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An analytic function $f$ is quasi-subordinate to an analytic function $g$, in the open unit disk if there exist analytic functions $\varphi$ and $w$, with $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1, w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=\varphi(z) g(w(z))$. Certain subclasses of analytic univalent functions associated with quasisubordination are defined and the bounds for the Fekete-Szegö coefficient functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for functions belonging to these subclasses are derived.

## 1. Introduction and Motivation

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of analytic function $f$ in the open unit $\operatorname{disk} \mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ normalized by $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$ of the form $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$. For two analytic functions $f$ and $g$, the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)<g(z), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exists an analytic function $w$, with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, then $f(z)<g(z)$ is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$. For brief survey on the concept of subordination, see [1].

Ma and Minda [2] introduced the following class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\phi(z)\right\}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is an analytic function with positive real part in $\mathbb{D}, \phi(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to $\phi(0)=1$ and $\phi^{\prime}(0)>0$. A function $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ is called Ma-Minda starlike (with respect to $\phi$ ). The class $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$ is the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)<\phi(z)$. The class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$ include several well-known subclasses of starlike and convex functions as special case.

In the year 1970, Robertson [3] introduced the concept of quasi-subordination. For two analytic functions $f$ and $g$, the function $f$ is quasi-subordinate to $g$, written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)<_{q} g(z), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exist analytic functions $\varphi$ and $w$, with $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1, w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=\varphi(z) g(w(z))$. Observe that when $\varphi(z)=1$, then $f(z)=g(w(z))$, so that $f(z)<g(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Also notice that if $w(z)=z$, then $f(z)=\varphi(z) g(z)$ and it is said that $f$ is majorized by $g$ and written $f(z) \ll g(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Hence it is obvious that quasi-subordination is a generalization of subordination as well as majorization. See [4-6] for works related to quasi-subordination.

Throughout this paper it is assumed that $\phi$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\phi(0)=1$. Motivated by $[2,3]$, we define the following classes.

Definition 1.1. Let the class $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\phi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the quasi-subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1 \prec_{q} \phi(z)-1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.2. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1=z(\phi(z)-1)<_{q} \phi(z)-1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z \exp \left(-z+\int_{0}^{z} \phi(\xi) d \xi\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $S_{q}^{*}(\phi)$.
Definition 1.3. Let the class $\mathcal{C}_{q}(\phi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the quasi-subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}<_{q} \phi(z)-1 . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.4. The function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \exp \left(-\zeta+\int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi(\xi) d \xi\right) d \zeta \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}_{q}(\phi)$.
The classes $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{q}(\phi)$ are analogous to the Ma-Minda starlike and convex classes defined in the form of quasi-subordination.

Definition 1.5. Let the class $\mathcal{R}_{q}(\phi)$ consist of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the quasi-subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)-1 \prec_{q} \phi(z)-1 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.6. The function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\exp \left(\int_{0}^{z} \phi(\xi) d \xi\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{R}_{q}(\phi)$.
It is known that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is univalent. The above class of functions defined in terms of the quasi-subordination is associated with the class of functions with positive real part.

Functions in the following classes, $\mathscr{M}_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$ and $\Omega_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$ are analogous to the $\alpha$ convex functions of Miller et al. [7] and $\alpha$-logarithmically convex functions introduced by Lewandowski et al. [8] (see also [9]), respectively.

Definition 1.7. Let the class $\mathcal{M}_{q}(\alpha, \phi),(\alpha \geq 0)$ consist of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the quasisubordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1<_{q} \phi(z)-1 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.8. The function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1=z(\phi(z)-1) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_{q}(\phi)$.

Definition 1.9. Let the class $\mathcal{L}_{q}(\alpha, \phi),(\alpha \geq 0)$ consist of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the quasisubordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{1-\alpha}-1 \prec_{q} \phi(z)-1 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.10. The function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{1-\alpha}-1=z(\phi(z)-1) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathscr{L}_{q}(\phi)$.
It is well known (see [10]) that the $n$-th coefficient of a univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is bounded by $n$. The bounds for coefficient give information about various geometric properties of the function. Many authors have also investigated the bounds for the FeketeSzegö coefficient for various classes [11-25]. In this paper, we obtain coefficient estimates for the functions in the above defined classes.

Let $\Omega$ be the class of analytic functions $w$, normalized by $w(0)=0$, and satisfying the condition $|w(z)|<1$. We need the following lemma to prove our results.

Lemma 1.11 (see [26]). If $w \in \Omega$, then for any complex number $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{2}-t w_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \max \{1 ;|t|\} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for the functions $w(z)=z^{2}$ or $w(z)=z$.

## 2. Main Results

Although Theorems 2.1 and 2.4 are contained in the corresponding results for the classes $\mathcal{M}_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$ and $\mathscr{L}_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$, they are stated and proved separately here because of the importance of the classes.

Throughout, let $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \varphi(z)=$ $c_{0}+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, B_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B_{1}>0$.

Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\phi)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq B_{1} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1},|1-2 \mu| B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}(\phi)$, then there exist analytic functions $\varphi$ and $w$, with $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1, w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1=\varphi(z)(\phi(w(z))-1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1=a_{2} z+\left(-a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\cdots  \tag{2.4}\\
\phi(w(z))-1=B_{1} w_{1} z+\left(B_{1} w_{2}+B_{2} w_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \\
\varphi(z)(\phi(w(z))-1)=B_{1} c_{0} w_{1} z+\left(B_{1} c_{1} w_{1}+c_{0}\left(B_{1} w_{2}+B_{2} w_{1}^{2}\right)\right) z^{2}+\cdots, \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

it follows from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=B_{1} c_{0} w_{1} \\
a_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1} c_{1} w_{1}+B_{1} c_{0} w_{2}+c_{0}\left(B_{2}+B_{1}^{2} c_{0}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\varphi(z)$ is analytic and bounded in $\mathbb{D}$, we have [27, page 172 ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 1-\left|c_{0}\right|^{2} \leq 1 \quad(n>0) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using this fact and the well-known inequality, $\left|w_{1}\right| \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq B_{1} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1} c_{1} w_{1}+c_{0}\left(B_{1} w_{2}+\left(B_{2}+B_{1}^{2} c_{0}-2 \mu B_{1}^{2} c_{0}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|B_{1} c_{1} w_{1}\right|+\left|B_{1} c_{0}\left(w_{2}-\left(2 \mu B_{1} c_{0}-B_{1} c_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right)\right|\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again applying $\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|w_{1}\right| \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(1+\left|w_{2}-\left(-(1-2 \mu) B_{1} c_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.11 to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{2}-\left(-(1-2 \mu) B_{1} c_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right| \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(1+\max \left\{1,\left|-(1-2 \mu) B_{1} c_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|\right\}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|-(1-2 \mu) B_{1} c_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right| \leq B_{1}\left|c_{0}\right||1-2 \mu|+\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right| \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(1+\max \left\{1, B_{1}|1-2 \mu|+\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|\right\}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mu=0$, the above will reduce to the estimate of $\left|a_{3}\right|$.
Remark 2.2. For $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$, Theorem 2.1 gives a particular case of the estimates in [13, Theorem 1] for $p=1$ and [14, Theorem 2.1] for $k=1$.

Theorem 2.3. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1 \ll \phi(z)-1 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq B_{1} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1}+B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1}+|1-2 \mu| B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The result follows by taking $w(z)=z$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.4. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{q}(\phi)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2}  \tag{2.19}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1},\left|1-\frac{3}{2} \mu\right| B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Observe that when $z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{q}^{*}$, equality (2.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z f^{\prime}(z)}-1=\varphi(z)(\phi(w(z))-1) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}<\phi(w(z))-1 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the converse can be verified easily. By the Alexander relation, that is $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q}$ if and only if $z f^{\prime} \in S_{q}^{*}$, we can obtain the required estimates.

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \ll \phi(z)-1 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2}  \tag{2.24}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}\left(B_{1}+B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}\left(B_{1}+\left|1-\frac{3}{2} \mu\right| B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.6. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{q}(\phi)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1},\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right), \tag{2.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, \frac{3}{4}|\mu| B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $f \in \boldsymbol{R}_{q}(\phi)$, we know that by Definition 1.5 there exist analytic functions $\varphi$ and $w$, with $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1, w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)-1=\varphi(z)(\phi(w(z))-1) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)-1=2 a_{2} z+3 a_{3} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (2.28) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} c_{0} w_{1}  \tag{2.30}\\
a_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left(B_{1} c_{1} w_{1}+c_{0}\left(B_{1} w_{2}+B_{2} w_{1}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Following the same argument as in Theorem 2.1, where $\left|c_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 1$, we can deduce that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2} \\
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{3}\left(1+\left|w_{2}-\left(\frac{3 B_{1} c_{0}}{4} \mu-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.11, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{3}\left(1+\max \left\{1,\left|\frac{3 B_{1} c_{0}}{4} \mu-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|\right\}\right) . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{3 B_{1} c_{0}}{4} \mu-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right| \leq \frac{3 B_{1}}{4}|\mu|\left|c_{0}\right|+\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right| \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|c_{0}\right| \leq 1$ we can conclude the hypothesis.
Theorem 2.7. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)-1 \ll \phi(z)-1 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(B_{1}+\frac{3}{4}|\mu| B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the class $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\rho}(\phi)$ consist of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the quasi-subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho}\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right) \prec_{q} \phi(z)-1 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. The following corollary gives the results for $f \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\rho}(\phi)$.
Corollary 2.8. Let $\rho \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\boldsymbol{R}_{q}^{\rho}(\phi)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\rho|}{2} B_{1}  \tag{2.38}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\rho|}{3}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1},\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\rho|}{3}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, \frac{3}{4}|\mu \rho| B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.9. (1) For $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$, Corollary 2.8 gives a particular case of the estimates in [13, Theorem 3] for $p=1$ and [14, Theorem 2.3] for $k=1$.
(2) For $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$ and $\phi(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z),(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$, Corollary 2.8 reduces to the results in [19, Theorem 4].

Theorem 2.10. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{1+\alpha} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2 \alpha)}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, \frac{1+3 \alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) \tag{2.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2 \alpha)}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, \frac{|2 \mu(1+2 \alpha)-(1+3 \alpha)|}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) . \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$, for $\alpha \geq 0$ then there are analytic functions $\varphi$ and $w$, with $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$, $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1=\varphi(z)(\phi(w(z))-1) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

A computation shows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=(1-\alpha)+(1-\alpha) a_{2} z+(1-\alpha)\left(-a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\cdots  \tag{2.43}\\
\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=\alpha+2 \alpha a_{2} z+2 \alpha\left(-2 a_{2}^{2}+3 a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\cdots
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence from (2.43), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1=(1+\alpha) a_{2} z+\left(-(1+3 \alpha) a_{2}^{2}+2(1+2 \alpha) a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from relation (2.42) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=\frac{B_{1} c_{0} w_{1}}{1+\alpha}  \tag{2.45}\\
a_{3}=\frac{1}{2(1+2 \alpha)}\left(B_{1} c_{1} w_{1}+B_{1} c_{0} w_{2}+\left(B_{2} c_{0}+\frac{1+3 \alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} B_{1}^{2} c_{0}^{2}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

We can then conclude the proof by proceeding similarly as previous theorems.

Remark 2.11. (1) When $\alpha=0$, Theorem 2.10 reduces to Theorem 2.1.
(2) When $\alpha=1$, Theorem 2.10 reduces to Theorem 2.4.
(3) For $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$, Theorem 2.10 gives a particular case of the estimates in [14, Theorem 2.9] for $k=1$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1 \ll \phi(z)-1 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{1+\alpha} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2 \alpha)}\left(B_{1}+\frac{1+3 \alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2 \alpha)}\left(B_{1}+\frac{|2 \mu(1+2 \alpha)-(1+3 \alpha)|}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.13. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta=1-\alpha$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathscr{L}_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{|\alpha+2 \beta|} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha+3 \beta|}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, \frac{\left|(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}-3(\alpha+4 \beta)\right|}{2(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) \tag{2.49}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha+3 \beta|}\left(B_{1}+\max \left\{B_{1}, \frac{\left|(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}-3(\alpha+4 \beta)-4 \mu(\alpha+3 \beta)\right|}{2(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right\}\right) \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q}(\alpha, \phi)$, for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta=1-\alpha$ then there are analytic functions $\varphi$ and $w$, with $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1, w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\beta}-1=\varphi(z)(\phi(w(z))-1) \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

A computation shows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}=1+\alpha a_{2} z+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}-3 \alpha\right) a_{2}^{2}+4 \alpha a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \\
\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\beta}=1+2 \beta a_{2} z+\left(2\left(\beta^{2}-3 \beta\right) a_{2}^{2}+6 \beta a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.52}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus (2.52) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\beta}-1  \tag{2.53}\\
& \quad=(\alpha+2 \beta) a_{2} z+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left((\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}-3(\alpha+4 \beta)\right) a_{2}^{2}+4(\alpha+3 \beta) a_{3}\right) z^{2}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

By using the above equation and (2.5) in (2.51) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=\frac{B_{1} c_{0} w_{1}}{\alpha+2 \beta}  \tag{2.54}\\
a_{3}=\frac{B_{1}}{2(\alpha+3 \beta)}\left(B_{1} c_{1} w_{1}+B_{1} c_{0} w_{2}+\left(B_{2} c_{0}-\frac{(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}-3(\alpha+4 \beta)}{2(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}} B_{1}^{2} c_{0}^{2}\right) w_{1}^{2}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

We can proceed similarly as previous theorems and proof the hypothesis.
Remark 2.14. (1) When $\alpha=0$, Theorem 2.13 reduces to Theorem 2.4.
(2) When $\alpha=1$, Theorem 2.13 reduces to Theorem 2.1.
(3) For $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$, Theorem 2.13 gives a particular case of the estimates in [14, Theorem 2.7] for $k=1$.

Theorem 2.15. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta=1-\alpha$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{1-\alpha}-1 \ll \phi(z)-1 \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{|\alpha+2 \beta|} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha+3 \beta|}\left(B_{1}+\frac{\left|(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}-3(\alpha+4 \beta)\right|}{2(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.56}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha+3 \beta|}\left(B_{1}+\frac{\left|(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}-3(\alpha+4 \beta)-4 \mu(\alpha+3 \beta)\right|}{2(\alpha+2 \beta)^{2}} B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right) \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$
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