PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

On intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideals of INK-algebras

To cite this article: M Kaviyarasu and K Indhira 2017 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 263 042142

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- --weakly generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces R. Krishna Moorthy, S. Meena priyadarshini, J. Rajasingh et al.
- <u>Hesitant intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets</u> Admi Nazra, Syafruddin, Riri Lestari et al.
- <u>A study on generalized hesitant</u> intuitionistic Fuzzy soft sets A Nazra, Syafruddin, G C Wicaksono et al.

On intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideals of INK-algebras

M Kaviyarasu and K Indhira

Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University, Vellore 632 014, India

E-mail: kindhira@vit.ac.in

Abstract. In this paper, first we define the notions of INK-algebra, INK-ideals, fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy closed INK-ideals. Using the concept of level subsets, we prove some theorems which show that there are some relationships between these notions. Finally we define the homomorphism of INK-algebras and then we give related theorem about the relationship between their images and intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideals.

1. Introduction

The fuzzy set cerebration was introduced L.A Zadeh in 1965 [15]. After some authors an extension of the absicht of the fuzzy set, then the concept of fuzzy set has been development rapid. In Imai and Iseki introduced two logical algebras from the abstract algebras namely BCI/BCK-algebras [8] and [9]. In this paper, we establish the concept of INK-algebraic structure which is generalization of TM/Q/BCK/BCI algebras, and also we dispute of intuitionistic Fuzzy INK-ideal in INK-algebras and studied some definitions, properties, theorems and give some examples.

2. INK-algebras

Let us start this section with some known basic definitions of INK-algebra, intuitionistic fuzzy set on INK-algebras from [21] and [22], which we are used frequently in our next section.

Definition 2.1.Let * be a binary operation on A with constant 0, Then a nonempty set (X, *, 0) is called a INK- algebra, if

(INK-I)
$$x *x = 0,$$

(INK-I I) $x *0 = x, \forall x \in X.$
(INK-I I I)0 * $x = x,$
(INK-I V) $(y*x)*(y*z) = (x*z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X.$

Remark 2.2.A binary relation \leq by $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0.

Definition 2.3.Let A be a INK- algebra and S be a subset of A. Then S is denoted by ideal of A. if

```
ID-1) 0 \in S,
ID-2) a * b \in S and b \in S \implies a \in S, \forall a,b \in A.
```

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

14th ICSET-2017 IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 263 (2017) 042142 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/263/4/042142

Definition 2.4. An ideal B of a INK-algebra A is said to be closed if $0 * a \in A$, $\forall a \in A$.

Definition 2.5. A fuzzy (sub) set β of the set A (nonempty set) is a function β : $A \rightarrow [0,1]$ and the complement of β is denoted by $\beta^c(a) = 1 - \beta(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.6. A fuzzy (sub) set β of the set A (nonempty set) is called a fuzzy sub algebra of INK-algebras A if,

$$\beta(a * b) \ge \min \{\beta(a), \beta(b)\} \forall a, b \in A$$
.

Definition 2.7. A fuzzy (sub)set β in a INK-algebra X is called a fuzzy ideal of A, if

FID-1)
$$\beta$$
 (0) \geq β (a),
FID-2) β (a) \geq min{ β (a* b), β (b)}, \forall a ,b \in A .

Definition 2.8. An IFS B in a (nonempty) set A is an object having the form $P_{A}(A, B, A) = P_{A}(A, B, A) + P_{A}(A, B)$

$$B = \{(A, \beta_B(a), \delta_B(b)) / a \in A\},\$$

where the function β_B : $A \to [0,1]$ and δ_B : $A \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of membership $(\beta_B(a))$ and non-membership $(\delta_B(a))$, \forall $a \in A$ to the set A respectively, and $0 \le \beta_B(a) + \delta_B(a) \le 1$, \forall $a \in A$. so written as $B = (A, \beta_B, \delta_B)$ for the intuitionistic fuzzy set $B = \{(A, \beta_B(a), \delta_B(a)) / a \in A \}$.

Definition 2.9. Let B = $(A, \beta_{B,B})$ be an IFS in A. Then B = $(A, \beta_{B,\bar{\beta}_B})$ and B= $(A, \delta_{B,\bar{\delta}_B})$.

Definition 2.10. An IFS B = $(A, \beta_{B,B})$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy sub algebra of A if it satisfies,

```
IFS-I) \beta_B(a^*b) \ge \min \{ \beta_B(a), \beta_B(b) \},
IFS-II) \delta_B(a^*b) \le \max \{ \delta_A(a), \delta_A(b) \}, \forall a,b \in A.
```

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideal

We start with the definition of INK-ideal from [22].

Definition 3.1. Let S be a nonempty (sub)set of a INK -algebra X is called INK-ideal of A, if

```
INK-1) 0 \in S,
INK-2) (c * a) * (c * b) \in S and c \in S \Rightarrow x \in I, \forall a, b, c \in A.
```

Definition 3.2. A fuzzy subset β in a INK-algebra X is called a fuzzy INK- ideal of X, if

```
FINK-a) \beta(0) \ge \beta(a),
FINK-b) \beta(x) \ge \min\{\beta(c*a)*(c*b), \beta(b)\}, \forall a,b,c \in A.
```

Definition 3.3. An IFS $B = (A, \beta_B, \delta_B)$ in a INK-algebra X (nonempty) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideal of A, if

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{IFINK-I)} & \beta_B \, (\, 0 \, ) \geq \beta_B \, (\, a \, ) \, \text{and} \, \delta_B (\, 0 \, ) \leq \delta \, (\, a \, ) \, , \\ \text{IFINK-II)} & \beta_B \, (\, x \, ) \geq \min \{ \, \beta_B \, (\, c \, ^* a \, ) \, ^* \, (\, c \, ^* b \, ) \, , \beta_B \, (\, b \, ) \, \} , \\ \text{IFINK-III)} & \delta_B (\, x \, ) \leq \max \{ \delta_B \, (\, c \, ^* a \, ) \, ^* \, (\, c \, ^* b \, ) \, , \delta_B \, (\, b \, ) \, \} \, \, \forall \, \, a \, , b \, , c \, \in A \, . \end{array}
```

Example 3.4 .Let $B = \{0, 1, m, n\}$ with binary operation * given by

*	0	1	m	n
0	0	1	m	n
1	1	0	n	m
m	m	n	0	1
n	n	m	1	0

Take IFS B =(A , β_B , δ_B) in A as by β_B (0) = 0.6 , β_B (1) = 0.4, β_B (m) = β_B (n) = 0.3 and δ_B (0) = 0.3, δ_B (1) = δ_B (m) = 0.5, δ_B (n) = 0.4. Then it is easy to verify that B= (A, $\beta_{B,B}$) is anintuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideal of A .

Definition 3.5.An IFS B = (A, β_B, δ_B) in a INK-algebra A is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed INK-ideal of A, if

$$\begin{split} & \text{IFCINK-I} \,) \, \beta_B \,(\, 0 \, * \, a) \, \geq \beta_B \,(\, a \,) \, \text{and} \, \, \delta_B (\, 0 \, * \, a \,) \, \leq \, \delta_B \,(\, a \,) \,, \\ & \text{IFCINK-II} \,\beta_B (\, a \,) \geq \min \{ \, \beta_B \,(c^*a)^*(c^*b) \,, \, \beta_B \,(\, b \,) \, \}, \\ & \text{IFCINK-III} & \delta_B \,(\, a \,) \leq \max \{ \delta_B \,(c^*a)^*(c^*b) , \, \delta_B \,(\, b \,) \, \}, \, \forall \, \, a \,, b \,, c \, \in A \,. \end{split}$$

Definition 3.6. Let B be a IFS in a nonempty set A . The upper s-level of β_B is

$$U(\beta_B; s) = \{ a \in A / \beta_B(a) \ge s \}$$

and the lower t-level of $\delta_{\rm B}$ is

$$L(\delta_B; t) = \{ a \in A / \delta_B(a) \le t \}.$$

Theorem 3.7.Let B be a(nonempty) subset and IFINK-ideal of a INK-algebra B. Then so is B = (A, $\beta_B, \bar{\beta}_B$) and B = (A, $\delta_B, \bar{\delta}_B$).

Proof.We know that, $\beta_B(0) \ge \beta_B(a)$. This implies that $1-\overline{\beta}_B(0) \ge 1-\overline{\beta}_B(a)$ and hence

$$\overline{\beta}_{B}(0) \leq \overline{\beta}_{B}(a)$$
 for every $a \in A$.

Let us consider $\forall a, b, c \in A$. Then

$$\beta_{B}(a) \geq \min\{\beta_{B}(c^*a)^*(c^*b), \beta_{B}(b)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-\overline{\beta}_{A}(a) \geq \min\{1-(\overline{\beta}_{A}(c^*a)^*(c^*b)), 1-\overline{\beta}_{A}(b)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\beta}_{B}(a) \leq 1-\min\{(\overline{\beta}_{B}(c^*a)^*(c^*b)), \overline{\beta}_{B}(b)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\beta}_{B}(a) \leq \max\{(\overline{\beta}_{B}(c^*a)^*(c^*b)), \overline{\beta}_{B}(b)\}.$$

Hence B =(A, $\beta_B \bar{\beta}_B$) is an intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideal of A. To prove the second part, letBbe an intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideal of a INK-algebra A.Now, we have

$$\begin{split} & \delta_{B}(0) \leq \delta_{B} (a) \\ & \Rightarrow 1 - \bar{\delta}_{B}(0) \leq 1 - \delta_{B}(a) \\ & \Rightarrow \bar{\delta}_{B}(0) \geq \bar{\delta}_{B}(x), \, \forall \, a \in A \end{split}$$

Now consider, \forall a, b, c \in A. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{B} (\ a\) & \leq \max \{ \delta_{B} (\ c\ ^{*}\ a\)^{*} (c\ ^{*}\ b)\ ,\ \delta_{B} (\ b\)\ \} \\ & \Rightarrow 1 \text{-} \bar{\delta}_{B} (\ x\) \leq \max \{\ 1 \text{-} (\overline{\delta}_{\ B} (\ c\ ^{*}\ a\)^{*} (c\ ^{*}\ b))\ ,\ 1 \text{-} \bar{\delta}_{B} (\ b\)\ \} \\ & \Rightarrow \bar{\delta}_{B} (\ x\) \geq 1 \text{-} \max \{\ (\bar{\delta}_{B} (\ c\ ^{*}\ a\)^{*} (c\ ^{*}\ b)),\ \bar{\delta}_{B} (\ b\)\ \} \\ & \Rightarrow \bar{\delta}_{B} (\ x\) \geq \min \{\ (\bar{\delta}_{B} (\ c\ ^{*}\ a\)^{*} (c\ ^{*}\ b)),\ \bar{\delta}_{B} (\ b\)\ \}. \end{split}$$

Hence B=(A, δ_B , $\overline{\delta}_B$) is an intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideal of A.

Theorem. 3.8.LetB (non-empty set) be an IFCINK-ideal of a INK-algebra A. Then B = (A, δ_B , $\bar{\delta}_B$) and B= (x, β_B , $\bar{\beta}_B$) is also a closed IFCINK-ideal.

Proof. First we prove $B = (A, \delta_B, \overline{\delta}_B)$ is closed IFCINK-ideal. For all $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \delta_B(\ 0^*\ a\) \ \leq \ \delta_B\ (\ a\) \\ \Rightarrow 1 \text{-} \bar{\delta}_B(0^*\ a) \leq 1 \text{-} \ \bar{\delta}_B(a) \\ \Rightarrow \bar{\delta}_B(0\ ^*\ a) \geq \bar{\delta}_B(a). \end{array}$$

Therefore B =(A, δ_B , $\bar{\delta}_B$) is an IFCINK-ideal of A. To prove the second part let a \in A,thenwe have β_B (0 * a) $\geq \beta_B$ (a)

$$\Rightarrow 1-\overline{\beta}_{B}(0*a)\geq 1-\overline{\beta}_{B}(a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\beta}_{B}(0 * a) \leq \overline{\beta}_{B}(a)$$
 for any $\forall a, b, c \in A$.

Hence B = $(A, \beta_B \overline{\beta}_B)$ is an intuitionistic closed K-ideal of A.

Theorem 3.9. Let B (nonempty set) be an IFINK-ideal of a INK-algebra A if and only if the non-empty upper s-level cut U (β_B ; s) and the non-empty lower t-level cut L (δ_B ; t) are INK-ideal of A , \forall s, t \in [0,1].

Proof.Suppose $B=(A,\beta_B,\lambda_B)$ be an IFINK-ideal of a INK-algebra A. For alls, $t \in [0,1]$,we define the set

$$U(\beta_B; s) = \{a \in A / \beta_B(a) \ge s\}$$

and

$$L(\delta_B; t) = \{ a \in A / \delta_B(a) \le t \}.$$

Because L $(\delta_B; t) \neq \phi$, $\forall a \in L (\delta_B; t) \Rightarrow \delta_B(a) \leq t \Rightarrow \delta_B(0) \leq t \Rightarrow 0 \in L (\delta_B; t)$. Let $(c^* a)^*(c^* b) \in L (\delta_B; t)$ and $b \in L (\delta_B; t)$ then $\delta_B((c^* a)^*(c^* b)) \leq t$. Since for all $a, b, c \in A$,

$$\delta_{B}(a) \le \max\{\delta_{B}((c * a) *(c * b)), \delta_{B}(b)\} \le \max\{t, t\} = t \Rightarrow \delta_{B}(a) \le t.$$

Therefore a \in L (δ_B ; t) and hence L (δ_B ; t) is an INK-ideal of A.Similarly, we can prove U (β_B ; s) is an INK-ideal of A .

Conversely, suppose $U(\beta_B;s)$ and $L(\delta_B;t)$ are INK-ideal of A. $\forall s$, $t \in [0,1]$. Let assume that $a_0,b_0 \in A$ such that $\beta_B(0) < \beta_B(a_0)$ and $\delta_B(0) > \delta_A(b_0)$. Put

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\beta_B(0) + \beta_B(a_0)] \Rightarrow s_0 < \beta_B(a_0),$$

 $0 \le \beta_B(0) \le s_0 \le 1 \implies a_0 \in U(\beta_B; s_0).$

Since $U(\beta_B; s_0)$ is an INK-ideal of A, we have $0 \in U(\beta_B; s_0)$ implies that $\beta_B(0) \ge s_0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\beta_B(0) \ge \beta_B(a)$, $\forall a \in A$. By taking

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\delta_B(0) + \delta_B(b_0)]$$

we can show that

$$\delta_{B}(0) \leq \delta_{B}(b), \forall b \in A.$$

Assumethat $a_0, b_0, c_0 \in A$.such that $\beta_B(a_0) \le \min\{\beta_B(c_0 * a_0) * (c_0 * b_0), \beta_B(b_0)\},\$

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\beta_B (a_0) + \min \{ \beta_B (c_0 * a_0) * (c_0 * b_0), \beta_B (b_0) \}]$$

Put $s_0 > \beta_B(a_0)$ and $s_0 < \min \{ \beta_B(c_0 * a_0) * (c_0 * b_0), \beta_B(b_0) \}$

$$\Rightarrow s_0 > \beta_B(a_0), s < \beta_B(c_0^* a_0)^* (c_0^* b_0) \text{ and } s_0 < \beta_B(b_0)$$

\Rightarrow a_0 \neq U(\beta_B; s_0), (c_0^* a_0)^* (c_0^* b_0) \in U(\beta_B; s_0) \text{ and } b_0 \in U(\beta_B; s_0),

which is a contradiction to INK-ideal $U(\beta_B; s_0)$. Therefore,

$$\beta_B(a) \ge \min\{\beta_B(c^*a)^*(c^*b), \beta_B(b)\}, \forall a,b,c \in A.$$

Similarly ,we can prove , $\delta_B(a) \le \max\{\delta_B(c^*a)^*(c^*b), \delta_B(b)\}$, $\forall a,b,c \in A$. Hence $B = (A,\beta_B,\delta_B)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy INK-ideal of an INK-algebra A.

Theorem3.10.Let $B = (A, \beta_B, \delta_B)$ be an IFCINK-ideal of a INK-algebra A if and only if the non-empty upper s-level cut $U(\beta_B; s)$ and the non-empty Lower t-level cut $U(\delta_B; t)$ are closed INK-ideal of A, $\forall s$, $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof.IfB = (A, β_B , δ_B) be an IFCINK-ideal of a INK-algebra A.We have β_B (0*a) $\geq \beta_B$ (a) and δ_B (0*a) $\leq \delta_B$ (a) for all a \in A.For a \in U(β_B ; s) \Rightarrow a \in A. and β_B (a) \geq s $\Rightarrow \beta_B$ (0* a) \geq s \Rightarrow 0* a \in U(β_B ; s) and a \in L(δ_B ; t) \Rightarrow a \in A and δ_B (a) \leq t $\Rightarrow \delta_B$ (0* a) \leq t \Rightarrow 0* a \in L(δ_B ; t).Therefore U (β_B ; s) and L(δ_B ; t) are closed INK-ideal of A. Conversely, we show that β_B (0 * a) $\geq \beta_B$ (a) and δ_B (0 * a) $\leq \delta_B$ (a),for all a \in A .If possible, assume a₀ \in A. such that β_B (0 * a₀) < β_B (a₀) then we take

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\beta_B (0*a_0) + \beta_B (a_0)],$$

 $\beta_B (0*a_0) < s_0 < \beta_B(a_0)$

 $\Rightarrow a_0 \in (\beta_B; s_0)$

but 0^* $a_0 \notin U$ (β_B ; s_0),which is contradiction to closed INK-ideal. Hence $\beta_B(0^*$ a) $\geq \beta_B$ (a), for every $a \in A$. Similarly we can prove that, $_B(0^*$ a) $\leq \delta_B$ (a), \forall $a \in A$.

Definition 3.11.A mapping ψ from A to B of INK-algebra is called a homomorphism

$$\psi (a*b) = \psi(a)* \psi(b), \forall a,b,c \in A$$
.

Note that $\psi: A \to B$ is a homomorphism of INK-algebra then ψ (0) = 0.Let $\psi: A \to B$ is a homomorphism of INK-algebra for any IFS $B = (\beta_B, \delta_B)$ in B, we define a new intuitionistic fuzzy set $B^{\psi} = (\beta_B^{\psi}, \delta_B^{\psi})$ in A by IFS $\beta_B^{\psi}(a) = \beta_A(\psi(a))$, $\delta_B^{\psi}(a) = \delta_B(\psi(a))$, for all $a \in A$.

Proof. First we prove that,

$$\begin{array}{l} \beta_{B}{}^{\psi}(\ a)=\beta_{B}(\psi\ (a)\)\leq \ \beta_{B}(0)=\beta_{B}(\psi\ (0)\)=\ \beta_{B}{}^{\psi}(\ 0)\\ \mathcal{S}_{B}{}^{\psi}(\ a)=\delta_{B}\ (\psi\ (x))\ \geq\ \delta_{B}(0)=\delta_{B}\ (\psi\ (0)\)=\ \mathcal{S}_{B}{}^{\psi}(0), \forall\ a,b,\ c\in A\ . \end{array}$$

Consider

$$\min\{\beta_{B}^{\psi}((c * a) * (c * b)), \beta_{B}^{\psi}(b)\} = \min\{\beta_{B}((\psi(c)*\psi(a))* (\psi(c)*\psi(b)), \beta_{B}\psi(b)\}$$

$$= \min\{\beta_{B}((\psi(c * a))*\psi(a * b)), \beta_{B}(\psi(b))\}$$

```
 = \min \left\{ \beta_{B} \left( \psi \left( (c * a) * (c * b) \right), \beta_{B} \left( \psi \left( b \right) \right) \right\} \\ = \min \left\{ \beta_{B} {}^{\psi} \left( (c * a) * (c * b) \right), \beta_{B} {}^{\psi} (b) \right\}. \\ \leq \beta_{B} (\psi (a)) = \beta_{B} {}^{\psi} (a). \\ \text{implies that } \min \left\{ \beta_{B} {}^{\psi} ((c * a) * (a * b)), \beta_{B} {}^{\psi} (b) \right\} = \beta_{B} {}^{\psi} (a) \text{ and } \\ \max \left\{ \delta_{B} {}^{\psi} ((c * a) * (c * b)), \delta_{B} {}^{\psi} (b) \right\} \\ = \max \left\{ \left\{ \left( (\psi (c) * \psi (a)) * \psi (c) * \psi (b) \right), \left( (\psi (b)) \right) \right\} \\ = \max \left\{ \delta_{A} \left( (\psi (c * a)) * \psi (c * b)), \delta_{A} (\psi (b)) \right\} \\ = \max \left\{ \delta_{A} \left( (\psi (c * a) * (c * b)), \delta_{A} (\psi (b)) \right\} \right\} \\ = \max \left\{ \left\{ \delta_{A} \left( (\psi (c * a) * (c * b)), \delta_{B} {}^{\psi} (b) \right) \right\} \\ \geq \left\{ \left\{ (c * a) * (c * b)), \delta_{B} {}^{\psi} (b) \right\} \right\} \\ \geq \left\{ \left\{ (c * a) * (c * b), \delta_{B} {}^{\psi} (b) \right\} \right\} \\ = \delta_{B} {}^{\psi} (a).
```

References

- [1] Sundus Najah Jabir 2017 International Journal of Mathematical Analysis 11 635-646
- [2] Radwan M Al and Omar Shadab Khan 2015 *Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics* 7 23-34
- [3] Osama Rashad El-Gendy 2016 Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 12 245-253
- [4] Najafi A and Borumand Saeid A 2014 *Cankaya University Journal of Science and Engineering* 11 19–28
- [5] Young Hee Kim and Keum Sook So 2013 *Journal of thechungcheong mathematicalsociety* **26**175-179
- [6] Samy M, Mostafa, Mostafa A Hassan 2015 Pureand Applied Mathematics Journal 4 225-232
- [7] Saleem Abdullah 2014 Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 7 661-668
- [8] Kiyoshi iseki and Shotaro T 1978 Math. Japonica 231-26
- [9] Kiyoshi iseki and Shotaro T 1976 Math. Japonica 21351-366
- [10] Atanassov K T 1986 Fuzzy sets and Systems **20** 87-96
- [11] Atanassov K T 1994 Fuzzy sets and Systems 61137-142
- [12] Jianming Zhan and Zhisong Tan 2003 Soochow Journal of Mathematics 29 290-293
- [13] Satyanarayana B and Durga Prasad R 2009 Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics 4 1-8
- [14] Satyanarayana B and Durga Prasad R 2009 *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **5** 125-138
- [15] Zadeh L A 1965 Information Control**8** 338-353
- [16] Megalai K and Tamilarasi A 2010 *IJCA*, Special Issue on Computer Aided soft Computing Techniques for imaging and Biomedical Application 1 17–23
- [17] Megalai K and Tamilarasi A 2010 *IJCA Special Issue on Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for Imaging and Biomedical Application* **1** 23-29
- [18] Neggers J,Ahn S S and Kim H S 2001*Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*27 749-757
- [19] Jun Y B, Hong S M, Kim S J and Song S Z 1999*J. Fuzzy Math.* 7 411–418
- [20] Liu Y and Meng J 2000 Soochow J. Math. 26 441-453
- [21] Hu O P and Li X 1983 Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 2 313-320
- [22] Tamilarasi A and Megalai K2011 European Journal of Scientific Research 54 215
- [23] Megalai K and Tamilarasi A 2011 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 260 328–335
- [24] Kaviyarasu M, IndhiraK and Chandrasekaran V M 2017 Int. J. Pure and Applied Mathematics 113 47-55