

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277667575>

# African Journal of Biotechnology Phenotypic evaluation of indigenous Brinjal types suitable for rainfed conditions of South India (Tamil Nadu)

Article in AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY · August 2013

DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.1750

CITATIONS

8

READS

83

2 authors:



Ramesh Kumar Selvan

vanavarayar institute of agriculture

26 PUBLICATIONS 188 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Arumugam Thangaiah

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University

43 PUBLICATIONS 345 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Bioecology and Management of gall midge in bitter gourd [View project](#)

Full Length Research Paper

## Phenotypic evaluation of indigenous Brinjal types suitable for rainfed conditions of South India (Tamil Nadu)

S. Ramesh Kumar<sup>1\*</sup> and T. Arumugam<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Manakkadavu, Pollachi-642 103, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Horticulture, Agricultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Madurai-625 104, Tamil Nadu, India.

Accepted 3 July, 2013

Research work was undertaken in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) local types to identify suitable varieties for cultivation with high yield and quality traits under Madurai (Southern India) condition. The study reveals that highly significant differences were observed for most of the traits. Mean performance showed that EP 27 (1.93 kg) registered the highest fruit yield per plant followed by EP 3 (1.83 kg). From the nutrient point of view, the genotype Keerikai recorded highest ascorbic acid content of 13.87 mg/100 g, followed by Kallampatty. Earliness was one of the important criteria under rainfed conditions which ranged from 75.00 (Keerikai Local) to 85.00 (EP 28). Hence, these genotypes could be better utilized for further breeding programme for the improvement of fruit yield.

**Keywords:** Mean performance, yield attributes, selection, eggplant.

### INTRODUCTION

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) is an important crop of India and it is grown in an area of 0.61 million ha with an estimated annual production of 13.37 million tonnes with a productivity of 17.3 tonnes per ha. In Tamil nadu, the production was 8.5 lakh tonnes from 0.75 lakh ha of area (Anon, 2010). Evaluation of genotypes for relative drought tolerance is a tough task, since it is difficult to predict the stage at which the moisture stress is encountered under rainfed conditions. Besides, the environmental factors also fluctuate from season to season. Field screening of genotypes for relative drought tolerance, although important and results are directly applicable, is not always feasible as the number of genotypes that could be handled would be limited (Bates., 2008). Thus, it is important to realise that the effect of moisture stress on growth and yield is not a direct one, but is manifested through its effect on various

physiological processes. Though brinjal in general, is considered to be the drought susceptible crop, genotypic differences in tolerance to moisture stress have been noticed (Chen et al., 2002).

Drought tolerance is a complex trait, expression of which depend on action and interaction of different morpho-logical (*viz.*, earliness, reduced leaf area, leaf rolling, efficient rooting system and stability of yield) and biochemical (*viz.*, accumulation of proline, betaine) parameters (Prabhavathi et al., 1998). The progress in developing crop cultivar for tolerance to abiotic stress particularly drought has been slow, because of lack of knowledge of the mechanism of tolerance, poor understanding of inheritance of tolerance, low heritability and lack of efficient techniques for screening germplasm. In view of the above, the present investigation was undertaken to identify the local brinjal genotypes suitable

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: rameshamar06@gmail.com.

**Table 1.** Details of the local types used in the present study.

Treatment	Name of the local types	Source
T <sub>1</sub>	Kariapatty Local	Kariapatty, Vir dhunagar D.t.
T <sub>2</sub>	Sedapatty Local (Ramakkai Blue)	Sedapatty, Madurai D.t
T <sub>3</sub>	Sedapatty Local (Ramakkai Green)	Sedapatty, Madurai D.t
T <sub>4</sub>	Alavayal Local	Alavayal, Madurai D.t
T <sub>5</sub>	Palamedu Local	Palamedu, Madurai D.t
T <sub>6</sub>	Melur Local	Melur, Madurai D.t
T <sub>7</sub>	Kallampatty Local	Kallampatty, Madurai D.t
T <sub>8</sub>	Alagarkovil Local	Alagarkovil, Madurai D.t
T <sub>9</sub>	Singampunari Local 1	Singampunari, Sivagangai D.t
T <sub>10</sub>	Singampunari Local 2	Singampunari, Sivagangai D.t
T <sub>11</sub>	Veerakkal Local (Sempatty Authur)	Sempatty, Dindigul D.t
T <sub>12</sub>	Keerikai	Sempatty, Dindigul D.t
T <sub>13</sub>	Nilakottai Local	Nilakottai, Dindigul D.t
T <sub>14</sub>	SM 1	Department of Horticulture, AC & RI, Madurai
T <sub>15</sub>	SM 2	Department of Horticulture, AC & RI, Madurai
T <sub>16</sub>	SM 3	Department of Horticulture, AC & RI, Madurai
T <sub>17</sub>	SM 4	Department of Horticulture, AC & RI, Madurai
T <sub>18</sub>	SM 5	Department of Horticulture, AC & RI, Madurai
T <sub>19</sub>	EP 3	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>20</sub>	EP 4	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>21</sub>	EP 5	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>22</sub>	EP 7	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>23</sub>	EP 9	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>24</sub>	EP 10	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>25</sub>	EP11	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>26</sub>	EP 17	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>27</sub>	EP 20	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>28</sub>	EP 21	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>29</sub>	EP 23	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>30</sub>	EP 27	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>31</sub>	EP 28	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>32</sub>	EP 29	Vegetable Research Station, Palur
T <sub>33</sub>	EP 30	Vegetable Research Station, Palur

for cultivation under drought/rainfed condition.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty three (33) indigenous brinjal genotypes (Table 1) were collected in and around the TamilNadu state (South India) and evaluated for ten characters in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) each in three replications at College Orchard, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai from March to June in 2009 which is situated at 9°5 latitude and 78°5 longitude and at an elevation of 147 m above mean sea level (MSL). The average temperature, relative humidity and light intensity recorded during the cropping period were 34.20°C, 62.60% and 34044.45, respectively. The seedlings of 35 days old were transplanted to the main field for screening under natural condition at spacing of 60 × 60 cm. Entries were planted in five rows of six seedlings per row in three replication. For each replication, 33 plots were there for evaluating the brinjal germplasm. Cultural practices were followed as per the package of practices of TNAU Crop Production Guide (2005).

## Data collected and statistical analysis

Observations were recorded from all the plants for plant height (cm), number of primary branches / plant, days to first flowering (days), internodal length (cm), fruit circumference (cm), fruit length (cm), number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), fruit yield per plant (kg) and ascorbic acid content (mg / 100 g). The data were analyzed by the methods outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) using the mean values at random plots in each replication from all genotypes to find out significance of genotype effect. Ascorbic acid content was estimated by volumetric method as suggested by AOAC (2001). Folin ciocalteau reagent method was followed for estimating the total phenols (Bray and Thrope, 1954).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The success of crop improvement lies in the selection of suitable parents. While evaluating the genotypes, high mean value is considered as the acceptable procedure

**Table 2.** Analysis of variance for yield and quality characters.

Source	df	Plant height	Number of primary branches per plant	Days to first flowering	Internodal length	Fruit length	Fruit circumference	Average fruit weight	Number of fruits per plant	Ascorbic acid content	Total phenols content	Fruit yield per plant
Replication	1	4.306	0.310	60.134	1.359	0.117	1.152	6.40	3.892	-0.0023	-0.129	0.026
Genotypes	32	305.19**	7.850**	13.404**	256.80**	4.128**	9.898**	782.90**	111.83**	4.486**	4014.2**	0.058**
Error	32	4.283	0.119	1.230	3.718	0.640	2.918	2.880	4.610	0.0004	0.0060	0.014

\*\*Significance at 1% level.

**Table 3.** Mean performance of local genotypes of brinjal for growth, yield and quality parameters.

Name of the local types	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches / plant	Days to first flowering (days)	Internodal length (cm)	Fruit circumference (cm)	Fruit length (cm)	Number of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Ascorbic acid content (mg / 100 g)
Kariapatty Local	145.35*	8.03	81.50*	5.53*	13.98	6.52	30.05*	29.86	0.90	11.54*
Sedapatty Local (Ramakkai Blue)	119.13	10.90*	81.50*	7.70*	14.19	6.90	22.38	43.76	0.98	10.24*
Sedapatty Local (Ramakkai Green)	124.12	10.10*	78.50*	10.34	13.71	6.55	27.87	43.65	1.22	10.84*
Alavayal Local	149.97*	7.94	78.50*	9.17*	14.95	6.61	17.88	74.35*	1.33	11.34*
Palamedu Local	146.65*	5.49	80.00*	9.72	18.61	6.71	25.94	58.26	1.51*	9.86*
Melur Local	122.50	8.06	78.50*	9.17*	15.03	7.09	30.48*	43.88	1.34	11.47*
Kallampatty Local	125.78	8.18	78.00*	7.86*	16.82	6.55	21.52	59.65*	1.28	12.58*
Alagarkovil Local	140.73*	7.59	77.00*	9.41*	14.55	6.80	32.38*	34.00	1.10	11.13*
Singampunari Local 1	120.61	6.53	77.00*	9.44*	14.00	6.02	21.63	38.11	0.82	11.88*
Singampunari Local 2	117.44	7.65	77.50*	10.71	13.95	5.77	26.20	32.31	0.85	10.96*
Veerakkal Local (Sempatty Authur)	112.56	7.73	82.00*	8.64*	10.39	9.98*	23.95	31.87	0.76	10.69*
Keerikai	133.50*	10.12*	75.00*	7.69*	14.41	7.68	24.79	57.36	1.42	13.47*
Nilakottai Local	125.73	9.53*	81.00*	6.65*	14.73	7.54	24.59	33.07	0.81	10.86*
SM 1	125.36	10.83*	77.00*	6.27*	13.62	7.19	20.60*	57.19	1.18	11.70*
SM 2	100.74	10.34*	76.50*	6.89*	14.80	6.88	30.13*	43.91	1.32	12.16*
SM 3	113.64	10.94*	81.00*	5.62*	14.94	7.10	26.24	50.86	1.33	11.66*
SM 4	121.17	10.17*	78.50*	6.63*	13.47	7.76	27.99	52.72	1.48	11.38*
SM 5	116.13	9.33*	78.00*	6.49*	14.88	7.00	50.95*	31.96	1.62*	10.68*
EP 3	144.44*	5.68	83.00	12.37	18.41	7.34	19.42	69.20*	1.83*	9.86

for a long time among the breeders. In this context, the 33 brinjal genotypes assembled from different geographical locations were evaluated for ten characters and were given scores based on their significance over general mean for all the characters. The analysis of variance (Table 2)

revealed the significant difference among the genotypes for all the traits. Mean performance of all 33 brinjal local types were given in Table 3. The top-ranked genotypes in terms of yield in descending order is EP 27 (1.93 kg) and EP 3 (1.83 kg). Veerakkal Local (Sempatty Attur)

showed the least one (0.76 kg). High marketable fruit yield per plant recorded by these genotypes might have been due to the presence of round type fruits. Praneetha (2002) and Prabakaran (2010) obtained similar trend of result of round type with high marketable fruit yield. Genotypes

Table 3. Contd.

Name of the local types	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches / plant	Days to first flowering (days)	Internodal length (cm)	Fruit circumference (cm)	Fruit length (cm)	Number of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Ascorbic acid content (mg / 100 g)
EP 4	131.92*	5.75	82.00*	8.54*	20.31*	9.96*	21.96	83.70*	1.83*	9.38
EP 5	116.43	7.63	82.00*	11.09	16.07	5.32	24.63	58.75	1.52*	8.85
EP 7	118.95	6.76	81.50*	9.20*	17.40	5.77	30.34*	50.09	1.54*	9.88
EP 9	141.21*	4.55	82.50*	13.09	17.77	7.31	18.71	82.50*	1.49	8.85
EP 10	134.59*	5.12	82.50*	12.51	16.27	7.42	14.55	102.39*	1.22	8.13
EP11	121.81	4.94	81.50*	8.84*	16.83	6.97	11.54	105.94*	1.41	9.57
EP 17	132.19*	4.75	82.50*	10.22	18.50	6.95	18.12	78.07	1.19	8.85
EP 20	142.12*	5.89	84.00	11.73	19.40*	8.89	14.86	80.07*	1.30	7.88
EP 21	143.74*	5.57	84.00	9.45*	17.71	7.52	24.39	53.38	1.47	9.35
EP 23	104.71	6.98	84.00	6.32*	19.95*	9.01*	32.43*	45.35	1.32	8.86
EP 27	128.81	6.20	82.00*	7.55*	17.24	6.00	48.39*	39.88	1.93*	9.56
EP 28	136.53*	7.55	85.00	8.76*	17.56	8.50	34.05*	56.70	1.80*	8.84
EP 29	129.62	5.35	82.50*	10.43	14.26	12.64*	30.58*	58.96	1.78*	7.71
EP 30	121.48	6.95	81.50*	9.09*	14.76	6.61	34.50*	51.56	1.33	7.38
Mean	127.56	7.55	80.53	8.88	15.87	7.36	25.72	55.55	1.33	10.22
SEd	2.070	0.346	1.109	0.341	1.708	0.800	2.147	1.697	0.085	0.019
CD (P =0.05)	4.216	0.704	2.259	0.694	3.480	1.630	4.374	3.457	0.174	0.039

\*Significant at 5% level.

EP 27 and EP 3 which recorded higher yield was purple fruited but the shape of the fruit was round.

Among 33 genotypes, 18 were striped fruited genotypes. Of all striped fruited genotypes SM 5 was the highest yield with 1.62 kg/plant. The genotypes of green fruits with purple striped or green with white striped are preferred along the Madurai region and SM 5 was a promising genotype of that type. Sufficient variation was observed for days to first flowering and it ranged from 75.00 (Keerikai Local) to 85.00 (EP 28). Earlier flowering genotypes could be used in the breeding programme to necessitate serial harvesting over wide number of days to avoid market glut and to exploit higher prices during certain parts of the year. In the present study, it was re-

corded that the first (EP 27) and second (EP 3) high yielding genotypes were yielded in 82 and 83 days, respectively.

Plant height is considered as one of the important traits for growth and vigour of the plants. In the present investigation, the genotypes exhibited significant differences for plant height. Among the 33 genotypes, the genotype Alavayal Local was taller. Number of primary branches per plant is another yield increasing trait in brinjal. Here, the genotype SM 3 recorded more number of primary branches followed by Sedapatty Local (Ramakkai Blue), SM 1, SM 2 and Sedapatty Local (Ramakkai Green). The results are in accordance with those of Thangamani (2003). The yield being polygenic trait, is a result of component

characters like number of fruits per plant and fruit weight. The higher yield in the top ranked genotypes is attributed to higher number of fruits per plant and fruit weight (SM 5, EP 27 and EP 3). The range for number of fruits per plant was from 11.54 (EP 11) to 50.95 (SM 5) while, fruit weight ranged from 29.86 (Kariapatty Local) to 105.94 g (EP 11). Generally, smaller size brinjal fruits are preferred by South Indians, which is well established in selecting genotypes or varieties with lesser fruit weight like SM 5, Singampunari Local 2, Veerakkal Local (Sempatty Authur), Nilakottai Local. The similar pattern of result was reported by Rai et al. (2000) and Praneetha (2002). The average fruit length and fruit circumference in 33 genotypes was 7.36 and 15.87 cm,

respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Yadav et al. (1997). Generally, the higher ascorbic acid content would increase the nutritive value of the fruits, which would help better retention of colour and flavor (Sasikumar, 1999). The genotype Keerikai recorded highest ascorbic acid content of 13.87 mg/100 g, followed by Kallampatty Local, SM 2, SM 3, SM 4 and Singampunari Local 1.

## Conclusion

The results of the present study show that high-yielding and good quality eggplant germplasm are available in the Southern India. Selected promising germplasm collections are being tested in replicated elite variety trials to determine their adaptability and yield stability.

## REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C (2001). Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington D.C., U.S.A.
- Ananthalakshmi A (2001). Genetic studies of yield and quality parameters in egg plant (*Solanum melongena* L.). M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Anonymous (2010). Area, production and productivity of brinjal in India during 2009-2010. [www.indiastat.com](http://www.indiastat.com).
- Bates BC, Kundzewicz ZW, Wu S, Palutikof, JP Eds (2008). Climate Change and Water. p. 210 Technical Paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC Secretariat, Geneva. [www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/tp-climate-change-water.htm](http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/tp-climate-change-water.htm).
- Bray HG, Thrope WV (1954). Analysis of phenolic compounds of interest in metabolism. *Meth. Biochem. Anal.* 1:27-52.
- Chen NC, Kalb D, Talckar NS, Wan JF, Ma, CH (2002). Suggested cultural practices for eggplant. <http://avrdc.org/LC/eggplant/practices.pdf>.
- Panase VC, Sukhatme PV (1967). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. pp. 152-161.
- Prabakaran S (2010). Evaluation of local types of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). M.Sc., (Hort.) Thesis, Agricultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Madurai.
- Prabhavathi V, Yadav JS, Kumar PA, Rajam MV (2002). Abiotic stress tolerance in transgenic eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) by introduction of bacterial nitro phosphohydrogenase gene. *Mol. Breed.* 9:137-147.
- Praneetha S (2002). Correlation studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *South Indian Hort.* 53:286-290.
- Rai N, Singh AK, Tirkey T (2000). Stability in round shaped brinjal hybrids. *Annals of Agric. Res.* 21:530-532.
- Sasikumar A (1999). Screening of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes for quality and yield. M.Sc., (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Thangamani C (2003). Evaluation of F<sub>1</sub> brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) for yield and quality. M.Sc., (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Yadav DS, Prasad A, Singh ND (1997). Genetic divergence for fruit yield and its components in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res.* 17:265-271.