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#### Abstract

This paper presents a numerical approach on kinematic analysis of 3-DOF parallel manipulator (PM). The proposed mechanisms constitute of PRS (Prismatic-Revolute-Spherical) parallel mechanism with two rotations and one translation. The forward and inverse kinematic equations of the PM are derived by position vector method. A total of 48 solutions are obtained for the forward kinematic equations using MATLAB.
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## 1. Introduction

Based on the previous research, most of the parallel manipulators forward and inverse kinematics solutions are solved in closed form [1][2] and its solutions are compared by simulation results [3][4][5][6]. The kinematic design, architecture optimization and actuator layout angles of 3-PRS parallel manipulator are investigated based on the performance index and various simulation software packages are used to verify the results obtained [7][14][15]. Ghasem Abbasnejad et al explained the merits and demerits of the traditional numerical techniques to solve the kinematic equations and the results proved that that the homotopy continuation method performed better convergence to the forward kinematic problem with bad initial guesses compared to the New-ton-Raphson method [8]. Similarly, a simulation and numerical analysis based on the kinematics of the PRS XY serial parallel manipulator is solved by using the steepest descent method and the motion trail of the tool tip based on the mobile platform kinematic solution is validated [9]. The PM geometric arrangement and the kinematic solutions for a large range of motion in the machine tool axis are studied and validated numerically to implement in real time machine tool control conditions [10]. To control the hybrid parallel kinematic machines, the inverse kinematics of the micro PM with the Z - axis translation, X and Y axes rotations are solved an algorithm developed for positioning the PM in micromachining and assembly operations [11]. Similarly, the kinematic analysis of 3-UPU I and 3-UPU II parallel kinematic machines with two rotations and one translation with the geometric constraints are solved by various analytic formulae and a simulation tool is used to verify the kinematic solutions [12]. Based on the position analysis of 5-DOF PM for various geometric topologies the output motion patterns are obtained and the translational and rotational tool motions relative to the system are defined by the Newton Raphson method [13].
In what follows, first inverse and forward kinematics of the proposed PM are derived; second the various numerical results of the 3-PRS PMs are discussed in detail; finally concluding remarks are given.

## 2. Inverse Kinematics

The inverse kinematics is formulated by finding the joint motions when the pose of the end-effectors is known. For this kinematic analysis, the position of the MP is considered known, and is given by the position vector ' P ', which defines the location of the center for the MP in the XYZ coordinate frame.


Fig1: Kinematic model of the 3-PRS PM
The orientation of the mobile platform is described by three Euler angles $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$, which are angles rotated about $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z axis of the fixed base platform [9][16][17]. In this study, the kinematic equations have been derived for the two and three rotations of the 3-PRS PM.
For analyzing the inverse kinematics, the rotational and the translational displacements of the MP in the global coordinate system

OXYZ are $\{\alpha, \beta, 0\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\}$ for two rotations. The rotating order is (1) rotation about the x axis $(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ and 2) rotation about the $y$ axis $(\beta)$. Figure 1 shows the inverse kinematic model of the 3-PRS PM.
The general form of the spherical coordinate of the MP is represented as

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{x}}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{y}} \\
\mathrm{r}_{z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From Figure 2, the spherical coordinate points 1, 2 and 3 are,


Fig. 2: Schematic representation of MP and BP
$\left(M_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} r \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r \\ 0\end{array}\right) \quad\left(M_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}r \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} r \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \\ 0\end{array}\right)$
For the base platform, the pin joints co-ordinate points 1,2 and 3 are calculated as
$\left(B_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} R \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} R \\ D_{1}\end{array}\right) \quad\left(B_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}R \\ 0 \\ D_{2}\end{array}\right)$
$\left(B_{a}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} R \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} R \\ D_{a}\end{array}\right)$
The general form of rotation is expressed as,
$\left.\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{Q}^{\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.}+\mathrm{O}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$
Here, the rotation of the MP takes place about the x and the y axes only. Hence
$x$ and $y=0$
The first link rotation matrix is calculated as follows,
$(M)_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} r \cos \beta \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r \cos \alpha \\ \frac{1}{2} r \cos \alpha \sin \beta+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r \sin \alpha+z\end{array}\right]$

The Length between the two joints (M1and B1) of link1 is,
$L=\|M R-B R\|$
$D_{1}=E_{a} \pm \sqrt{E_{1}-E_{2}}$
$\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{L}^{2}-\left[-\frac{\mathrm{R}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{rcose} \theta}{2}\right]^{2}$
$E_{2}=\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} R+\frac{1}{2} r \sin \beta \sin \alpha-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \cos \alpha\right]^{2}$
$E_{a}=\left[-\frac{1}{2} r \cos \alpha \sin \beta-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r \sin \alpha-z\right]$
The second link rotation matrix is calculated as follows,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{r} \cos \beta \\ \mathrm{r} \sin \beta \sin \alpha-\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{3}}}{2} \mathrm{r} \cos \alpha \\ -\mathrm{r} \cos \alpha \sin \beta+z\end{array}\right)$
The Length between the two joints (M2 and B2) of link 2 is,
$D_{2}=E_{6} \pm \sqrt{E_{4}-E_{5}}$
$\mathrm{E}_{4}=\mathrm{L}^{2}-[\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{r} \cos \beta]^{2} \quad, \quad \mathrm{E}_{5}=(\mathrm{r} \sin \beta \sin \alpha)^{2}$
$\mathrm{E}_{6}=(\mathrm{rcos} \alpha \sin \beta+\mathrm{z})$
The third link rotation matrix is calculated as follows,
$\left(M_{3}\right)_{R}=\left(\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} r \cos \beta \\ -\frac{1}{2} r \sin \beta \sin \alpha-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \cos \alpha \\ \frac{1}{2} r \cos \alpha \sin \beta-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \sin \alpha+z\end{array}\right)$
The Length between the two joints (M2 and B2) of the link is,
$\mathrm{D}_{3}=\mathrm{E}_{9} \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{8}}$
$\mathrm{E}_{7}=\mathrm{L}^{2}-\left[\frac{\mathrm{R}}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{rcoss} \mathrm{S}}{2}\right]^{2}$
$E_{Q}=\left[-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} R-\frac{1}{2} r \sin \beta \sin \alpha+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r \cos \alpha\right]^{2}$
$E_{9}=\left[-\frac{1}{2} r \cos \alpha \sin \beta-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r \sin \alpha+z\right]$
where,
$D_{1}, D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are the distances moved in the links $1,2,3$, by the nuts in the respective links.

### 2.1. Numerical Verification for Two Rotations

To apply the inverse kinematic equations mentioned in Equations (7), (9) and (11), an example of the inverse kinematics solution for a 3-PRS manipulator with two rotations is considered, and the manipulator parameters and input angles are as follows,
$\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{3}=200, \mathrm{R}=135, \mathrm{r}=90, \mathrm{Z}=194.87$
When $\alpha=\beta=0^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}_{2}=\mathrm{D}_{3}=0$
When $\alpha=30^{\circ}, \beta=0^{\circ}$
$D_{1}=-41.35, D_{2}=0, D_{3}=36.59$
When $\alpha=30^{\circ}, \beta=30^{\circ}$
$D_{1}=-64.90, D_{2}=34.46, D_{3}=18.86$

## 3. Forward Kinematics

The forward kinematic equations are derived, based on the kinematic model shown in Figure 1. The connecting links $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
$M_{2}$ and $N_{3} M_{3}$ rotate on their corresponding planes $O B_{1} N_{1} M_{1}, O$ $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{OB}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{M}_{3}$.
where,
$\mathrm{Bi}=\mathrm{vertices}$ of the base platform, $\mathrm{Mi}=$ vertices of the mobile platform, $\mathrm{Ni}=$ vertices of the nut,
The unit vector $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ is given as follows,
$T_{1}=\left\{\cos \theta_{L 1} \sin \psi i,-\cos \theta_{L 1} \cos \psi j, \sin \theta_{L 1} k\right\}$
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=\left\{-\cos \theta_{\mathrm{L} 2} \mathrm{i}, 0 \mathrm{j}, \sin \theta_{\mathrm{L} 2} \mathrm{k}\right\}$
$T_{3}=\left\{\cos \theta_{L a} \sin \psi i, \cos \theta_{L a} \cos \psi j, \sin \theta_{L a} k\right\}$
where,
$\theta \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}=$ inclined angle between the XYZ axes and Ti .
$\Psi=$ bisect angle of base platform equal to $\frac{\pi}{6}$ or 300
Position vectors of Bi are expressed as,
$\mathrm{OB}_{1}=\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ri}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mathrm{Rj}+\mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{k}\right\}, \mathrm{OB}_{2}=\{\mathrm{Ri}+\mathrm{Oj}+\mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{k}\}$,
$\mathrm{OB}_{3}=\left\{\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ri}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mathrm{Rj}+\mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{k}\right\}\right.$
where,
$\mathrm{R}=$ radius of the base platform, $\mathrm{Di}=$ distances moved in the link $\mathrm{L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{3}$.
With the link length L , the position vectors of Pi are written as $\mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{OB}_{1}+\mathrm{LT}_{1}$
$=\left\{\left(-\frac{1}{2} R+\frac{1}{2} L \cos \theta_{\mathrm{Li}}\right) \mathrm{i}+\left(-\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} R+\frac{\sqrt{ } 1}{2} L \cos \theta_{\mathrm{L} 1}\right) \mathrm{j}+\right.$
$\left.\left(L \sin \theta_{L 1}+D_{1}\right) k\right\}^{T}$
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{OB}_{2}+\mathrm{LT}_{2}$
$=\left\{\left(\mathrm{R}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~L} \cos \theta_{\mathrm{L} 2}\right) \mathrm{i}+0 \mathrm{j}+\left(\mathrm{L} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{L} 2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right) \mathrm{k}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}$
$\mathrm{P}_{3}=\mathrm{OB}_{3}+\mathrm{LT}_{3}$
$=\left\{\left(-\frac{1}{2} R+\frac{1}{2} L \cos \theta_{L a}\right) i+\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} R-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L \cos \theta_{L 3}\right) j+\right.$
$\left.\left(L \sin \theta_{L a}+D_{3}\right) k\right\}^{T}$
Since the distances between the spherical joints on the mobile platform are equal, the norm of the position vectors ( Pi ) should satisfy the following constraint equations;
$\left|P_{1}-P_{2}\right|^{2}=(\sqrt{3} r)^{2},\left|P_{2}-P_{a}\right|^{2}=(\sqrt{3} r)^{2}$,
$\left|P_{1}-P_{1}\right|^{2}=(\sqrt{3} r)$
Substituting equation $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in equation
$\left|P_{1}-P_{2}\right|^{2}=(\sqrt{3} r)^{2}$
gives,

## 


where,
$\mathrm{G}_{1}=\mathrm{G}_{2}=-3 \mathrm{RL}_{,} \mathrm{G}_{3}=2 \mathrm{LD}_{1}-2 \mathrm{LD}_{2}, \mathrm{G}_{4}=-\mathrm{G}_{3}, \mathrm{G}_{5}=$
$\mathrm{L}^{2}, \mathrm{G}_{6}=-2 \mathrm{G}_{5}, \mathrm{G}_{7}=3 \mathrm{R}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~L}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)^{2}$
The Equation $\left\|P_{2}-P_{a}\right\|^{2}=(\sqrt{3} r)^{2}$ is written as,


where,
$\mathrm{G}_{1}=\mathrm{G}_{2}=-3 \mathrm{RL}_{9} \mathrm{G}_{5}=\mathrm{L}^{2}, \mathrm{G}_{6}=-2 \mathrm{G}_{5}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}=2 \mathrm{LD}_{2}-$
$2 \mathrm{LD}_{3}, \mathrm{G}_{9}=3 \mathrm{R}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~L}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)^{2}$
Similarly equation $\left|P_{a}-P_{1}\right|^{2}=(\sqrt{3} r)^{2}$ gives,


Where,
$\mathrm{G}_{1}=\mathrm{G}_{2}=-3 \mathrm{RL}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{5}=\mathrm{L}^{2}, \mathrm{G}_{6}=-2 \mathrm{G}_{5}, \mathrm{G}_{10}=2 \mathrm{LD}_{2}-$ $2 \mathrm{LD}_{1}, \mathrm{G}_{11}=3 \mathrm{R}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~L}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{D}_{3}-\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)^{2}$

Substituting $\cos \theta_{i}=\frac{1-x_{i}{ }^{2}}{1+x_{i}{ }^{2}}$ and $\sin \theta_{i}=\frac{2 x_{1}}{1+x_{i}{ }^{2}}$ in the above
Equations (18), (19) and (20) and solving them, we get the following polynomials:
$\left(-G_{1}-G_{2}+G_{5}+G_{7}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+\left(2 G_{4}\right) x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+$
$\left(-G_{1}+G_{2}-G_{5}+G_{7}\right) x_{1}^{2}+\left(2 G_{3}\right) x_{2}^{2} x_{1}+\left(4 G_{6}\right) x_{2} x_{1}+$
$\left(2 \mathrm{G}_{3}\right) \mathrm{x}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}-\mathrm{G}_{2}-\mathrm{G}_{5}+\mathrm{G}_{7}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}+\left(2 \mathrm{G}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}+$
$\left(G_{1}+G_{2}+G_{5}+G_{7}\right)=0$
$\left(-G_{1}-G_{2}+G_{5}+G_{7}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+\left(2 G_{4}\right) x_{3} x_{1}^{2}+$
$\left(-G_{1}+G_{2}-G_{5}+G_{7}\right) x_{1}^{2}+\left(2 G_{3}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{1}+\left(4 G_{6}\right) x_{1} x_{1}+$
$\left(2 \mathrm{G}_{3}\right) \mathrm{x}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}-\mathrm{G}_{2}-\mathrm{G}_{5}+\mathrm{G}_{7}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}+\left(2 \mathrm{G}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}+$
$\left(G_{1}+G_{2}+G_{5}+G_{7}\right)=0$
$\left(-G_{1}-G_{2}+G_{5}+G_{7}\right) x_{2}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+\left(2 G_{4}\right) x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-\mathrm{G}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{2}-\mathrm{G}_{5}+\mathrm{G}_{7}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}+\left(2 \mathrm{G}_{2}\right) \mathrm{x}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\left(4 \mathrm{G}_{6}\right) \mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{2}+ \\
& \left(2 \mathrm{G}_{2}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}-\mathrm{G}_{2}-\mathrm{G}_{5}+\mathrm{G}_{7}\right) \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \mathrm{G}_{4}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}+ \\
& \left(\mathrm{G}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{5}+\mathrm{G}_{7}\right)=0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

By solving the above Equations (21) to (23) by MATLAB, the $x 1$, $\mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{x} 3$ are obtained, hence that the position and orientation of the MP are determined.

### 3.1. Numerical Verification for Forward Kinematics

As an example of the forward kinematics solution for a 3-PRS manipulator, let the manipulator parameters be taken as $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ $L_{3}=200, R=135, r=90$ and $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=0$.
The numerical values are substituted in Equations 21 to 23, and solved using MATLAB. The equation solved in MATLAB is
$\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right]=$ solve ('312375 $\mathrm{x}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{22}+0 \mathrm{x}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{2}+70375 \mathrm{x}_{12}+0 \mathrm{x}_{1}$ $\mathrm{x}_{22}-320000 \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+0 \mathrm{x}_{1}+70375 \mathrm{x}_{22}+0 \mathrm{x}_{2}-11625=0$ ', $312375 \mathrm{x}_{12}$ $\mathrm{x}_{32}+0 \mathrm{x}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{3}+70375 \mathrm{x}_{12}+0 \mathrm{x}_{32} \mathrm{x}_{1}-320000 \mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{1}+0 \mathrm{x}_{1}+70375$ $x_{32}+0 x_{3}-11625=0$ ", $312375 x_{22} x_{32}+0 \quad x_{22} x_{3}+70375 x_{22}+0$ $\left.x_{32} x_{2}-320000 x_{2} x_{3}+0 x_{2}+70375 x_{32}+0 x_{3}-11625=0^{\prime}\right)$

Similarly,
$\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{3}=200, \mathrm{R}=135, \mathrm{r}=90, \mathrm{D}_{1}=10, \mathrm{D}_{2}=\mathrm{D}_{3}=0$
[ $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ ] $=$ solve ('312475 $\mathrm{x}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{22}+8000 \mathrm{x}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{2}+70475 \mathrm{x}_{12}-$ $8000 \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{22}-320000 \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}-8000 \mathrm{x} 1+70475 \mathrm{x}_{22}+8000 \mathrm{x}_{2}-11525=0^{\prime}$ , '3124375 $\mathrm{x}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{32}-8000 \mathrm{x}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{3}+70475 \mathrm{x}_{12}+8000 \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{32}-$ $320000 x_{1} x_{3}+8000 x_{1}+70475 \mathrm{x}_{32}-8000 x_{3}-11625=0 "$, $312375 x_{22} x_{32}+0 x_{22} x_{3}+70375 x_{22}+0 x_{32} x_{2}-320000 x_{2} x_{3}+0$ $\mathrm{x}_{2}+70375 \mathrm{x}_{32}+0 \mathrm{x}_{3}-11625=0^{\prime}$ )

## 4. Results and Discussion

The inverse kinematics is derived, using trigonometric relations and Position vector method and the results are discussed below.
The forward kinematics equations are solved and 48 solutions are obtained from the MATLAB. The results are tabulated in Tables 1 to 3 for the geometrical parameters of a Link length of 200 mm , MP radius of 90 mm , base platform radius of 135 mm and nut displacements D1=D2=D3=0.

Table 1: Forward kinematics solution for $\theta 1$ (Link 1 angle with Base Platform)

| Sl. <br> No | Value of ' $x$ ' from <br> MATLAB Solution | Angle of link 1 <br> $(\theta 1)$ by Sin $\theta \mathrm{i}$ | Angle of link 1 <br> $(\theta 1)$ by Cos $\theta \mathrm{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.24 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 2 | -0.24 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 3 | 1.25 | 102.68 | 77.32 |
| 4 | -1.25 | 102.68 | -77.32 |
| 5 | 0.80 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 6 | -0.80 | 77.00 | -77.00 |
| 7 | 0.15 | 17.55 | 17.55 |
| 8 | -0.15 | 17.55 | -17.55 |
| 9 | 0.24 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 10 | -0.24 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 11 | 0.80 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 12 | -0.80 | 77.00 | -77.00 |
| 13 | 0.24 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 14 | -0.24 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 15 | 0.80 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 16 | -0.80 | 77.00 | -77.00 |

In numerical verification, when the nut displacements are zero, the initial angle between the base platform and link1is equal to $77^{\circ}$. From the results of Table 1, it is observed that most of the solutions are equal to $77^{\circ}$; hence, the inverse kinematics solution obtained from MATLAB is found to be the correct one.

Table 2: Forward kinematics solution for $\theta 2$ (Link 2 angle with Base Platform)

| Sl. <br> No | Value of ' $x$ ' from <br> MATLAB Solution | Angle of link 2 <br> $(\theta 2)$ by Sin $\theta \mathrm{i}$ | Angle of link 2 <br> ( $\theta 2$ by Cos $\theta \mathrm{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.24 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 2 | -0.24 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 3 | 1.25 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 4 | -1.25 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 5 | 0.80 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 6 | -0.80 | 77.00 | -77.00 |
| 7 | 0.15 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 8 | -0.15 | 77.00 | -77.00 |
| 9 | 0.24 | 102.68 | 77.32 |
| 10 | -0.24 | 102.68 | -77.32 |
| 11 | 0.80 | 17.55 | 17.55 |
| 12 | -0.80 | 17.55 | -17.55 |
| 13 | 0.24 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 14 | -0.24 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 15 | 0.80 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 16 | -0.80 | 77.00 | -77.00 |

Similarly, when the nuts displacements are zero, the initial angle between the base platform and the link 2 is equal to $77^{\circ}$. From the results of Table 2, it is observed that most of the solutions are equal to $77^{\circ}$.

Table 3: Forward kinematics solution for $\theta 3$ (Link 3 angle with Base Platform)

| Sl. <br> No | Value of 'x' from <br> MATLAB Solution | Angle of link 3 <br> $(\theta 3)$ by $\operatorname{Sin} \theta \mathrm{i}$ | Angle of link 3 <br> $(\theta 3)$ by $\operatorname{Cos} \theta \mathrm{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.24 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 2 | -0.24 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 3 | 1.25 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 4 | -1.25 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 5 | 0.80 | 77.00 | 77.00 |


| 6 | -0.80 | 77.00 | -77.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 0.15 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 8 | -0.15 | 77.00 | -77.00 |
| 9 | 0.24 | 27.27 | 27.27 |
| 10 | -0.24 | 27.27 | -27.27 |
| 11 | 0.80 | 77.00 | 77.00 |
| 12 | -0.80 | 77.00 | -77.00 |
| 13 | 0.24 | 102.68 | 77.32 |
| 14 | -0.24 | 102.68 | -77.32 |
| 15 | 0.80 | 17.55 | 17.55 |
| 16 | -0.80 | 17.55 | -17.55 |

When the nuts displacements are zero, the initial angle between the base platform and the link 3 is equal to $77^{\circ}$. From the results of Table 3, it is observed that most of the solutions are equal to $77^{\circ}$.

## 5. Conclusion

The forward and inverse kinematic analyses are conducted on 3PRS PM. Based on the study the following concluding remarks are made,

- Kinematic equations of 3-PRS PM are derived from the Position vector approach.
- The kinematic results show that the maximum MP tilt of the 3-PRS PM for the given geometrical parameters is found to be $31.64^{\circ}$ for the linear displacement of nut 73.5 mm .
- The derived forward kinematic equations are solved by using MATLAB. From the MATLAB results, 48 forward kinematic solutions were obtained. The forward kinematic solution is numerically verified.
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