u+2$. Consider a subcode $C_{1}$ of $C$ having spanning set $\left\{g(x), x g(x), x^{2} g(x), x^{3} g(x), x^{4} g(x)\right\}$. Now the parameters of $\Phi\left(C_{1}\right)$ are $\left(18,4^{10}, 4\right)$ and the parameters of $\operatorname{Res}\left(C_{1}\right)$ are $\left(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{4}^{8} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{2}\right)$. $\operatorname{Res}\left(C_{1}\right)$ is a new good linear code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and has twice as many codewords as in the existing best known code with comparable parameters [2]. A generator matrix of $\operatorname{Res}\left(C_{1}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Further, let $C_{2}=\left\{(u \mid u+v) \mid u, v \in \operatorname{Res}\left(C_{1}\right)\right\}$. Then the parameters $C_{2}$ are $\left(\mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{1 6}} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{2}\right)$, which is a new good linear code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and improves minimum

Lee distance of code by 1 when compared to existing best code with comparable parameters [2].
Example 7. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length 4 over $R$ with generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1+u & u & 1 & 0 \\
2+2 u & 1+3 u & 2+u & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1+u & u
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $\Phi(C)$ has parameters $\left(8,4^{6}, 2\right)$, which is a best known linear code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.
Also $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ has a generator matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

The parameters for $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ are $\left(4,4^{3} 2^{1}, 2\right)$, which is a best known good code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. Moreover $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ satisfies the bound given in Theorem 2.3, and is therefore an $M L D S$ code. Now let $C_{1}=\{(u \mid u+v) \mid u, v \in \operatorname{Res}(C)\}$. Then $C_{1}$ is an $\left(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{2}\right)$ code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$, which is a new good linear code over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and improves the minimum Lee distance of code by 1 when compared to existing best code with comparable parameters [2].

|  | $C$ | $\Phi(C)$ | $R e s(C)$ | $C^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Set of generators | Code | $\left(n, 4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}, d_{L}\right)$ | $\left(n, 4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}, d_{L}\right)$ | $\left(n, 4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}, d_{L}\right)$ |
| $\left\{g_{1}(x), x g_{1}(x), x^{2} g_{1}(x)\right\}$ | $C_{1}$ | $\left(10,4^{6}, 2\right)$ | $\left(5,4^{4} 2^{1}, 2\right)^{*}$ | $\left(\mathbf{1 0}, 4^{8} \mathbf{2}^{2}, \mathbf{2}\right)^{* *}$ |
| $\left\{g_{2}(x), x g_{2}(x), x^{2} g_{2}(x)\right\}$ | $C_{2}$ | $\left(20,4^{6}, 8\right)$ | $\left(10,4^{6}, 4\right)^{*}$ | $\left(20,4^{12}, 4\right)^{*}$ |
| $\left\{g_{3}(x), x g_{3}(x), x^{2} g_{3}(x)\right\}$ | $C_{3}$ | $\left(20,4^{6}, 6\right)$ | $\left(10,4^{5}, 6\right)^{*}$ | $\left(20,4^{10}, 6\right)$ |
| $\left\{g_{4}(x), x g_{4}(x), x^{2} g_{4}(x), x^{3} g_{4}(x)\right\}$ | $C_{4}$ | $\left(24,4^{8}, 6\right)$ | $\left(12,4^{8}, 4\right)^{*}$ | $\left(24,4^{16}, 4\right)^{*}$ |
| $\left\{g_{5}(x), x g_{5}(x), x^{2} g_{5}(x), x^{3} g_{5}(x)\right\}$ | $C_{5}$ | $\left(28,4^{8}, 6\right)$ | $\left(14,4^{8}, 5\right)^{*}$ | $\left(28,4^{16}, 5\right)^{*}$ |
| $\left\{g_{6}(x), x g_{6}(x), x^{2} g_{6}(x), x^{3} g_{6}(x)\right\}$ | $C_{6}$ | $\left(30,4^{8}, 6\right)$ | $\left(15,4^{8}, 6\right)^{*}$ | $\left(30,4^{16}, 6\right)$ |
| $\left\{g_{7}(x), x g_{7}(x), x^{2} g_{7}(x), x^{3} g_{7}(x)\right\}$ | $C_{7}$ | $\left(36,4^{8}, 8\right)$ | $\left(18,4^{8}, 8\right)^{*}$ | $\left(36,4^{16}, 8\right)^{*}$ |

Table 1 shows some good linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ we have obtained via the Gray images and residue codes of skew-linear codes with derivation (not necessarily $\delta_{\theta^{-}}$ cyclic codes) over $R$. In table 1, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
C^{*}=\{(u \mid u+v): u, v \in \operatorname{Res}(C)\} \\
g_{1}(x)=2 u x^{4}+x^{3}+(u+2) x^{2}+2 u x+(u+1) \\
g_{2}(x)=u x^{9}+(u+1) x^{8}+2 u x^{7}+(u+2) x^{6}+2 x^{5}+(u+1) x^{4}+x^{2}+u x+(u+1) \\
g_{3}(x)=u x^{9}+(u+1) x^{8}+(3 u+3) x^{7}+(2 u+2) x^{6}+(3 u+2) x^{5}+2 x^{4}+x^{2}+u x+u+1
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{4}(x)=2 x^{11}+u x^{10}+2 x^{9}+(u+1) x^{8}+2 u x^{7}+(u+1) x^{6}+2 x^{5}+2 u x^{4}+(3 u+ \\
3) x^{3}+(2 u+3) x^{2}+(u+2) x+2 \\
g_{5}(x)=2 u x^{13}+(u+1) x^{12}+u x^{11}+(u+2) x^{10}+2 x^{9}+(u+1) x^{8}+2 u x^{7}+(u+ \\
1) x^{6}+2 x^{5}+u x^{4}+(u+3) x^{3}+2 x^{2}+2 x+2 \\
g_{6}(x)=(u+1) x^{14}+2 x^{13}+(u+1) x^{12}+2 x^{11}+u x^{10}+2 x^{9}+(u+1) x^{8}+2 u x^{7}+ \\
(u+1) x^{6}+2 x^{5}+(2 u+3) x^{4}+3 x^{3}+(u+2) x^{2}+2 x+2 \\
g_{7}(x)=2 x^{17}+2 x^{16}+2 x^{15}+(3 u+3) x^{14}+(2 u+2) x^{13}+(u+1) x^{12}+2 x^{11}+u x^{10}+ \\
2 x^{9}+(u+1) x^{8}+2 x^{7}+(u+1) x^{6}+2 x^{5}+2 u x^{4}+(u+2) x^{3}+u x^{2}+(u+2) x+2
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4. Duals of $\delta_{\theta}$-CyClic codes over $R$

In this section, we find the structure of the dual of a free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of even length $n$ over $R$.
Definition 4.1. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then its dual is defined as

$$
C^{\perp}=\{x \mid x \cdot y=0 \text { for all } y \in C\}
$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the usual inner product of $x$ and $y$, where $x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-1}\right)$ belong to $R^{n}$.

To determine a generator matrix of the dual of a free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code $C$, we need to find the parity-check matrix of $C$. For this, we first require some lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. For even $n$, $x^{n}-1$ is a central element of $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$, and hence $x^{n}-1=h(x) g(x)=g(x) h(x)$ for some $g(x), h(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 7 [8].
Remark 3. If $C$ is a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code generated by a minimum degree polynomial $g(x)$ with its leading coefficient a unit, then there exists a minimum degree monic polynomial $g^{\prime}(x)$ in $C$ such that $C=\left\langle g^{\prime}(x)\right\rangle$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of even length $n$ over $R$ generated by a monic right divisor $g(x)$ of $x^{n}-1$. Then $v(x) \in R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$ is in $C$ if and only if $v(x) h(x)=0$ in $R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$, where $x^{n}-1=h(x) g(x)$.

Proof. Suppose $v(x) \in C$. Then $v(x)=a(x) g(x)$ for some $a(x) \in R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$. So $v(x) h(x)=a(x) g(x) h(x)=a(x) h(x) g(x)=0$ in $R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$ (by Lemma 4.2). Conversely, suppose $v(x) h(x)=0$ in $R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$ for some $v(x) \in R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$. Then there exists $q(x) \in$ $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ such that $v(x) h(x)=q(x)\left(x^{n}-1\right)=q(x) h(x) g(x)=q(x) g(x) h(x)$. Since $h(x)$ is regular, $v(x)=q(x) g(x)$. Hence the result.

Lemma 4.4. Let $a \in R$ be a unit in $R$. Then $\theta(a)+\delta_{\theta}(b)$ is a unit for all $b \in R$.
Proof. Let $d=\theta(a)+\delta_{\theta}(b)$, where $a, b \in R$ such that $a$ is a unit. Let $\theta(a)=\alpha+u \beta$. Then $\alpha+u \beta$ is a unit, and hence either $\alpha$ or $\beta$ is a unit but not both. We know $\delta_{\theta}(b)$ is either 0 or $2 u+2$ for all $b \in R$. If $\delta_{\theta}(b)=0$, then we are done. Otherwise $d=(\alpha+2)+u(\beta+2)$. Also, any $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ is a unit if and only if $c+2$ is a unit. Hence $d$ is a unit.

Theorem 4.5. Let $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ be a principally generated $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of even length $n$ over $R$ such that $x^{n}-1=h(x) g(x)$ for some $h(x)=h_{0}+h_{1} x+h_{2} x^{2}+$
$\cdots+h_{k} x^{k} \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$, where $k$ is odd. Then the matrix $H=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
h_{k} & \theta\left(h_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k}\right) & h_{k-2} & \ldots & \theta\left(h_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{1}\right) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \theta\left(h_{k}\right) & h_{k-1} & \ldots & h_{0} & \delta_{\theta}\left(h_{0}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{k} & h_{k-2} & \theta\left(h_{k-3}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k-2}\right) & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & h_{k} & \theta\left(h_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k}\right) & \cdots & h_{1} & \theta\left(h_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a parity-check matrix for $C$.
Proof. Let $c(x) \in C$. Then by Lemma 4.3, we have $c(x) h(x)=0$ in $R_{n, \delta_{\theta}}$. Therefore the coefficients of $x^{k}, x^{k+1}, \cdots, x^{n-1}$ in $\left[c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{n-2} x^{n-2}+\right.$ $\left.c_{n-1} x^{n-1}\right]\left[h_{0}+h_{1} x+h_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+h_{k-1} x^{k-1}+h_{k} x^{k}\right]$ are all zero. So we have

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
c_{0} h_{k}+c_{1}\left(\theta\left(h_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k}\right)\right)+c_{2} h_{k-2}+\cdots+c_{k}\left(\theta\left(h_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{1}\right)\right) & =0 \\
c_{1}\left(\theta\left(h_{k}\right)\right)+c_{2} h_{k-1}+c_{3}\left(\theta\left(h_{k-2}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k-1}\right)\right)+\cdots+c_{k+1} h_{0}+c_{k+2} \delta_{\theta}\left(h_{0}\right) & =0 \\
c_{2} h_{k}+c_{3}\left(\theta\left(h_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k}\right)\right)+c_{4} h_{k-2}+\cdots+c_{k+1} h_{1}+c_{k+2}\left(\theta\left(h_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{1}\right)\right) & =0 \\
& \vdots \\
c_{n-k-1} h_{k}+c_{n-k}\left(\theta\left(h_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k}\right)\right)+\cdots+c_{n-2} h_{1}+c_{n-1}\left(\theta\left(h_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{1}\right)\right) & =0 .
\end{array}
$$

From these equations, it is clear that for any $c \in C, c H^{T}=0$, and hence $G H^{T}=0$. Now each row of $H$ is orthogonal to each $c \in C$, so $\operatorname{span}(H) \subseteq C^{\perp}$. Moreover, $H$ contains a square sub-matrix of order $n-k$ (by taking first $n-k$ coordinates of each row) with non-zero determinant, as it is a lower triangular matrix with all diagonal entries units (by Lemma 4.4). This implies that all rows of $H$ are linearly independent. Therefore $|\operatorname{Span}(H)|=|R|^{n-k}$. Also $|C|\left|C^{\perp}\right|=|R|^{n}$ and $|C|=|R|^{k}$ give $\left|C^{\perp}\right|=|R|^{n-k}$. Hence $\operatorname{Span}(H)=C^{\perp}$, and so $H$ is a parity check matrix of $C$.

The above result can similarly be proved for the case when $k$ is even. In this case, matrix $H$ is given as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
h_{k} & \theta\left(h_{k-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{k}\right) & h_{k-2} & \cdots & h_{0} & \delta_{\theta}\left(h_{0}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \theta\left(h_{k}\right) & h_{k-1} & \cdots & h_{1} & \theta\left(h_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{1}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{k} & \cdots & h_{2} & \theta\left(h_{1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{2}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \theta\left(h_{k}\right) & h_{k-1} & \cdots & h_{1} & \theta\left(h_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(h_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example 8. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length 6 generated by the polynomial $g(x)=(u+2) x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 u$ such that $x^{6}-1=\left(u x^{3}+2 u x^{2}+u\right)\left((u+2) x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 u\right)$. Let $h(x)=u x^{3}+2 u x^{2}+u$. Then a parity check matrix of $C$ (by Theorem 4.5) is given by

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
u & 2 & 0 & u+2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & u+2 & 2 u & 0 & u & 2+2 u \\
0 & 0 & u & 2 & 0 & u+2
\end{array}\right]
$$

One may verify that $G H^{T}=0$ and the rows of $H$ are linearly independent. Therefore $H$ forms a parity check matrix for $C$.

## 5. Double $\delta_{\theta}$-CyClic codes over $R$

In this section, we study double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes over $R$.
A code $C$ of length $n$ is said to be a double $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code if the coordinates of the codewords are partitioned in two blocks of lengths $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that the set of the first blocks of $\alpha$ symbols and the set of second blocks of $\beta$ symbols form $\delta_{\theta}$-linear codes of lengths $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively, over $R$.

For any $d \in R$ and $v=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}\right) \in R^{\alpha+\beta}$, we define

$$
d v=\left(d a_{0}, d a_{1}, \cdots, d a_{\alpha-1}, d b_{0}, d b_{1}, \cdots, d b_{\beta-1}\right)
$$

With this multiplication, $R^{\alpha+\beta}$ is an $R$-module.
Definition 5.1. For an element $v=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}\right) \in R^{\alpha+\beta}$, the $\delta_{\theta}(\alpha, \beta)$-cyclic shift of $v$, denoted by ${ }^{\alpha \beta} T_{\delta_{\theta}}(v)$, is defined as ${ }^{\alpha \beta} T_{\delta_{\theta}}(v)=\left(\theta\left(a_{\alpha-1}\right)+\right.$ $\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{0}\right), \theta\left(a_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{1}\right), \theta\left(a_{1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{2}\right), \cdots, \theta\left(a_{\alpha-2}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(a_{\alpha-1}\right)$, $\left.\theta\left(b_{\beta-1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(b_{0}\right), \theta\left(b_{0}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(b_{1}\right), \theta\left(b_{1}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(b_{2}\right), \cdots, \theta\left(b_{\beta-2}\right)+\delta_{\theta}\left(b_{\beta-1}\right)\right)$.

A double $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code is an $R$-submodule of $R^{\alpha+\beta}$.

Definition 5.2. A double $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code $C$ is called double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code if $C$ is invariant under the $\delta_{\theta}(\alpha, \beta)$-cyclic shift ${ }^{\alpha \beta} T_{\delta_{\theta}}$.

In polynomial representation, an element $c=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}\right)$ in $C$ can be identified with $c(x)=\left(c_{1}(x), c_{2}(x)\right)$, where $c_{1}(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+\cdots+$ $a_{\alpha-1} x^{\alpha-1} \in \frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\alpha}-1\right\rangle}$ and $c_{2}(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x \cdots+b_{\beta-1} x^{\beta-1} \in \frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\beta}-1\right\rangle}$. This identification gives a one-to-one correspondence between $R^{\alpha+\beta}$ and $R_{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\alpha}-1\right\rangle} \times$ $\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\beta}-1\right\rangle}$. For convenience, we denote $\left(c_{1}(x), c_{2}(x)\right)$ by $\left(c_{1}(x) \mid c_{2}(x)\right)$. We define the multiplication of any $r(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ and $\left(g_{1}(x) \mid g_{2}(x)\right) \in \frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\alpha}-1\right\rangle} \times \frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\beta}-1\right\rangle}$ as

$$
r(x)\left(g_{1}(x) \mid g_{2}(x)\right)=\left(r(x) g_{1}(x) \mid r(x) g_{2}(x)\right)
$$

where $r(x) g_{1}(x)$ and $r(x) g_{2}(x)$ are the multiplication of polynomials in $\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\alpha}-1\right\rangle}$ and $\frac{R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]}{\left\langle x^{\beta}-1\right\rangle}$, respectively. With this multiplication, $R_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a left $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$-module.

It can easily be seen that if $c(x)=\left(c_{1}(x) \mid c_{2}(x)\right)$ represents the codeword $c$, then $x c(x)$ represents the $\delta_{\theta}(\alpha, \beta)$-cyclic shift of $c$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $C$ be a $\delta_{\theta}$-linear code of length $n=\alpha+\beta$ over $R$. Then $C$ is a double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code if and only if it is a left $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$-submodule of the left-module $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right] /\left\langle x^{\alpha}-1\right\rangle \times R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right] /\left\langle x^{\beta}-1\right\rangle$.

Proof. Suppose $C$ is a double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code. Let $c \in C$, and let the associated polynomial of $c$ be $c(x)$. As $x c(x)$ is a $\delta_{\theta}(\alpha, \beta)$-cyclic shift of $c$, so $x c(x) \in C$. By linearity of $C, r(x) c(x) \in C$ for any $r(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$. So $C$ is left $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$ submodule of $R_{\alpha, \beta}$. Converse is straightforward.

Theorem 5.4. A double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n=\alpha+\beta$ is a double cyclic code if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ both are odd integers.

Proof. Let $C$ be a double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code. Let $\gamma=\operatorname{lcm}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $\gamma$ is odd, and so $\operatorname{gcd}(\gamma, 2)=1$. Therefore there exist two integers $a, b$ such that $\gamma a+2 b=1$ and so $2 b=1-\gamma a=1+\gamma l$ for some $l>0$, where $l=-a(\bmod \gamma)$. Let $c(x)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a(x) \mid b(x)) \in C, \text { where } a(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_{i} x^{i} \text { and } b(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} b_{i} x^{i} . \text { Then } \\
& \begin{aligned}
x^{2 b} c(x) & =x^{2 b}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_{i} x^{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} b_{i} x^{i}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_{i} x^{i+2 b} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} b_{i} x^{i+2 b}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_{i} x^{i+1+\gamma l} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} b_{i} x^{i+1+\gamma l}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-2} a_{i} x^{i+1+\gamma l}+a_{\alpha-1} x^{\alpha+\gamma l} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-2} a_{i} x^{i+1+\gamma l}+a_{\beta-1} x^{\beta+\gamma l}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-2} a_{i} x^{i+1}+a_{\alpha-1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-2} a_{i} x^{i+1}+a_{\beta-1}\right),\left(\text { since } x^{\alpha}=x^{\beta}=x^{\gamma}=1\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $x^{2 b} c(x)=\left(a^{\prime}(x) \mid b^{\prime}(x)\right)$, where $a^{\prime}(x), b^{\prime}(x)$ are cyclic shifts of $a(x)$ and $b(x)$, respectively. Hence $C$ is a double cyclic code.

Theorem 5.5. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes of lengths $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ over $R$ having monic generator polynomials $g_{1}(x)$ and $g_{2}(x)$, respectively, such that $g_{1}(x) \mid x^{n_{1}}-1$ and $g_{2}(x) \mid x^{n_{2}}-1$. Then a code $C$ generated by $g(x)=\left(g_{1}(x) \mid g_{2}(x)\right)$ is a double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code and $A=\left\{g(x), x g(x), \cdots, x^{l-1} g(x)\right\}$ is a spanning set of $C$, where $l=$ deg $h(x)$ and $h(x)$ is the least left common multiple of $h_{1}(x)$ and $h_{2}(x)$.

Proof. Let $x^{n_{1}}-1=h_{1}(x) g_{1}(x)$ and $x^{n_{2}}-1=h_{2}(x) g_{2}(x)$ for some monic polynomials $h_{1}(x), h_{2}(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$. Then $h(x) g(x)=h(x)\left(g_{1}(x) \mid g_{2}(x)\right)=0$, as $h(x) g_{i}(x)=h^{\prime}(x) h_{i}(x) g_{i}(x)=0$ for $i=1,2$. Now let $v(x) \in C$ be any non-zero codeword in $C$. Then $v(x)=a(x) g(x)$ for some $a(x) \in R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$. By the division algorithm, we have $a(x)=q(x) h(x)+r(x)$, where $r(x)=0$ or $\operatorname{deg} r(x)<\operatorname{deg} h(x)$. Then $v(x)=a(x) g(x)=r(x) g(x)=0$. Since $r(x)=0$ or $\operatorname{deg} r(x)<\operatorname{deg} h(x)$, the result follows.

Example 9. Let $C$ be a double $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of length $n=10(=6+4)$ over $R$, which is principally generated by $g(x)=\left(g_{1}(x) \mid g_{2}(x)\right)$, where $g_{1}(x)=u x^{3}+2 u x^{2}+u$ and $g_{2}(x)=x^{2}+2 u x+1$ such that $g_{1}(x) \mid x^{6}-1$ and $g_{2}(x) \mid x^{4}-1$. Now let $h(x)$ be the least left common multiple of $h_{1}(x)$ and $h_{2}(x)$. Then deg $h(x)=5$. Therefore the set $\left\{g(x), x g(x), x^{2} g(x), x^{3} g(x), x^{4} g(x)\right\}$ forms a spanning set for $C$. Hence a generator matrix of $C$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cccc}
u & 0 & 2 u & u & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 u & 1 & 0 \\
2 u+2 & u+2 & 0 & 2 & u+2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 u & 1 \\
0 & 0 & u & 0 & 2 u & u & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 u \\
u+2 & 0 & 2 u+2 & u+2 & 0 & 2 & 2 u & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
2 u & u & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & 1 & 2 u & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The parameters for $\Phi(C)$ are $\left[20,4^{9}, 4\right]$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ and $\operatorname{Tor}(C)$ have the parameters $\left[10,4^{5} 2^{1}, 2\right]$ and $\left[10,4^{3} 2^{1}, 4\right]$, respectively.

In Table 2, we present some good linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ as Gray images and residue codes of double skew-linear codes with derivation (not necessarily $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic codes) over $R$.

In Table 2, we have $C^{*}=\{(u \mid u+v): u, v \in \operatorname{Res}(C)\}$

$$
h_{0}(x)=\left((2+3 u)+(1+2 u) x+u x^{2} \mid 2 u+(2+2 u) x\right),
$$

|  | $C$ | $\Phi(C)$ | $\operatorname{Res}(C)$ | $C^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Set of generators | Name | $\left(n, M, d_{L}\right)$ | $\left(n, 4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}, d_{L}\right)$ | $\left(n, 4^{k_{1}} 2^{k_{2}}, d_{L}\right)$ |
| $\left\{h_{0}(x), x h_{1}(x)\right\}$ | $A_{1}$ | $(10,128,2)$ | $\left(5,4^{3} 2^{1}, 2\right)^{*}$ | $\left(10,4^{6} 2^{2}, 2\right)$ |
| $\left\{h_{1}(x), x h_{1}(x), x^{2} h_{1}(x)\right\}$ | $A_{2}$ | $(12,4096,2)$ | $\left(6,4^{5} 2^{1}, 2\right)^{*}$ | $\left(\mathbf{1 2 ,}, \mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{2}\right)^{* *}$ |
| $\left\{h_{2}(x), x h_{2}(x), x^{2} h_{2}(x), x^{3} h_{2}(x)\right\}$ | $A_{3}$ | $(14,65536,2)$ | $\left(7,4^{6} 2^{1}, 2\right)^{*}$ | $\left(14,4^{12} 2^{2}, 2\right)$ |
| $\left\{h_{3}(x), x h_{3}(x), x^{3} h_{2}(x), x^{3} h_{3}(x)\right\}$ | $A_{3}$ | $(16,65536,4)$ | $\left(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{7}}, \mathbf{2}\right)^{* *}$ | $\left(\mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{1 4}}, \mathbf{2}\right)^{* *}$ |

TABLE 2. ${ }^{*}:=$ Existing good code $[2,1],{ }^{* *}:=$ New good code;

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{1}(x)=\left((3 u+2)+(1+2 u) x+u x^{2} \mid 2+(1+2 u) x+2 u x^{2}\right), \\
h_{2}(x)=\left((1+u)+(1+2 u) x+(2+u) x^{2}+u x^{3} \mid 1+2 u x+(u+1) x^{2}\right), \\
h_{3}(x)=\left((1+u)+(1+2 u) x+(2+u) x^{2}+u x^{3} \mid 1+2 u x+(u+1) x^{2}+2 u x^{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 4. The codes whose parameters are written in bold letters in Table 1 and Table 2 have improved the parameters of the existing codes having comparable parameters. These codes have been reported and added to the Database of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes [2].

## 6. Conclusion

This paper studies a class of skew-cyclic codes over $R=\mathbb{Z}_{4}+u \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ with derivation. We have studied these codes as left $R\left[x, \theta, \delta_{\theta}\right]$-submodules. A Gray map is defined on $R$, and some good linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ via Gray images, residue codes of these codes have been obtained. The generator matrix of dual code of a free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of even length over $R$ is obtained. These codes are generalized to double skewcyclic codes with derivation. All new linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$, obtained in this paper, have been reported and added to the database of Z 4 -codes. It will be interesting to obtain criteria under which the dual of a free $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of even length over $R$ is a $\delta_{\theta}$-cyclic code of same length.

All the computations to find codes were done with Magma computational algebra system [7].
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