# X-ray Structure Analysis Online 

# Crystal Structure of 4,8,9,10-Tetraphenyl-1,3-diazaadamantane 
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In the crystal structure of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, all of the four six-membered rings that constitute the diazaadamantanone cage adopt chair conformations. Two of the four phenyl substituents occupy axial, and the other two occupy equatorial positions relative to their respective $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ rings of the adamantane framework. There are no hydrogenbonded interactions between the two molecules in the unit cell. The crystal packing is characterized by weak C-H $\cdots \pi$ interactions.
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1,3-Diazaadamantane systems are of pharmacological significance and are potentially interesting as anticholinergic compounds. ${ }^{1}$ To prepare the title compound, 4,8,9,10-tetraphenyl-1,3-diazatri cyclo[3.3.1.1]decan-6-one ( 2 g ), diethylene glycol ( 7.5 mL ) and hydrazene hydrate ( 10 mL ), were taken into a 100 mL RB flask,
and the contents were heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an hour. Then, the mixture was allowed to cool and $\mathrm{KOH}(1.2 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to it. Excess hydrazine hydrate was distilled off. The resulting solution was heated at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an hour, and then the mixture

Table 1 Crystal and experimental data

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 442.58 |
| Temperature | 293(2)K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=16.7700(10) \AA$ |
|  | $b=11.1431(9) \AA$ |
|  | $c=12.7593(8) \AA$ |
|  | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\beta=90.331(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | 2384.3(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.233 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.071 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 944 |
| Crystal size | $0.18 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.19 to $24.97^{\circ}$. |
| Limiting indices | $\begin{aligned} & -19 \leq h \leq 12,-11 \leq k \leq 3,-15 \leq \\ & l \leq 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected/unique | 4809/2090 [ $R(\mathrm{int}$ ) $=0.0257]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25$ | 100.00\% |
| Absorption correction | $\psi$-scan |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9922 and 0.9873 |
| Refinement method | full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 2090/0/155 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.033 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R 1=0.0398, w R 2=0.0888$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R 1=0.0769, w R 2=0.1035$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.135 and -0.153 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |
| Measurement | Enraf Nonius CAD-4 |
| Structure determination and refinement | SHELXS97/SHELXL97 |
| Structure drawing | ORTEP |

[^0]Table 2 Fractional atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{-4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $6748(1)$ | $5755(2)$ | $7075(1)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $7163(1)$ | $4851(2)$ | $7584(2)$ | $55(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $7838(1)$ | $4340(2)$ | $7152(2)$ | $64(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $8101(1)$ | $4707(2)$ | $6191(2)$ | $65(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $7702(1)$ | $5604(2)$ | $5672(2)$ | $65(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $7033(1)$ | $6133(2)$ | $6115(2)$ | $56(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $4716(1)$ | $7077(2)$ | $5261(1)$ | $44(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $5150(1)$ | $8004(2)$ | $4823(2)$ | $65(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $4846(2)$ | $8688(2)$ | $4017(2)$ | $82(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $4093(2)$ | $8478(2)$ | $3638(2)$ | $74(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $3653(1)$ | $7572(2)$ | $4055(2)$ | $65(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3959(1)$ | $6874(2)$ | $4857(1)$ | $53(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $5085(1)$ | $6320(1)$ | $6134(1)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $4506(1)$ | $5535(1)$ | $6764(1)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 5000 | $4737(2)$ | 7500 | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $6044(1)$ | $6364(1)$ | $7599(1)$ | $40(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 5000 | $7814(2)$ | 7500 | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $5556(1)$ | $7097(1)$ | $6864(1)$ | $39(1)$ |

$* \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}=(1 / 3) \Sigma_{i} \Sigma_{j} U_{i j} a_{i} * a_{j} * \boldsymbol{a}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{j}$.
was poured into crushed ice and the compounds were extracted using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Recrystallization was performed in a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ solvent mixture. Yield $67 \%(1.3 \mathrm{~g})$; melting point $242^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A schematic diagram of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1.
An ORTEP plot of the molecule is shown in Fig. 2. No significant differences in the geometry of the diazaadamantanone cage are seen, since it is known to be inherently rigid and symmetrical. All of the four six-membered rings which constitute the diaza-adamantanone cage, adopt chair conformations; this is the most preferred conformation for adamantanones, irrespective of substitutions, as in related structures previously studied. ${ }^{2,3}$
The crystal and experimental details are given in Table 1. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by fullmatrix least-squares procedures to a final reliability value of 0.0420. H atoms were placed at calculated positions and allowed to ride on their carrier atoms with C-H $=0.93-0.98 \AA$, and $U_{\text {iso }}=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and CH groups. The atomic

Table 3 Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ )

| D-H...A | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} \ldots \mathrm{A})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D} \ldots \mathrm{A})$ | $\angle(\mathrm{DHA})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C6-H6...N1 | 0.93 | 2.52 | $2.869(2)$ | 103 |
| C4-H4...Cg1 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.93 | 2.93 | $3.795(2)$ | 156 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $=1 / 2+x,-1 / 2+y, z$. Cg1 is $-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 12$ centroid.
coordinates and temperature factors for the non-hydrogen atoms are presented in Table 2.
In this structure, two of the four phenyl substituents occupy axial and the other two occupy equatorial positions relative to their respective $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ rings of the adamantane framework as shown by the torsion angles (C15-C14a-C13-C7 $=173.0(2)^{\circ}$, $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 14 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Cla}=-72.0(2)^{\circ}, \mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 1=-72.0(2)^{\circ}$, C15-C14-C13a-C7a $\left.=173.0(2)^{\circ}\right)$. The intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ bonds determine (Table 3) which of the two phenyl rings prefer a axial/equatorial configuration. There are no noticeable intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds; however, the packing is effected by weak C-H $\cdots \pi$ interactions (Table 3) and van der Waals interactions.
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