

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

AIMS Mathematics, 6(5): 4296–4305.

DOI:10.3934/math.2021254 Received: 11 November 2020 Accepted: 20 January 2021 Published: 07 February 2021

Research article

Bi-Bazilevič functions of order $\vartheta+i\delta$ associated with (p,q)- Lucas polynomials

Ala Amourah^{1,*}, B. A. Frasin², G. Murugusundaramoorthy³ and Tariq Al-Hawary⁴

- Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Irbid National University, Irbid, Jordan
- ² Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Mafraq, Jordan
- ³ Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, VIT, Vellore 632014, Tamilnadu, India
- ⁴ Department of Applied Science, Ajloun College, Al-Balqa Applied University, Ajloun 26816, Jordan
- * Correspondence: Email: alaammour@yahoo.com; Tel: 00962792290138.

Abstract: By means of (p,q) – Lucas polynomials, a class of Bazilevič functions of order $\vartheta + i\delta$ in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} of analytic and bi-univalent functions is introduced. Further, we estimate coefficients bounds and Fekete-Szegö inequalities for functions belonging to this class. Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also obtained.

Keywords: Bazilevič functions; Lucas polynomial; analytic functions; univalent functions;

bi-univalent functions

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathcal{H} indicate an analytic functions family, which is normalized under the condition f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 in $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and given by the following Taylor-Maclaurin series:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n . {(1.1)}$$

Further, by S we shall denote the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions f and g be analytic in \mathbb{U} . Then we say that the function f is subordinate to g if there exists a Schwarz function w(z), analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0$$
, $|\omega(z)| < 1$, $(z \in \mathbb{U})$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)).$$

We denote this subordination by

$$f < g \text{ or } f(z) < g(z)$$
.

In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0), f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

The Koebe-One Quarter Theorem [11] asserts that image of \mathbb{U} under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains a disc of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Thus every univalent function f has an inverse f^{-1} satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ ($|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) > \frac{1}{4}$), where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots$$
 (1.2)

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} . A function $f \in S$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if there exists a function $g \in S$ such that g(z) is an univalent extension of f^{-1} to \mathbb{U} . Let Λ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} . The functions $\frac{z}{1-z}$, $-\log(1-z)$, $\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ are in the class Λ (see details in [20]). However, the familiar Koebe function is not bi-univalent. Lewin [17] investigated the class of bi-univalent functions Λ and obtained a bound $|a_2| \le 1.51$. Motivated by the work of Lewin [17], Brannan and Clunie [9] conjectured that $|a_2| \le \sqrt{2}$. The coefficient estimate problem for $|a_n|$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 3)$ is still open ([20]). Brannan and Taha [10] also worked on certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Λ and obtained estimates for their initial coefficients. Various classes of bi-univalent functions were introduced and studied in recent times, the study of bi-univalent functions gained momentum mainly due to the work of Srivastava et al. [20]. Motivated by this, many researchers [1], [4–8], [13–15], [20], [21], and [27–29], also the references cited there in) recently investigated several interesting subclasses of the class Λ and found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients. Recently, many researchers have been exploring bi-univalent functions, few to mention Fibonacci polynomials, Lucas polynomials, Chebyshev polynomials, Pell polynomials, Lucas-Lehmer polynomials, orthogonal polynomials and the other special polynomials and their generalizations are of great importance in a variety of branches such as physics, engineering, architecture, nature, art, number theory, combinatorics and numerical analysis. These polynomials have been studied in several papers from a theoretical point of view (see, for example, [23–30] also see references therein).

We recall the following results relevant for our study as stated in [3].

Let p(x) and q(x) be polynomials with real coefficients. The (p,q)-Lucas polynomials $\mathcal{L}_{p,q,n}(x)$ are defined by the recurrence relation

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,q,n}(x) = p(x)\mathcal{L}_{p,q,n-1}(x) + q(x)\mathcal{L}_{p,q,n-2}(x) \quad (n \ge 2),$$

from which the first few Lucas polynomials can be found as

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,q,0}(x) = 2,
\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x) = p(x),
\mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x) = p^{2}(x) + 2q(x),
\mathcal{L}_{p,q,3}(x) = p^{3}(x) + 3p(x)q(x), ...$$
(1.3)

For the special cases of p(x) and q(x), we can get the polynomials given $\mathcal{L}_{x,1,n}(x) \equiv \mathcal{L}_n(x)$ Lucas polynomials, $\mathcal{L}_{2x,1,n}(x) \equiv \mathcal{D}_n(x)$ Pell–Lucas polynomials, $\mathcal{L}_{1,2x,n}(x) \equiv j_n(x)$ Jacobsthal–Lucas polynomials, $\mathcal{L}_{3x,-2,n}(x) \equiv F_n(x)$ Fermat–Lucas polynomials, $\mathcal{L}_{2x,-1,n}(x) \equiv T_n(x)$ Chebyshev polynomials first kind.

Lemma 1.1. [16] Let $G\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}(z)$ be the generating function of the (p,q)-Lucas polynomial sequence $\mathcal{L}_{p,q,n}(x)$. Then,

$$G\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{p,q,n}(x)z^n = \frac{2 - p(x)z}{1 - p(x)z - q(x)z^2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(z) = G\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}(z) - 1 = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{p,q,n}(x)z^n = \frac{1 + q(x)z^2}{1 - p(x)z - q(x)z^2}.$$

Definition 1.2. [22] For $\vartheta \ge 0$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\vartheta + i\delta \ne 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$ denote the class of Bazilevič function if and only if

$$Re\left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\vartheta+i\delta}\right] > 0.$$

Several authors have researched different subfamilies of the well-known Bazilevič functions of type ϑ from various viewpoints (see [3] and [19]). For Bazilevič functions of order $\vartheta + i\delta$, there is no much work associated with Lucas polynomials in the literature. Initiating an exploration of properties of Lucas polynomials associated with Bazilevič functions of order $\vartheta + i\delta$ is the main goal of this paper. To do so, we take into account the following definitions. In this paper motivated by the very recent work of Altinkaya and Yalcin [3] (also see [18]) we define a new class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$, bi-Bazilevič function of Λ based on (p,q)- Lucas polynomials as below:

Definition 1.3. For $f \in \Lambda$, $\vartheta \ge 0$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\vartheta + i\delta \ne 0$ and let $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$ denote the class of Bi-Bazilevič functions of order $\vartheta + i\delta$ if only if

$$\left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\vartheta + i\delta} \right] < \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$
 (1.4)

and

$$\left[\left(\frac{zg'(w)}{g(w)} \right) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\vartheta + i\delta} \right] < \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,n}}(z) \in \Phi$ and the function g is described as $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$.

Remark 1.4. We note that for $\delta = 0$ the class $R(\vartheta, 0) = R(\vartheta)$ is defined by Altinkaya and Yalcin [2]. The class $\mathcal{B}(0, 0) = \mathcal{S}^*_{\Lambda}$ is defined as follows:

Definition 1.5. A function $f \in \Lambda$ is said to be in the class \mathcal{S}_{Λ}^* , if the following subordinations hold

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(z) (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} < \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(w) (w \in \mathbb{U})$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$.

We begin this section by finding the estimates of the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$.

2. Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$

Theorem 2.1. Let the function f(z) given by 1.1 be in the class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{p(x)\sqrt{2p(x)}}{\sqrt{\left|\left\{\left((\vartheta+i\delta)^2+3\left(\vartheta+i\delta\right)+2\right)-2\left(\vartheta+i\delta+1\right)^2\right\}p^2(x)-4q(x)\left(\vartheta+i\delta+1\right)^2\right|}}.$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{p^2(x)}{(\vartheta+1)^2 + \delta^2} + \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{(\vartheta+2)^2 + \delta^2}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$ there exist two analytic functions $u, v : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{U}$ with u(0) = 0 = v(0), such that |u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1, we can write from (1.4) and (1.5), we have

$$\left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\vartheta + i\delta} \right] = \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\left[\left(\frac{zg'(w)}{g(w)} \right) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\vartheta + i\delta} \right] = \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.2}$$

It is fairly well known that if

$$|u(z)| = |u_1z + u_2z^2 + \cdots| < 1,$$

and

$$|v(w)| = |v_1w + v_2w^2 + \cdots| < 1,$$

then

$$|u_k| \le 1$$
 and $|v_k| \le 1$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$,

so we have,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(u(z)) = 1 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)u(z) + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)u^{2}(z) + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)u_{1}z + [\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)u_{2} + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)u_{1}^{2}]z^{2} + \dots$$
(2.3)

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}(x)\}}(v(w)) = 1 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)v(w) + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)v^{2}(w) + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)v_{1}w + [\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)v_{2} + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)v_{1}^{2}]w^{2} + \dots$$
(2.4)

From the equalities (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain that

$$\left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\vartheta + i\delta} \right] = 1 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)u_1 z + \left[\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)u_2 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)u_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\left[\left(\frac{zg'(w)}{g(w)} \right) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\vartheta + i\delta} \right] = 1 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)v_1w + \left[\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)v_2 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)v_1^2 \right] w^2 + \dots,$$
(2.6)

It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$(\vartheta + i\delta + 1) a_2 = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)u_1, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{(\vartheta + i\delta - 1)(\vartheta + i\delta + 2)}{2}a_2^2 - (\vartheta + i\delta + 2)a_3 = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)u_2 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)u_1^2, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$-(\vartheta + i\delta + 1) a_2 = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)v_1, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{(\vartheta + i\delta + 2)(\vartheta + i\delta + 3)}{2}a_2^2 + (\vartheta + i\delta + 2)a_3 = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)v_2 + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)v_1^2.$$
 (2.10)

From (2.7) and (2.9)

$$u_1 = -v_1 (2.11)$$

and

$$2(\vartheta + i\delta + 1)^2 a_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{n,a,1}^2(x)(u_1^2 + v_1^2), \tag{2.12}$$

by adding (2.8) to (2.10), we get

$$(\vartheta + i\delta)^2 + 3(\vartheta + i\delta) + 2)a_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)(u_2 + v_2) + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)(u_1^2 + v_1^2), \tag{2.13}$$

by using (2.12) in equality (2.13), we have

$$\left[\left((\vartheta+i\delta)^2+3\left(\vartheta+i\delta\right)+2\right)-\frac{2\mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)\left(\vartheta+i\delta+1\right)^2}{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^2(x)}\right]a_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)(u_2+v_2),$$

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^3(x)(u_2 + v_2)}{\left[\left((\vartheta + i\delta)^2 + 3(\vartheta + i\delta) + 2 \right) \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^2(x) - 2\mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)(\vartheta + i\delta + 1)^2 \right]}.$$
 (2.14)

Thus, from (1.3) and (2.14) we get

$$|a_2| \le \frac{p(x)\sqrt{2p(x)}}{\sqrt{\left|\left\{\left((\vartheta + i\delta)^2 + 3\left(\vartheta + i\delta\right) + 2\right) - 2\left(\vartheta + i\delta + 1\right)^2\right\}p^2(x) - 4q(x)\left(\vartheta + i\delta + 1\right)^2\right|}}$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $|a_3|$, by subtracting (2.10) from (2.8), we obtain

$$2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2) a_{3} - 2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2) a_{2}^{2} = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)(u_{2} - v_{2}) + \mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)(u_{1}^{2} - v_{1}^{2})$$

$$2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2) a_{3} = \mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)(u_{2} - v_{2}) + 2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2) a_{2}^{2}$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)(u_{2} - v_{2})}{2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2)} + a_{2}^{2}$$
(2.15)

Then, in view of (2.11) and (2.12), we have from (2.15)

$$a_3 = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^2(x)}{2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2)^2} (u_1^2 + v_1^2) + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)}{2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2)} (u_2 - v_2).$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{p^2(x)}{|\vartheta + i\delta + 1|^2} + \frac{p(x)}{|\vartheta + i\delta + 2|}$$
$$= \frac{p^2(x)}{(\vartheta + 1)^2 + \delta^2} + \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}}$$

This completes the proof.

Taking $\delta = 0$, in Theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. Let the function f(z) given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{p(x)\sqrt{2p(x)}}{\sqrt{|\{(\vartheta^2 + 3\vartheta + 2) - 2(\vartheta + 1)^2\}p^2(x) - 4q(x)(\vartheta + 1)^2\}}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{p^2(x)}{(\vartheta + 2)^2} + \frac{p(x)}{\vartheta + 2}$$

Also, taking $\vartheta = 0$ and $\delta = 0$, in Theorem 2.1, we get the results given in [18].

3. Fekete-Szegő inequality for the class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$

Fekete-Szegö inequality is one of the famous problems related to coefficients of univalent analytic functions. It was first given by [12], the classical Fekete-Szegö inequality for the coefficients of $f \in S$ is

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le 1 + 2 \exp(-2\mu/(1 - \mu))$$
 for $\mu \in [0, 1)$.

As $\mu \to 1^-$, we have the elementary inequality $|a_3 - a_2^2| \le 1$. Moreover, the coefficient functional

$$\varsigma_{\mu}(f) = a_3 - \mu a_2^2$$

on the normalized analytic functions f in the unit disk \mathbb{U} plays an important role in function theory. The problem of maximizing the absolute value of the functional $\varsigma \mu(f)$ is called the Fekete-Szegö problem.

In this section, we are ready to find the sharp bounds of Fekete-Szegö functional $\varsigma_{\mu}(f)$ defined for $f \in \mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$ given by (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}}, & 0 \le |h(\mu)| \le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}} \\ 2p(x)|h(\mu)|, & |h(\mu)| \ge \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}} \end{cases}$$

where

$$h(\mu) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^2(x)(1-\mu)}{\left((\vartheta+i\delta)^2 + 3\left(\vartheta+i\delta\right) + 2\right)\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^2(x) - 2\mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)\left(\vartheta+i\delta + 1\right)^2}.$$

Proof. From (2.14) and (2.15), we conclude that

$$a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} = (1 - \mu) \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^{3}(x)(u_{2} + v_{2})}{\left[\left((\vartheta + i\delta)^{2} + 3(\vartheta + i\delta) + 2\right)\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^{2}(x) - 2\mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)(\vartheta + i\delta + 1)^{2}\right]} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)}{2(\vartheta + i\delta + 2)}(u_{2} - v_{2})$$

$$=\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}(x)\left[\left(h(\mu)+\frac{1}{2(\vartheta+i\delta+2)}\right)u_2+\left(h(\mu)-\frac{1}{2(\vartheta+i\delta+2)}\right)v_2\right]$$

where

$$h(\mu) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^2(x)(1-\mu)}{\left((\vartheta+i\delta)^2 + 3\left(\vartheta+i\delta\right) + 2\right)\mathcal{L}_{p,q,1}^2(x) - 2\mathcal{L}_{p,q,2}(x)\left(\vartheta+i\delta + 1\right)^2}.$$

Then, in view of (1.3), we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}}, & 0 \le |h(\mu)| \le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}} \\ 2p(x)|h(\mu)|, & |h(\mu)| \ge \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}} \end{cases}$$

We end this section with some corollaries.

Taking $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *If* $f \in \mathcal{B}(\vartheta, \delta)$, then

$$\left|a_3 - a_2^2\right| \le \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{(\vartheta + 2)^2 + \delta^2}}.$$

Taking $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta, 0)$. Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{p(x)}{\vartheta + 2}, & 0 \le |h(\mu)| \le \frac{1}{2(\vartheta + 2)} \\ 2p(x)|h(\mu)|, & |h(\mu)| \ge \frac{1}{2(\vartheta + 2)} \end{cases}$$

Also, taking $\theta = 0$, $\delta = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. Let f given by (1.1) be in the class \mathcal{B} . Then

$$\left|a_3-a_2^2\right|\leq \frac{p(x)}{2}.$$

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

- 1. I. Aldawish, T. Al-Hawary, B. A. Frasin, Subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Frasin differential operator, *Mathematics*, **8** (2020), 783.
- 2. Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, On the Chebyshev polynomial coefficient problem of bi-Bazilevic function, *TWMS J. App. Eng. Math.*, **10** (2020), 251–258.
- 3. Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, On the (p,q)-Lucas polynomial coefficient bounds of the bi-univalent function class σ , Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, **25** (2019), 567–575.
- 4. Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Coefficient estimates for two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric points, *J. Funct. Spaces*, **2015** (2015), 1–5.
- 5. A. Amourah, Fekete-Szegö inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to (p,q)-Lucas polynomials, TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering (in press).
- 6. A. Amourah, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a class of analytic bi-univalent functions, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, **2096** (2019), 020024. AIP Publishing LLC.
- 7. A. Amourah, *Initial bounds for analytic and bi-univalent functions by means of* (p, q)– *Chebyshev polynomials defined by differential operator*, General Letters in Mathematics, 7 (2019), 45–51.
- 8. A. Amourah, M. Illafe, A Comprehensive subclass of analytic and Bi-univalent functions associated with subordination, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 9 (2020), 187–193.
- 9. D. Brannan, J. Clunie, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

- 10. D. Brannan, T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications*, (1988), 53–60.
- 11. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, New York, USA, 1983.
- 12. M. Fekete, G. Szegő, Eine Bemerkung ber Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **1** (1933), 85–89.
- 13. B. A. Frasin, M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **24** (2011), 1569–1573.
- 14. B. A. Frasin, Coefficient bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, **43** (2014), 383–389.
- 15. B. A. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary, Initial Maclaurin coefficients bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Theory Appl. Math. Comp. Sci.*, **5** (2015), 186–193.
- 16. G. Y. Lee, M. Aşçı, Some properties of the (p,q)-Fibonacci and (p,q)-Lucas polynomials, J. Appl. Math., **2012** (2012), 1–18.
- 17. M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18** (1967), 63–68.
- 18. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, S. Yalçın, On the λ Psedo-bi-starlike functions related to (p,q)-Lucas polynomial, Libertas Mathematica (newseries), **39** (2019), 79–88.
- 19. H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, Coefficient estimates for some families of bi-Bazilevič functions of the Ma-Minda type involving the Hohlov operator, *J. Class. Anal.*, **2** (2013), 167–181.
- 20. H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23** (2010), 1188–1192.
- 21. H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, CM. ağlar, N. Yağmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Filomat*, **27** (2013), 831–842.
- 22. T. Sheil-Small, On Bazilevič functions, *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, **23** (1972), 135–142.
- 23. P. Vellucci, A. M. Bersani, The class of Lucas–Lehmer polynomials, *Rend. Mat. Appl. serie VII*, **37** (2016), 43–62.
- 24. P. Vellucci, A. M. Bersani, Orthogonal polynomials and Riesz bases applied to the solution of Love's equation, *Math. Mech. Complex Syst.*, **4** (2016), 55–66.
- 25. P. Vellucci, A. M. Bersani, Ordering of nested square roots of according to the Gray code, *Ramanujan J.*, **45** (2018), 197–210.
- 26. T. Wang, W. Zhang, Some identities involving Fibonacci, Lucas polynomials and their applications, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum.*, **55** (2012), 95–103.
- 27. F. Yousef, T. Al-Hawary, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Fekete-Szegő functional problems for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Frasin differential operator, *Afr. Mat.*, **30** (2019), 495–503.

- 28. F. Yousef, B. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary, Fekete-Szegö inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to Chebyshev polynomials, *Filomat*, **32** (2018), 3229–3236.
- 29. F. Yousef, S. Alroud, M. Illafe, A comprehensive subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, (2019), 1–11.
- 30. A. Zireh, E. Analouei Adegani, S. Bulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions defined by subordination, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, **23** (2016), 487–504.



© 2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)