



## Hankel Inequalities for a Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions based on Salagean type $q$ -Difference Operator

Sibel Yalçın<sup>1</sup>, Şahsene Altınkaya<sup>1</sup>,  
Gangadharan Murugusundaramoorthy<sup>2\*</sup> & Kaliappan Vijaya<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bursa Uludag University, 16059, Görükle, Bursa, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, India

\*E-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** In this investigation a new subclass of bi-univalent functions is established that are defined in the open unit disk  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and are endowed with the Salagean type  $q$ -difference operator. Then, Hankel inequalities for the new function class are obtained and several related consequences of the results are also stated.

**Keywords:** *bi-univalent; coefficient bounds; convex functions; Hankel inequalities; Starlike; univalent.*

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  indicate the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

normalized by  $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  indicate the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  comprising of functions of the form Eq. (1) and also univalent in  $\Delta$ .

For the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , Jackson's  $q$ -derivative [1] ( $0 < q < 1$ ) is expressed by:

$$\mathfrak{D}_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z}, & z \neq 0 \\ f'(0), & z = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and  $\mathfrak{D}_q^2 f(z) = \mathfrak{D}_q(\mathfrak{D}_q f(z))$ . Thus, from Eq. (2), we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{D}_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1},$$

where

$$[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}.$$

If  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get  $[n]_q \rightarrow n$ .

Lately, in [2] the Sălăgean type  $q$ -differential operator has been introduced as given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{D}_q^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ \mathfrak{D}_q^1 f(z) &= z\mathfrak{D}_q f(z) \\ \mathfrak{D}_q^k f(z) &= z\mathfrak{D}_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^{k-1} f(z)) \\ \mathfrak{D}_q^k f(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^k a_n z^n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \Delta).\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get

$$\mathfrak{D}^k f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^k a_n z^n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \Delta)$$

the familiar Sălăgean derivative [3].

Noonan and Thomas [4] introduced the  $q^{th}$  Hankel determinant of function  $f$  by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad (q \geq 1).$$

In particular,

$$H_2(1) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix} = a_1 a_3 - a_2^2 = a_3 - a_2^2$$

and

$$H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2.$$

Then, Fekete and Szegő [5] obtained estimates of  $|H_2(1)| = |a_3 - \theta a_2^2|$  for  $\theta$  is real. That is, if  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , then

$$|a_3 - \theta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 4\theta - 3 & \theta \geq 1 \\ 1 + 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\theta}{1-\theta}\right) & 0 \leq \theta \leq 1. \\ 3 - 4\theta & \theta \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, Keogh and Merkes [6] derived sharp estimates for  $|H_2(1)|$  when  $f$  is starlike, convex and close-to-convex in  $\Delta$ .

Next, according to the Koebe One Quarter Theorem [7], every univalent function  $f$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  satisfying  $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, (z \in \Delta)$  and  $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$  ( $|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ ). A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-

univalent in  $\Delta$  if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Sigma$  indicate the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk  $\Delta$ . Since  $f \in \Sigma$  has the Taylor representation given by Eq. (1), computation shows that  $g = f^{-1}$  has the following representation:

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 + \dots \tag{4}$$

Several researchers have introduced new subclasses of bi-univalent functions and derived non-sharp the initial coefficients (see [8-18]).

Now, by using the Sălăgean type  $q$ -differential operator for functions  $g$  of the form Eq. (4), we define:

$$\mathfrak{D}_q^k g(w) = w - a_2[2]_q^k w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)[3]_q^k w^3 + \dots \tag{5}$$

and introduce a new subclass of  $\Sigma$  to acquire the estimates of the initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients. Then, by using the values of  $a_2$  and  $a_3$ , we derive the Fekete-Szegő and Hankel inequalities.

## 2 Bi-Univalent Function Class $\mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(\lambda, \beta)$

In this section, we will give the following new subclass involving the Sălăgean type  $q$ -difference operator and also its related classes.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by Eq. (1) is said to be in the class

$$\mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(\lambda, \beta) \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, z, w \in \Delta)$$

if the following conditions hold:

$$\Re \left( (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathfrak{D}_q^k f(z)}{z} + \lambda (\mathfrak{D}_q^k f(z))' \right) > \beta$$

and

$$\Re \left( (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathfrak{D}_q^k g(w)}{w} + \lambda (\mathfrak{D}_q^k g(w))' \right) > \beta.$$

**Example 2.2.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$ , members of which are given by Eq. (1) and

- for  $\lambda = 0$ , let  $\mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(0, \beta) =: \mathcal{R}\Sigma_q^k(\beta)$  denote the subclass of  $\Sigma$  and the following conditions hold

$$\Re \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}_q^k f(z)}{z} \right) > \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}_q^k g(w)}{w} \right) > \beta$$

- for  $\lambda = 1$ , let  $\mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(1, \beta) =: \mathcal{H}\Sigma_q^k(\beta)$  denote the subclass of  $\Sigma$  and satisfy the following conditions

$$\Re \left[ (\mathcal{D}_q^k f(z))' \right] > \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \left[ (\mathcal{D}_q^k g(w))' \right] > \beta.$$

### 3 Hankel Inequalities for $f \in \mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(\lambda, \beta)$

In this section, we will determine the functional  $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$  for the functions  $f \in \mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(\lambda, \beta)$  due to Altınkaya and Yağcı [19]. Now, we recall the following lemmas:

**Lemma 3.1.** (See [4]) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the well-known class of Carathéodory functions, that is  $c(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  with the power series expansion

$$c(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad (6)$$

and  $\Re(c(z)) > 0$ . Then

$$|c_n| \leq 2 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and is sharp for each  $n$ . Indeed,

$$c(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 z^n \quad (\forall n \geq 1).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** (See [20]) If  $c \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2), \quad (7)$$

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z$$

for some complex numbers  $x, z$  with  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $|z| \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** (See [5]) The power series for  $c$  converges in  $\Delta$  to a function in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if the Toeplitz determinants

$$T_n = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ c_{-1} & 2 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{-n} & c_{-n+1} & c_{-n+2} & \cdots & 2 \end{vmatrix} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and  $c_{-\kappa} = \overline{c_\kappa}$  are all nonnegative. They are exactly positive except for

$$c(z) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^m \rho_\kappa c_0(e^{it_\kappa z}), \quad \rho_\kappa > 0, \quad t_\kappa \text{ real}$$

and  $t_\kappa \neq t_j$  ( $\kappa \neq j$ ). In this case  $T_n > 0$  ( $n < m - 1$ ) and  $T_n = 0$  ( $n \geq m$ ).

Next, we designate the second Hankel coefficient estimates for  $f \in \mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(\lambda, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(\lambda, \beta)$ . Then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} H(2), & A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \geq 0, B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \geq 0 \\ \max \left\{ \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2 [3]_q^{2k}}, H(2) \right\}, & A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) > 0, B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) < 0 \\ \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2 [3]_q^{2k}}, & A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \leq 0, B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \leq 0 \\ \max \{H(\varepsilon_0), H(2)\}, & A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) < 0, B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) > 0 \end{cases},$$

where

$$H(2) = \frac{16(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4 [2]_q^{4k}} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k [4]_q^k},$$

$$H\left(\varepsilon_0 = \sqrt{\frac{-B(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}{A(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}}\right) = \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2 [3]_q^{2k}} - \frac{B^2(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}{4A(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}$$

$$A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) = \frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4 [2]_q^{4k}} - \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{4(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)[2]_q^{2k} [3]_q^k} - \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k [4]_q^k} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4(1+2\lambda)^2 [3]_q^{2k}}$$

$$B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) = \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)[2]_q^{2k} [3]_q^k} + \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k [4]_q^k} - \frac{2(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2 [3]_q^{2k}}.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{F}\Sigma_q^k(\beta, \lambda)$ . There are two functions  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.1 such that

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathfrak{D}_q^k f(z)}{z} + \lambda(\mathfrak{D}_q^k f(z))' = \beta + (1-\beta)\phi(z), \tag{8}$$

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathfrak{D}_q^k g(w)}{w} + \lambda(\mathfrak{D}_q^k g(w))' = \beta + (1-\beta)\psi(z), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\phi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots,$$

$$\psi(w) = 1 + d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + d_3 w^3 + \dots$$

Now, by comparing the corresponding coefficients in Eq. (8) and Eq. (9), we get

$$(1 + \lambda)[2]_q^k a_2 = (1 - \beta)c_1, \quad (10)$$

$$(1 + 2\lambda)[3]_q^k a_3 = (1 - \beta)c_2, \quad (11)$$

$$(1 + 3\lambda)[4]_q^k a_4 = (1 - \beta)c_3 \quad (12)$$

and

$$-(1 + \lambda)[2]_q^k a_2 = (1 - \beta)d_1, \quad (13)$$

$$(1 + 2\lambda)[3]_q^k (2a_2^2 - a_3) = (1 - \beta)d_2, \quad (14)$$

$$-(1 + 3\lambda)[4]_q^k (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) = (1 - \beta)d_3. \quad (15)$$

From Eq. (10) and Eq. (13), we get

$$a_2 = \frac{1-\beta}{(1+\lambda)[2]_q^k} c_1 = -\frac{1-\beta}{(1+\lambda)[2]_q^k} d_1, \quad (16)$$

which implies

$$c_1 = -d_1.$$

Now from Eq. (11) and Eq. (14), we obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)^2 [2]_q^{2k}} c_1^2 + \frac{(1-\beta)}{2(1+2\lambda)[3]_q^k} (c_2 - d_2).$$

On the other hand, subtracting Eq. (15) from Eq. (12) and using Eq. (16), we get

$$a_4 = \frac{5(1-\beta)^2}{4(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)[2]_q^k [3]_q^k} c_1 (c_2 - d_2) + \frac{(1-\beta)}{2(1+3\lambda)[4]_q^k} (c_3 - d_3).$$

Thus, we establish that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| = & \left| \begin{aligned} & -\frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4 [2]_q^{4k}} c_1^4 \\ & + \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{4(1+\lambda)^2 (1+2\lambda)[2]_q^{2k} [3]_q^k} c_1^2 (c_2 - d_2) \\ & + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k [4]_q^k} c_1 (c_3 - d_3) - \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4(1+2\lambda)[3]_q^{2k}} (c_2 - d_2)^2 \end{aligned} \right|. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 3.2, we get

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2) \quad \text{and} \quad 2d_2 = d_1^2 + y(4 - d_1^2), \quad (18)$$

and hence, by Eq. (18), we have

$$c_2 - d_2 = \frac{4-c_1^2}{2}(x - y). \tag{19}$$

Further, we get

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z,$$

$$4d_3 = d_1^3 + 2(4 - d_1^2)d_1y - d_1(4 - d_1^2)y^2 + 2(4 - d_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)w$$

and thus, we acquire

$$c_3 - d_3 = \frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{c_1(4-c_1^2)}{2}(x + y) - \frac{c_1(4-c_1^2)}{4}(x^2 + y^2) \tag{20}$$

$$+ \frac{4 - c_1^2}{2} [(1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w].$$

Using Eq. (19) – Eq. (20) in Eq. (17), we get

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| =$$

$$\left| \frac{-(1 - \beta)^4}{(1 + \lambda)^4 [2]_q^{4k}} c_1^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{4(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} c_1^4 \right.$$

$$+ \frac{(1 - \beta)^3}{4(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + 2\lambda) [2]_q^{2k} [3]_q^k} \frac{c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)}{2} (x - y)$$

$$+ \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} \frac{c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)}{2} (x + y)$$

$$- \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} \frac{c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)}{4} (x^2 + y^2)$$

$$= + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{2} [(1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w]$$

$$\left. - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{4(1 + 2\lambda)^2 [3]_q^{2k}} \frac{(4 - c_1^2)^2}{4} (x - y)^2 \right|.$$

Since  $c \in \mathcal{P}$ , we find that  $|c_1| \leq 2$ . Thus, letting  $|c_1| = \varepsilon \in [0, 2]$  and applying triangle inequality on Eq. (21), we get

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)^4}{(1 + \lambda)^4 [2]_q^{4k}} \varepsilon^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{4(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} \varepsilon^4$$

$$+ \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} \varepsilon(4 - \varepsilon^2)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{(1 - \beta)^3}{4(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + 2\lambda) [2]_q^{2k} [3]_q^k} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^2(4 - \varepsilon^2)}{2} (|x| + |y|)$$

$$+ \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + 3\lambda) [2]_q^k [4]_q^k} \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 2)(4 - \varepsilon^2)}{4} (|x|^2 + |y|^2)$$

$$-\frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} \frac{(4-\varepsilon^2)^2}{4} (|x| + |y|)^2. \quad (21)$$

For  $\delta = |x| \leq 1$  and  $\vartheta = |y| \leq 1$ , we get

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq C_1 + C_2(\delta + \vartheta) + C_3(\delta^2 + \vartheta^2) \quad (22)$$

$$C_4(\delta + \vartheta)^2 = \Psi(\delta, \vartheta),$$

where

$$C_1 = C_1(\varepsilon) = \frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4[2]_q^{4k}} \varepsilon^4 + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \varepsilon^4$$

$$+ \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \varepsilon(4-\varepsilon^2) \geq 0,$$

$$C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon) = \left( \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{4(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)[2]_q^{2k}[3]_q^k} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^2(4-\varepsilon^2)}{2} \geq 0,$$

$$C_3 = C_3(\varepsilon) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon-2)(4-\varepsilon^2)}{4} \leq 0,$$

$$C_4 = C_4(\varepsilon) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} \frac{(4-\varepsilon^2)^2}{4} \geq 0.$$

Next, we will find the maximum of  $(\Psi(\delta, \vartheta))$  in  $\Gamma = \{(\delta, \vartheta): 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1\}$ . Since the coefficients of  $\Psi(\delta, \vartheta)$  have dependent variable  $\varepsilon$ , we should maximize  $\Psi(\delta, \vartheta)$  for the cases  $\varepsilon = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon = 2$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$ .

1. Let  $\varepsilon = 0$ . Thus, from (22), we may write

$$\Psi(\delta, \vartheta) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} (\delta + \vartheta)^2.$$

2. We can find that the maximum of  $\Psi(\delta, \vartheta)$  occurs at  $\delta = \vartheta = 1$  and we find

$$\max \{ \Psi(\delta, \vartheta): 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} = \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}}.$$

3. Let  $\varepsilon = 2$ . Thus,  $\Psi(\delta, \vartheta)$  is a constant function

$$\Psi(\delta, \vartheta) = \frac{16(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4[2]_q^{4k}} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k}.$$

4. Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$ . If we change  $\delta + \vartheta = \zeta$  and  $\delta \cdot \vartheta = \eta$ , then

$$\Psi(\delta, \vartheta) = C_1(\varepsilon) + C_2(\varepsilon)\zeta + [C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)]\zeta^2 - 2C_3(\varepsilon)\eta$$

$$= \mathcal{G}(\zeta, \eta), \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq 2, 0 \leq \eta \leq 1.$$



Presently, we try to get maximum of  $\mathcal{G}(\zeta, \eta)$  in

$$= \{(\zeta, \eta): 0 \leq \zeta \leq 2, 0 \leq \eta \leq 1\}.$$

From the definition of  $\mathcal{G}(\zeta, \eta)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}'_{\zeta}(\zeta, \eta) &= C_2(\varepsilon) + 2[C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)]\zeta = 0, \\ \mathcal{G}'_{\eta}(\zeta, \eta) &= -2C_3(\varepsilon) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that the function doesn't have any critical point in . Thus,  $\Psi(\delta, \vartheta)$  doesn't have any critical point in square  $\Gamma$  and so the function doesn't get maximum value in  $\Gamma$ .

Next, we inspect the maximum of  $\Psi(\delta, \vartheta)$  on the boundary of  $\Gamma$ . Firstly, let  $\delta = 0, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$  (or let  $\vartheta = 0, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ). Then, we may write

$$\Psi(0, \vartheta) = C_1(\varepsilon) + C_2(\varepsilon)\vartheta + [C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)]\vartheta^2 = \varphi_1(\vartheta).$$

Thus,

$$\varphi'_1(\vartheta) = C_2(\varepsilon) + 2[C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)]\vartheta.$$

**Case (i):** If  $C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ , then  $\varphi'_1(\vartheta) > 0$ . The function is increasing and so the maximum occurs at  $\vartheta = 1$ .

**Case (ii):** Let  $C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon) < 0$ . Since  $C_2(\varepsilon) + 2[C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)] > 0$ ,  $C_2(\varepsilon) + 2[C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)]\vartheta \geq C_2(\varepsilon) + 2[C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)]$  holds for all  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ . So,  $\varphi'_1(\vartheta) > 0$ . Hence,  $\varphi_1(\vartheta)$  is an increasing function. Thus, the maximum occurs at  $\vartheta = 1$ ,

$$\max \{ \Psi(0, \vartheta): 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} = C_1(\varepsilon) + C_2(\varepsilon) + C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon).$$

Secondly, let  $\delta = 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$  (similarly,  $\vartheta = 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(1, \vartheta) &= C_1(\varepsilon) + C_2(\varepsilon) + C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon) \\ &+ [C_2(\varepsilon) + 2C_4(\varepsilon)]\vartheta + [C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon)]\vartheta^2 \\ &= \varphi_2(\vartheta). \end{aligned}$$

It can be stated that  $\varphi_2(\vartheta)$  is an increasing function like case (i). In that way,

$$\max \{ \Psi(1, \vartheta): 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} = C_1(\varepsilon) + 2[C_2(\varepsilon) + C_3(\varepsilon)] + 4C_4(\varepsilon).$$

Also, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$ , we can easily see that

$$C_1(\varepsilon) + 2[C_2(\varepsilon) + C_3(\varepsilon)] + 4C_4(\varepsilon) > C_1(\varepsilon) + C_2(\varepsilon) + C_3(\varepsilon) + C_4(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\max \{ \Psi(\delta, \vartheta): 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} = C_1(\varepsilon) + 2[C_2(\varepsilon) + C_3(\varepsilon)] + 4C_4(\varepsilon).$$

Since  $\varphi_1(1) \leq \varphi_2(1)$  for  $\varepsilon \in [0,2]$ ,  $\max \Psi(\delta, \vartheta) = \Psi(1,1)$  on the boundary of  $\Gamma$ . So, the maximum of  $\Psi$  occurs at  $\delta = 1$  and  $\vartheta = 1$  in the  $\Gamma$ .

Let us define  $\mathcal{H}: (0,2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\varepsilon) &= \max \Psi(\delta, \vartheta) = \Psi(1,1) \\ &= 2[C_2(\varepsilon) + C_3(\varepsilon)] + C_1(\varepsilon) + 4C_4(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Therefore, from Eq. (23), we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon) = \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} + A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \varepsilon^4 + 2B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \varepsilon^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) &= \frac{(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4[2]_q^{4k}} - \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{4(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)[2]_q^{2k}[3]_q^k} \\ &\quad - \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} \\ B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) &= \frac{(1-\beta)^3}{(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)[2]_q^{2k}[3]_q^k} + \frac{3(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \\ &\quad - \frac{2(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we try to get the maximum value of  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$  in  $(0,2)$ . After some basic calculations, we have

$$\mathcal{H}'(\varepsilon) = 4A(\beta, \lambda, k, q)\varepsilon^3 + 2B(\beta, \lambda, k, q)\varepsilon.$$

Next, we examine the different cases of  $A(\beta, \lambda, k, q)$  and  $B(\beta, \lambda, k, q)$  as follows:

**Case 1:** Let  $A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \geq 0$  and  $B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \geq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{H}'(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ . Hence, the maximum point has to be on the boundary of  $\varepsilon \in [0,2]$ , that is  $\varepsilon = 2$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{ \Psi(\delta, \vartheta) : 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} \\ &= \mathcal{H}(2) \\ &= \frac{16(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4[2]_q^{4k}} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

**Case 2:** If  $A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) > 0$  and  $B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) < 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 = \sqrt{\frac{-B(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}{2A(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}}$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}''(\varepsilon_0) < 0$ , the maximum value of function  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$  occurs at  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\varepsilon_0) &= \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} + A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \varepsilon_0^4 + 2B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \varepsilon_0^2 \\ &= \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} - \frac{3B^2(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}{4A(\beta, \lambda, k, q)}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case,  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon_0) < \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{ \Psi(\delta, \vartheta) : 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}}, \frac{16(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4[2]_q^{4k}} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

**Case 3:** If  $A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \leq 0$  and  $B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) \leq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$  is decreasing in  $(0, 2)$ . Therefore,

$$\max \{ \Psi(\delta, \vartheta) : 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} = \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} \tag{26}$$

**Case 4:** If  $A(\beta, \lambda, k, q) < 0$  and  $B(\beta, \lambda, k, q) > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}''(\varepsilon_0) < 0$ , the maximum value of  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$  occurs at  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$  and

$$\frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+2\lambda)^2[3]_q^{2k}} < \mathcal{H}(\varepsilon_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{ \Psi(\delta, \vartheta) : 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1 \} \\ &= \max \left\{ \mathcal{H}(\varepsilon_0), \frac{16(1-\beta)^4}{(1+\lambda)^4[2]_q^{4k}} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)(1+3\lambda)[2]_q^k[4]_q^k} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Thus, from Eqs. (24-26) and Eq. (27), the proof is completed.

**Remark 3.5.** For  $\lambda = 0$  (and  $\lambda = 1$ ) in Theorem 3.4, we can confirm the Hankel inequalities for the function classes  $\mathcal{R}\Sigma_q^k(\phi)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}\Sigma_q^k(\phi)$ , respectively.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors are grateful to the referees of this article for their valuable comments and advice.

## References

- [1] Jackson, F.H., *On  $Q$ -Functions and a Certain Difference Operator*, Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **46**, pp. 253-281, 1908.
- [2] Govindaraj, M. & Sivasubramanian, S., *On a Class of Analytic Functions Related to Conic Domains Involving  $Q$ -Calculus*, Analysis Math., **43**(3), pp. 475-487, 2017.
- [3] Sălăgean, G.S., *Subclasses of Univalent Functions*, Complex Analysis – Fifth Romanian Finish Seminar, Bucharest, pp. 362-372, 1983.
- [4] Noonan, J.W. & Thomas, D.K., *On the Second Hankel Determinant of A Really Mean  $P$ -Valent Functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **223**(2), pp. 337-346, 1976.
- [5] Fekete, M. & Szegő, G., *Eine Bemerkung über Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **2**, pp. 85-89, 1933. (Text in Germany)
- [6] Keogh, F.R. & Merkes, E.P., *A Coefficient Inequality for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **20**, pp. 8-12, 1969.
- [7] Duren, P.L., *Univalent Functions*, in: Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **259**, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [8] Brannan, D.A., Clunie, J. & Kirwan, W.E., *Coefficient Estimates for A Class of Starlike Functions*, Canad. J. Math., **22**, pp. 476-485, 1970.
- [9] Brannan, D.A. & Taha, T.S., *On Some Classes of Bi-Univalent Functions*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **31**(2), pp. 70-77, 1986.
- [10] Frasin, B.A. & Aouf, M.K., *New Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24**, pp. 1569-1573, 2011.
- [11] Hayami, T. & Owa, S., *Coefficient Bounds for Bi-Univalent Functions*, Pan Amer. Math. J., **22**(4), pp. 15-26, 2012.
- [12] Lewin, M., *On a Coefficient Problem for Bi-Univalent Functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18**, pp. 63-68, 1967.
- [13] Li, X-F. & Wang, A-P., *Two New Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions*, International Mathematical Forum, **7**(30), pp. 1495-1504, 2012.
- [14] Panigarhi, T. & Murugusundaramoorthy, G., *Coefficient Bounds for Bi-Univalent Functions Analytic Functions Associated with Hohlov Operator*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **16**(1), pp. 91-100, 2013.
- [15] Srivastava, H.M., Mishra, A.K. & Gochhayat, P., *Certain Subclasses of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23**(10), pp. 1188-1192, 2010.
- [16] Xu, Q-H., Srivastava, H.M., & Li, Z., *A Certain Subclass of Analytic and Closed-To Convex Functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24**, pp. 396-401, 2011.
- [17] Xu, Q-H., Gui, Y-C. & Srivastava, H.M., *Coefficient Estimates for A Certain Subclass of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **25**, pp. 990-994, 2012.

- [18] Zaprawa, P. *On the Fekete-Szegő Problem for Classes of Bi-Univalent Functions*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **21**(1), pp. 169-178, 2014.
- [19] Altınkaya, Ş. & Yalçın, S., *Upper Bounds of Second Hankel Determinant for Bi-Bazilevic Functions*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics (MJOM), **13**(6), pp. 4081-4090, 2016.
- [20] Libera, R.J. & Zlotkiewicz, E.J., *Coefficient Bounds for the Inverse of A Function with Derivative in  $\mathcal{P}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **87**(2), pp. 251-257, 1983.